Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: 5/23/2024 Time: 10:00 AM Owner: Kristi Iverson

Inspection Address: 12877 Homestead Dr, Hugo, MN 55110

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, installed in 2018, and a rock trench drainfield installed in 1982. Smilie's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on May 21, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

perty information Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 12877 Homestead Dr, Hugo, MN 55110		
Owner/representative: Kristi Iverson	Owner's phone: 612-309-2597	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast	lift tank, and a rock trench drainfied.	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/23/2024		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ble)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi	•	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	_	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -	•	
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	_	
Comments or recommendations	, compensition (1)	
Comments of recommendations		
Certification		
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,	
•	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	gned) Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)	
Soil observation logs	quired forms	
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ation, Disclaimer	

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting document	ation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	_	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		_	
Describe verification methods and	results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	component #	2 of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance	component ‡	2 of 5	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	component ‡		ation:
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting document	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component ‡		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting document	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting document ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Smilie's S
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting document	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting document ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Smilie's S Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· ☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance:	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	· ☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance	Smilie's S <u>Service</u> usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u> (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u> (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as	Smilie's S Service usiness: <u>L2428</u> <u>5/21/202</u> (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Smilie's S Service usiness: L2428 5/21/2024 (Attach) within three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as	Smilie's S Service usiness: L2428 5/21/2024 (Attach) within three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank indicated the	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting document ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance b Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Smilie's S Service usiness: L2428 5/21/2024 (Attach) within three years

*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: **Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable **Perating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 **Not applicable** **System operated under an Operating Permit?		Date: <u>5/23/2024</u>
Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured? Yes* No		
Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? □ Yes* No □ Unknow "Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? □ Yes* No System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? □ Yes* No "Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable □ □ Perating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable Persystem operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No □ If "yes", A below is required as system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? □ Yes □ No □ If "yes", B below is required answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Persystem contains permit requirements been met? □ Yes □ No Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? □ Yes □ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? Yes* No Unknown Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? Yes* No System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* No *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Perating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable Persystem operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required by system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If "yes", B below is required an answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. **Personance of the implication of the property incitioning? Yes No **Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and property functioning? Yes No **Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.		ecured?
Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?		tv2 □ Ves □ No □ Unknow
System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? Yes* No No System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* No Yes* O O O Yes* O O O O O O O O O		y: Tes No Officion
System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? Yes* 🖾 No *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: 🖾 Not applicable	•	□ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: **Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable **Perating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable **System operated under an Operating Permit?	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Perating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable Persystem operated under an Operating Permit? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Persystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning in the properly functioning in the properly func	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable e system operated under an Operating Permit?	Describe verification methods and results:	
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable e system operated under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable e system operated under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable e system operated under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable e system operated under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable expected under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable expected under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable expected under an Operating Permit?		
erating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of 5 Not applicable expected under an Operating Permit?		
e system operated under an Operating Permit?	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
e system operated under an Operating Permit?		
e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	Not applicable
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design e answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. appliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been met? Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is require
e answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Inpliance criteria: Have the operating permit requirements been met? Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below is require
Have the operating permit requirements been met?	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	d.
Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Compliance criteria:	
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.		
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:	
Describe confidentian methods and months.	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	

ness Name: Midwest	Sewer Services		Date: <u>5/2</u>	23/2024
Soil separation	– Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation	1982/2018 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead beverage lodging? Compliance criteria		⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation logs completed for the report	
-	prior to April 1, 1996, and reland or Wellhead not serving a food,	Yes No*	 ☐ Two previous verifications of required ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ☒ Reviewed design and permit records. 	n)
Drainfield has at lease separation distance saturated soil or be				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		⊠ Yes □ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	owed by Local
systems built unde Type IV or V syster Rules 7080. 2350 ((Intermediate Inspe 2,500 gallons per d	ms built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ day; Advanced Inspector 2,500 gallons per day) e designed vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Ordinance.	,

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021


Describe verification methods and results:

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conduct	ting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: 5/21/2024 & 5/23/2024	Time: 10:00 AM
Property Address: 12877 Homestead Dr, Hugo, MN	Zip: 55110
Property Owner: Kristi Iverson	Phone: 612-309-2597
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trent Aerobic Plastic Gravelles Lift Metal Chamber Holding Concrete Seepage to Mound Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Alternative system strench
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? Xes [
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance	
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maint	enance of the system.
Year house built: 2018 Year septic installed: 19	82/2018 Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000
	imber of residents in home?
	rained by gravity? Y
<u> </u>	lpool bath?
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected	ed to the septic system?
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or o	·
Are there any additional systems on this property serving	ng other buildings?
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - South Side, D	Orainfield - West Side
Location of water well on lot?	Is the well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the systesurfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overfloto the system? If yes, explain:	
	me of pumper: Smilie's Sewer Service
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agence	
Is your property located in a shoreland management are	
Do you have any additional information that should be	given to the new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of m considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspectoral government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection compliants.	ctor must by law submit a copy of this report to the

by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occup	ant:	Date:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 12877 Homestead Dr, Hugo, MN 55110							
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer					Date:	5/23/2024
1	Classification System: USDA						,
Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil Observation:				
Surf	ace	9	7.10'	Surf			
Elevat		Benchma	ark = 100.00'	Elevat			
Observ	vation	garage flo	or of overhead	Obser	vation		
Depth In	Rock %	Soils E	<u>ncountered</u>	In Inches	Rock %	Soils Encountered	
0-9			my Sand (Fill) With				
9-19		•	Inches Disturbed ledium Sand (Fill)				
19-22			2 Loamy Sand				
15 22			nal Topsoil)				
22-30			3 Loamy Sand				
30-54	≈10	10YR 4/4 Medi	um Sand With Gravel				
54-80	≈25		um Coarse Sand With				
00.00	25		Gravel				
80-90	≈25	•	edium Coarse Sand th Gravel				
		VVII	ui Giavei				
92.22'	92.22' Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution					ion To Botto	om Of Distribution
-89.60' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation			Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation				
=2.62'/31" Of Separation					Of Separat	ion	
nd Of S	nd Of Soil Observation At: 89.60'/90"			Of Soil Observation At:			
imitina	Soil C	conditions At:	None	nitina S	oil Con	ditions At:	
		r Present At:	None			Present At:	
	_	·					
	5 D:-+ "		EE Inches O: El	atia= 0	י יבר ר	L Call Dari	. 1
ttom Of	ttom Of Distribution Medium / 55 Inches Or Elevation 92.22' At Soil Probe 1						

Signature:	Coffee Va	
------------	-----------	--

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 12877 Homestead Dr N, Hugo, MN 55110					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	5/8/17
	Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classification System:		USDA
В	Boring Number: 1			Boring Number:	
Surface		102.80'	Surface	2	
Elevation of	f Benchmark	= 100.00' Septic	Elevation	of	
Boring	Tank M	anhole Cover	Boring		
Depth In	Soils Er	ncountered	Depth In	Solic Focoliniarad	
Inches	-	-	Inches	<u> </u>	1004110104
0-6 6-16		Medium Sand Loamy Sand			
16-60		Medium Sand			
60-75	10YR 4/4 Mediu	m Sand With Gravel			
75-98		ck Fragments Im Sand With Gravel			
/ 3-90		ck Fragments			
07.421	lovation To Dather	o Of Diatribution Modia		Elevation To Bottom	Of Diatribution Modi-
	epth To Redox Or	n Of Distribution Media End Of Boring		Depth To Redox Or I	Of Distribution Media Fnd Of Boring
=2.79'/33" O				Of Separation	
	nd Of Boring At:	98"		End Of Boring At:	
	edox Present At:	None		Redox Present At:	
Standing W	later Present At:	None	Standing	Water Present At:	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.