#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 4682 McDonald Dr Pl, Baytown Twp, MN 55082

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1995) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield. Meyer Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on May 23, 2024.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	·	
Property address: 4682 McDonald Dr Pl, Baytown Twp, MN 55	6082	
Owner/representative: Rebekah Coleman		Owner's phone: 651-757-0933
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast I	ift tank, a rock trench drainfie	eld.
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/23/2024		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Notic	e of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		und water must be upgraded, replaced, or me required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)  *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	upgraded, replaced, or its use	health and safety (ITPHS) must be e discontinued within ten months of receipt er period if required by local ordinance or
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	under section 145A.04 subdiv	vision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)	
<ul> <li>Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin</li> <li>Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote</li> <li>Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) −</li> <li>System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote</li> <li>Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote</li> <li>Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations</li> </ul>	ct groundwater Imminent threat to public he Failing to protect groundwate Impliance component #3) – I I act groundwater	ealth and safety ter Failing to protect groundwater
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my k	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home		License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
Soil observation logs	uired forms 🛭 Tank Integri	ty Assessment
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer	

Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation:   System discharges sewage to the ground surface □ Yes* □ No   System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. □ Yes* □ No   System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. □ Yes* □ No   Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.   Describe verification methods and results:   None of the above found.
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:
dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:
imminent threat to public health and safety.  Describe verification methods and results:
None of the above found.
and integrity. Consultance consumer and #2 of F
ank integrity – compliance component #2 of 5
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, □ Yes* ⋈ No  Attached supporting documentation:  ⋈ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Methods:  Methods:  Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Methods: ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Methods: ☐ Metho
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  Name of maintenance business: □ Metalogue tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  □ Yes* □ No  License number of maintenance business: □ 191
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance business: □ 15/25
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ Yes* □ No  Name of maintenance business: □ Metalogue tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  □ Yes* □ No  License number of maintenance business: □ 191
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance business: □ 15/25
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance business: □ 1/20 □ Date of maintenance: □ 5/20 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No  □ License number of maintenance business: □ 15/2: □ □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  □ Date of maintenance
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ Yes* □ No □ License number of maintenance business: □ 100 □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three maintenance)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ License number of maintenance business: □ 1/5/2: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three displayed) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment of the complete
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance indicates the system (Mety Service)  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three dispands to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system  Attached supporting documentation:  □ Yes* □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ License number of maintenance business: □ 1/5/2: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three displayed) □ (See form instructions to ensure assessment of the complete
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance indicates the system of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – entry tank(s) viewed by inspector  Methods  Methods  Methods  Methods  Methods  Ser  License number of maintenance business:  L91  Date of maintenance:  (See form instructions to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – entry tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance indicates the system (Mety Service)  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three dispands to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business: L91  Date of maintenance:  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (must be within three  (See form instructions to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary − 6 □ Other:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.  Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance indicates:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three of maintenance)  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three of maintenance)  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – of maintenance)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment of Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – of maintenance)

_	lame: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: <u>5/23/2024</u>
	er compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	laintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	Yes* No Unknown	tu2 □ Voo* □ No □ Unknow
	ther issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	sty?   Yes     No   Unknow
	ystem is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	ystem not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	escribe verification methods and results:	
А	ttached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
. Opei	rating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 🖾 Not applicable
le the		or applicable
15 1116 3	system operated under an Operating Permit?	
	system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Is the		If "yes", A below is require
Is the s	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes  No	If "yes", A below is require
Is the s	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is require
Is the s  If the  Comp	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	If "yes", A below is require
Is the s  If the  Comp	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   No BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed bliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is require
Is the s  If the  Comp  a. I  b. I	system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed bliance criteria:  Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", A below is require

iness Name: Midwest Sewe	er Services		Date: <u>5/2</u>	23/2024
Soil separation – C	ompliance cor	nponent #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation 199	95 n/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead prote beverage lodging?		⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Soil observation logs completed for the	•
5a. For systems built prior to not located in Shoreland Protection Area or not sheverage or lodging est	o April 1, 1996, and d or Wellhead erving a food,	Yes No*	<ul><li>☐ Two previous verifications of required</li><li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area</li><li>☐</li></ul>	•
Drainfield has at least a separation distance fron saturated soil or bedroo	n periodically			
5b. Non-performance syste April 1, 1996, or later or performance systems to or Wellhead Protection food, beverage, or lodge	for non- ocated in Shoreland Areas or serving a	☐ Yes ☒ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", systems built under pre- Type IV or V systems b Rules 7080. 2350 or 70 (Intermediate Inspector 2,500 gallons per day; A License required > 2,50	-2008 Rules; uilt under 2008 80.2400 License required ≤ Advanced Inspector	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the deseparation distance from saturated soil or bedroom	n periodically			

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864


Describe verification methods and results:


# <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	A Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: May 23, 2024	Time: 12:00 PM				
Property Address: 4682 McDonald Dr Pl, Baytown Twp, MN	Zip: 55082				
Property Owner: Rebekah Coleman	Phone: 651-757-0933				
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If					
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov					
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.				
	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000				
	esidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gravity? Y					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?  Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	entia avatem?				
Does this property have any footing drain thes connected to the so	eptic system?				
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?  Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?					
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - South Side, Drainfield -	North Side				
	e well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:					
Y 1 1 1	nper: Meyers Sewer Service				
How often pumped in previous years?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y					
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?					
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledg considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I a this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all we	law submit a copy of this report to the lso agree that unless otherwise noted in				

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:





4682 McDonald Dr Pl, Baytown Twp, MN 55082


### **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 4682 McDonald Dr Pl, Baytown Twp, MN 55082				N 55082			
	Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer					Date:	5/23/2024
		on System:					
	Soil O	bservation:	ST-1	S	oil Obs	servation:	ST-2
Surf		9	9.80'		ace		
Elevat			= 100.00' garage	Elevation of 99.80' Observation		99.80'	
Observ	vation	floor at o	overhead door	Obser	vation		
Depth In	Rock %		<u>ncountered</u>	In Inches	Rock %		<u>Encountered</u>
0-4			2/2 Silt Loam	0-3			R 2/2 Silt Loam
4-7 7-18			-/4 Silt Loam Silt Loam With	3-14 14-19			R 4/4 Silt Loam R 4/2 Silt Loam
7-10		7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox		19-32			R 3/4 Clay Loam
18-25		10YR 2/2 Silt Loam With		32-38			Medium Coarse Sand
			R 5/8 Redox	38-49		•	ery Fine Loamy Sand
25-33		-	Clay Loam With			With 7.5YR	5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox
33-36		7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 3/4 Sandy Clay Loam					
36-46		7.5YR 3/4 Fine Sand With					
Loamy Very Fine Sand Layers And							
46.60			5/8 Redox				
46-62			amy Very Fine Sand Moist)				
		•	8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox				
		,					
			0.00				0.00
97.47' Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution						om Of Distribution End Of Observation	
-99.22' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation =0'/0" Of Separation				•	Of Separat		
	, •		•	5.5	.,	J. Jeparat	
nd Of Soil Observation At: 94.63'/62"		Of Soil Observation At: 95.72'/49"		95.72'/49"			
		Conditions At:	99.22'/7"	niting S	Soil Cor	nditions At:	96.63'/38"
tandin	tanding Water Present At: None		inding	Water I	Present At:	None	
Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches Or Elevation 97.47' At Soil Probe 1							
Statem of Sistinguity Florida of Elevation 57117 At 301111000 1							
<b></b>							

Signature:	Office Va	
------------	-----------	--









#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.