1 of 10

Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com		Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE	E TREATMENT SYSTEN	A (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: May 30, 3024	Time: 2:30 PM	Owner: Barb Conrad

Inspection Address: 3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2017) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on May 30, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 10

Property information Local tracking number:		number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: <u>3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042</u>		
Owner/representative: Barb Conrad		Owner's phone: <u>651-706-4008</u>
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift	tank, and a mound.	

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/30/2024

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Inspector signature:

document has been	electronically signed)
-------------------	------------------------

Certification number: 5342/9852

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built Locally required forms Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer



Inature: Chis document has been

Property Address: 3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 5/30/2024

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗆 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed	by inspector	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance	business:	Pinky's Sewer Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of ma	intenance busines	s: <u>L1673</u>
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:		5/30/2024
		Existing tank integrity a	assessment (Attac	h)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)
Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa	-	(See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su		nent complies with
		Tank is Noncompliant	(pumping not necess	ary – explain below)
		Other:		
Describe verification methods an	d results:			

4 of 10

Property Address:	3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 5/30/2024

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

	За.	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked	, etc.), or unsec	ured?		
		□ Yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown				
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public	health or safety	? 🗌 Yes*	🛛 No 🗌 Unknown	
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety	<i>ı</i> .			
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by	inspector?	□ Yes*	🖾 No	
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?		□ Yes*	🖾 No	
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.				
		Describe verification methods and results:				
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🗌				
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance compo	onent #4 of	5 🛛 🖻	lot applicable	
	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?]Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If	"yes", A	below is required	I
	Is th	e system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?]Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If	"yes", B	below is required	i
		BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design				
	lf th	e answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to l	e completed.			

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \Box Yes \Box No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5	of	10	

Date: 5/30/2024

Property Address:	3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042
	Midure t Original Original

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 2017 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:	vertical separation
 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* 	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	See Attached Boring Log(s)
 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 	☐ Yes ☐ No*		

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPC			
Date of Inspection: May 30, 2024	Time: 2:30 PM		
Property Address: 3812 Jamaca Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042		
Property Owner: Barb Conrad	Phone: 651-706-4008		
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench XLift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system		
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \square Yes \square No *If	no, proper maintenance must be		
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cov	vers should be made accessible to		
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of			
Year house built: 1953 Year septic installed: 2017	Tank size (gals.): 1-1500, 1-1000		
*	esidents in home?		
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by			
Garbage disposal? It while an income additional of the second sec			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other b	uildings?		
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - West Side, Mound - Sc	outhwest Side		
	e well a deep well? N/A		
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as			
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc			
to the system? If yes, explain:			
	nper: Pinky's Sewer Service		
	m on a monitoring plan?		
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N			
Do you have any additional information that should be given to t	he new owner?		

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



Washington								
nstallation Permits Installati nstallation Permit: Permit # Status: Review Complete	ion Permit Site Review UID # 8293 2017-0421	Washington County Public Health & Environment 14949 - 62X0 ST N, PO BOX 6, STELVAVTER, MN 55682-0006 (651) 430-6655 FAX (651) 430-6730						
Site Inspection								
Date of the soil observation:	4/13/2017							
Inspector:	Chris LeClair							
GPS Latitude :	45.00389499 Latitude							
GPS Longitude:	-92,92473075 Longitude							
Soil Parent Material Select all that apply:	Outwash							
Parent Material:	Superior Lobe Outwash							
Landscape Position Please choose one:	Shoulder							
Vegetation:	Lawn							
Soil Survey Map Unit(s) with description:	177B-Gotham Loamy Sand, 1-6%							
Soll Horizon Level 1								
Depth:	0-15" Inches							
Texture:	loamy sand							
Matrix Color:	10YR3/2							
Structure/Shape:	Granular							
Structure/Grade:	Weak							
Structure/Consistence:	Loose							
Is this the Restrictive Laver?	No							

Depth:	15-30" Inches
Texture:	sand
Matrix Color:	10YR5/4
Rock Fragment %:	0-35%
Structure/Shape:	Single grain
Structure/Grade:	Weak
Structure/Consistence:	Loose
Is this the Restrictive Layer?	No
ioil Horizon Level 3	
Depth:	30-44" Inches
Texture:	sand
Matrix Color:	10YR4/3
Rock Fragment %:	0-35%
Structure/Shape:	Single grain
Structure/Grade:	Weak
Structure/Consistence:	Loose
Is this the Restrictive Layer?	No
ioil Horizon Level 4	
Depth:	44-55" Inches
Texture:	silt loam
Matrix Color:	10YR4/3
Structure/Shape:	Blocky
Structure/Grade:	Moderate
Structure/Consistence:	Friable
Is this the Restrictive Layer?	No

File(s):		
Text:		
nternal Notes		
File(s):		
Text:		
Public Notes		
		flc980bc759581c7449fbbbalf4eb429
#1 Site Review Perform	red	Christopher W. LeClair REHS - 04/13/2017 10:33 AM ed929f0cedced0f6d69daed5e8e55901
Approv		Signature
Approvals		
Depth to Restriction:	55 Inches	
Restricitve Layer Depth		
Layer?	Yes	
Structure/Consistence: Is this the Restrictive	Loose	
Structure/Grade:	Weak	
Structure/Shape:	Granular	
Redox Kind(s):	Concentrations	
	Concentrations	
Rock Fragment %:	0-35%	
Matrix Color:	10YR4/3	
Texture:	fine sand	
Depth:	55-60" Inches	

UNIT	VERSITY		OSTP	Soil C	bservation	Log				<u>~</u>
	ient/ Address:	а. 		John Conre			Project ID: cription/ GPS:	3812	v 12.04.2 Jamaca Ave. N,	- 0
Soll parent i	material(s): (Ci			Cutwa			Till 🗖 Allus	rium 🔲 Bed		anic Matter
Landscape F	osition: (check	(one)	Summi	: 🗋 Shoulde	r 🗹 Back/Side Slope		Toe Slope	Slope shape	ür	tear/linear
Vegetation	L	lawn	1997	Soll surve	r map units	302B	Slope%		Elevation:	941
	nditions/Time	of Day:		1.1	sunny 10:10 A	ж	10.0	Date		08/22/16
	on #/Location:	Rock			BH1			ervation Type:	Auger C	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matri	Color(s)	Mattle Color(s)	Redax Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-30	Loamy Fine Sand		r	ixed				Single grain		
30-48	Fine Sandy Loam		10	r 5/4				Blocky		(And LAP) - And LaP
48-72	Silt Loam		7.5	yr 5/4		Concentrations		Blocky		
Comments	Not in propos	ed area/	mixed soil	s to 30°/ red	ox. 48"		in the second			
hereby cer	tify that I have	completed	this work	in accordanc	e with all applicable or	dinances, rules ar	d laws.			1.1
					(Signature)		-	(License #)	-	(Date)

	ERSITY	A	OSTP Soil O	bservatio	n Log	Project ID:		v 12.04.25	
	ent/ Address:		John Conra	đ	Legal Desc	ription/ GPS:	3812	Jamaca Ave, N,	-
Soil parent r	naterial(s): (C	heck all t	hat apply) 🛛 Outwas	h 🔲 Lacustrine	Loess T	il 🗆 Allor	vium 🗖 8ed	rock 🔲 Org	anic Matter
Landscape P	osition: (chec	k one)	Summit 🗖 Shoulder	Back/Side Slop	e 📋 Foot Slope	Toe Slope	Slope shape	tin	ear/linear
Vegetation		lawn	Soil survey	map units	3028	Slope%	8.0	Elevation:	940.5
	ditions/Time	of Day:	Sec. Sec. 18	sunny 12:02	PM		Date	1 in 11 in 1	18/22/16
	n #/Location:	Rock		BH4	1.1.1	-	ervation Type:	Auger E	Probe Pit
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-24	Fine Sand		7.5yr 4/2				Single grain		
24-37	Fine Sand		7.5yr 5/3	6.908.9			Single grain		
37-60	Silt Loam		7.5yr 5/3		Concentrations		Blocky		
			hen len						
Comments	Redox. 37"								
hereby cert	ify that I have	complete:	I this work in accordanc	e with all applicable	ordinances, rules ar	nd laws.	1.11		
	(Designer)			(Signature)		-	(License #)	-	(Date)

	ونام ام ۵	ional	Soil Obser	wation Log	e.			ONSITE SERVAGE TREATMAN PROGRAM	24	
	Addit	lona	Soli Obser	Vacion Log		Project ID:	1000		1	
	ant/ Address:		John Conra			ription/ GPS:		Jamaca Ave. N, L		
Soil parent m	naterial(s): (C			sh 🔲 Lacustrine		TSE Allas			nic Matter	
Landscape Pe	sition: (chec	k one)	🗆 Summit 🗖 Shouide	er 🖬 Back/Side Slope	Foot Slope	Toe Slope	Slope shape	Une	ar/linear	
Vegetation		lawn	Soll survey	map units	3028	Stope%	8.0	Elevation:	941	
	ditions/Time	of Day:		sunny 12:10 P	W	<u>.</u>	Date			
Observation	n#/Location:	0. A		BH5	100		ervation Type:	Auger Probe Pit		
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-12	Fine Sand		7.5yr 4/2		1.1	1. 199	Single grain	1.1.1	Jan A.	
12-37	Fine Sand		7.5yr 5/3			1.1.1	Single grain			
37-54	Fine Sandy Loam		7.5yr 5/3	1997 - 1997 -	Concentrations		Single grafn			
				The second		11				
		1.34		a series and series an						
4	Nega	8. N.	den segu	and the second second	1.1.1.1.1.1					
Comments	Redox. 37						din .	1.1.1	5 1 C C	
Observatio	n#/Location:	14.5.2	0.000000	BH6	1.00	Obs	ervation Type	1.4.2	Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redax Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-6	Loam	Traily. 7	7.5yr 3/2		1.1.1.1.7	100	Blocky			
6-22	Fine Sand		7.5yr 4/2		1.1.1	10.00	Single grain	1.1		
22-33	Fine Sand		7.5yr 5/3		1.1.1.1.1.1		Single grain	1. S.	10 C	
33-54	Fine Sandy Loam	1.1	7.5yr 5/3		Concentrations	1.1	Blocky	a da a com	1.1.1	
		1.1		1.100	1.00	8-41 B		1	1.1.1	
						1.0.00		1	1. A. F.	

OF MI	ERSITY		OSTP Soil O	bservatior	n Log	Project ID:		v 12.04.25		
	ent/ Address:		John Conrad	4	Legal Des	cription/ GPS:	3812	ake Eimo, MN		
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (C	heck all th	at apply) 🔛 Outwas	h Lacustrine	Loess	Till 🗖 Alba	rock 🗖 Orga	k 🔲 Organic Matter		
andscape P	osition: (check	k one)	Summit 🗖 Shoulder	Back/Side Slope	Foot Slope	Toe Slope	Slope shape	tin	ar/linear	
Vegetation		lawn	Soil survey	map units	3028	Slope%	0.0	Elevation:	942	
	ditions/Time	of Day:	1.11	sunny 12:55 F	W	Date 08/22/16 Observation Type: Auger Probe				
-	n #/Location:	Rock		BH7	-		ervation Type:	Auger		
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-12	Fine Sand		mixed				Single grain			
12-28	Loamy Fine Sand	anda Shaqa	7.5yr 5/3				Single grain			
28-48	Fine Sandy Loam		7.5yr 5/3		Concentrations		Blocky			
Commente										
Thereby cert	Redox. 28" By that I have	completed	this work in accordance	with all applicable o	rdinances, rules ar	d laws.				
				1	- 1 - A.	-				
-	(Designer)			(Signature)		-	(License #)	-	(Date)	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.