

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194 **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 25.029.21.11.0003	Reason for Inspection property sale
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County	
roperty address: 1957 Manning Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 550	042
wner/representative: Norma Valeri	Owner's phone: 651-705-5300
rief system description: A pre-cast 2 compartment septic tar	nk and a gravity rock trench drainfield.
ystem status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/4/2024 System status on date (mm/dd/yyyyy): 6/4/2024 System status on date (mm/dd/yyyyyy): 6/4/2024 System status on date (mm/dd/yyyyy): 6/4/2024 System status on date (mm/	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	 Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (117113) must be
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applic	eable)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Faili	
	onent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp	onent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
	onent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance) 	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
 ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations 	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies grecords on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlinedequate maintenance, or future water usage.	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlimadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather inture system performance has been nor can be made due to unlandequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form.	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies grecords on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County ared to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlined equate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323 License number: 1568
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlinedequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Troolen (This document has been electronically)	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater dilling to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 r signed)
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlimadequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Trooien (This document has been electronically Necessary or locally required supporting	B0.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater dilling to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be constructed to the compliance of the system. Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 Phone: 612-594-449
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 708 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Comments or recommendations Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil and pumping Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather future system performance has been nor can be made due to unlinedequate maintenance, or future water usage. By typing my name below, i certify the above statements to be used for the purpose of processing this form. Business name: All State Septic Services LLC Inspector signature: Tom Troolen (This document has been electronically Necessary or locally required supporting	Bo.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater ailing to protect groundwater Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies g records on file at the City of Lake Elmo and Washington County red to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 r signed) Course determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 323 License number: 1568 The product of the system construction of the system, and the system construction of the system.

Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentati	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain ile or surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
kon "yes" <mark>answe</mark> r av <mark>ove h</mark> ickori. 19. amerit <mark>tircat fo gobie h</mark> ealthoa.				
None of the above observed				
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit.	comp	onent #2 ⊠ №	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	ion:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit.			Attached supporting documentation ✓ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Pinky's iness: <u>1613</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation	Pinky's iness: 1613 6/4/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businese of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (A) Date of maintenance	Pinky's iness: 1613 6/4/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ■ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be we (See form instructions to ensure assessment to ensure assessment)	Pinky's iness: 1613 6/4/202 Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ■ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (A Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be well)	Pinky's iness: 1613 6/4/2024 Attach) within three yea essment comp
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ■ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ■ Existing tank integrity assessment (ADDate of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ■ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessity)	Pinky's iness: 1613 6/4/2024 Attach) within three yea essment comp

roperty Address: 1957 Manning Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 55042 usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 6/4/2024
Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes` ☒ No ☐ Unknow
Yes to 29 or 35 - System as the confront of that to public health and hafoty	, _
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes
Thes to Took 3d - System is to be so proton to remain the	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
	<i></i>
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4 of	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? When the system design is the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed to be completed as the operating permit requirements been met? A lave the operating permit requirements been met? Byes No Solution:	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Where the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed to be completed to be completed as the operating permit requirements been met? A lave the operating permit requirements been met? Byes No Solution:	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is require
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Activities answer indicates noncompliance.	If "yes", A below is requir If "yes", B below is requir

roperty Address: 1957 Manning Trail N Lake Elmo, MN 55042 usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC			/4/2024	
Soil separation — Compliance com Date of installation 1998 (n:m/dd/yyyy)	npone ☐ Unkn		T 5	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	⊠ Yes	□No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for th ☐ Two previous verifications of required	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐Yes	□ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes	□ No ¹	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed ordinance.	2.8 7.0 4.2 3.0 owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080, 2350 or 7080,2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law. and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Log Of Soil Borings

Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota Date: 8/10/11 Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Classification System: USDA Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches O-10 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam (Fill) & Gravel 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy Sand, Trace Gravel 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam (Original Topsoil) 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy Refusal At 93" Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring Soils Encountered Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered O-10 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam (Fill) & Gravel 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy Sand, Trace Gravel 7.5YR 2.5/3 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Loam Refusal At 93"
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-10 1-59 5-66 5-77 7-7-93 1-59 Same ground surface at inspection pipe at end of last drainfield trench 1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-10 10-59 59-66 59-66 77-77-93 Same ground surface at inspection pipe at end of last drainfield trench Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Floward Flo
Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-10 10-59 59-66 59-66 66-77 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam (Original Topsoil) 66-77 77-93 Same ground surface at inspection pipe at end of last drainfield trench Boring Depth In Inches Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Soils Encountered Inches Popida at end of last drainfield trench Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam (Fill) & Gravel 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam (Original Topsoil) 7.5YR 2.5/3 Loam 7.5YR 3/4 Loam
Depth In Inches O-10 10-59 59-66 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam (Original Topsoil) 66-77 77-93 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy 7.5YR 3/4 Loam
10-59 7.5YR 3/4 Loamy Sand, Trace Gravel 59-66 7.5YR 2.5/2 Loam (Original Topsoil) 66-77 7.5YR 2.5/3 Loam 77-93 7.5YR 3/4 Loam
93" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System Elevation Of Boring Relative To Syst
-33" Depth To Bottom Of System Depth To Bottom Of System
≥60" Of Separation Of Separation
End Of Boring At: 93" End Of Boring At:
Redox Present At: None Redox Present At:
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:

0.00			2.2	T 1 .
Bottom Of Distrib	ution Medium	At:	33	Inches

vrpsn,	aparul unmet	Perch.	Boring number 15-6
in fæet	Surface elevation	in feet	Surface elevetion
ð		p)	
	0'-7' 548 4/6		0'-7' 5 YR 4/6
: }	Yellowish red	3	Yellowish red
			toody, loan
} 	Sandy locum	3	
[trace rocks/6 mud	3	trace rocks/gravel
£	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	. 4	
ž	1.5 Seven	3	
\$		6	.*
7	J	7	;
	eob@¬′		eol@7
8 }		8	
:	,		
Standing Present	oring st feer. Veter table: #J at feet of depth, hours after boring. ent in boring hole	Present	horing at feet. * warer table: no ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *
	2011: Ni	Hoseled	teoil: #0
	se feet of depth.	E .	d at feet of egoth.
	iene in boring hole 🔀 .		isent in boring hele
	tions and comments:		
IOP OF [RAINFIELD AT	·····	_FEET ORINCHE
BOTTOM (OF DRAINFIELD AT.		FEETINCHE
REMARK	S <u>Design</u> Boring		

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
feet Surface elevation	
n .	feer Surface elevation
0'-7' 54R 46	0 0
13 - Vellouise mil	0'-7' 54R 9/6
See .	1 - Yellowing red
2 m logu	1 - Yellowing real Southy loam, trace trocks to me
Yellowish red Sorely loan the news/govel	2
3	
	3
*-	
1.5 "Screw"	
5 — Augur	5
*	6
, _ _	
eowo1	1 - e0607
8	
	8
End of baring at feet.	End of boring asteet.
Scanding water table: N. o	Standing vater cable: no
Present at feet of depth,	Prasent at feat of depth.
hours after boring,	hours after boring.
Not present in boring hole	Not present in boring hole
Mottled soilend	Horried soil: nc
Observed at feet of depth. "	Chenrysed as
Not present in boring hole	Not present in boring hole
Observations and comments:	Observations and comments:
TOP OF DRAINFIELD AT	
BOTTOM OF DRAINERS	NEHE INCHE
BOTTOM OF DRAINFIELD AT.	FEETNCHE
REMARKS Decigan Borings	
	· -
	•
	1
•	·

₹.

WHE LAKE ELMO, MN 55042 6-4-24



