Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 12665 10th St S, Afton, MN 55001

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1978) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on July 1, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

| Property information | Local tracking number: |
|---|---|
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: | Reason for Inspection Property Transfer |
| Local regulatory authority info: Washington County | |
| Property address: 12665 10 th St S, Afton, MN 55001 | |
| Owner/representative: Gene Sassor | Owner's phone: 651-344-0388 |
| Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trend | ch drainfield. |
| System status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/1/2024 | |
| ☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and | Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. |
| abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) | An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab | ole) |
| Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations | ct groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Impliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater - Failing to protect groundwater |
| Certification | |
| | to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, |
| By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. | and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be |
| Business name: Midwest Sewer Services | Certification number: 5342/9852 |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Home | License number: L2896 |
| (This document has been electronically sign | ned) Phone: 651-492-7550 |
| Necessary or locally required supporting do | cumentation (must be attached) |
| oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red | quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit |
| ☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information | tion, Disclaimer |

| Compliance criteria: | | Attached supporting documentation | n: |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes* ⊠ No | | |
| Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a | | | |
| Describe verification methods and | d results: | | |
| None of the above found. | | | |
| | | | |
| nk integrity – Compliance | e component #2 | of 5 | |
| nk integrity – Compliance | e component #2 | | on: |
| nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, | e component #2 | of 5 Attached supporting documentatio ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | on: |
| Compliance criteria: | · | Attached supporting documentation | Pinky's Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | · | Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | Pinky's Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | ☐ Yes* ☑ No | Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | Pinky's Service |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes* ☑ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin | Pinky's : <u>Service</u> less: <u>L1673</u> 7/1/2024 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes* ☑ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Pinky's Service less: L1673 7/1/2024 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with | Pinky's Service tess: L1673 7/1/2024 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) | Pinky's Service tess: L1673 7/1/2024 tach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates. | ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No | Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the complete of maintenance) (must be with the complete of maintenance) (must be with the complete of maintenance) (see form instructions to ensure assessment) | Pinky's Service less: L1673 7/1/2024 tach) hin three yea |

| Prc | perty Address: 12665 10 th St S, Afton, MN 55001 | |
|-----|---|-------------------------------|
| | siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services | Date: 7/1/2024 |
| | | |
| 3. | Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| | 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsound | ecured? |
| | ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown | |
| | 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe | ty? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown |
| | *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. | |
| | 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| | 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| | *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. | |
| | Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| 4. | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o | of 5 🛭 Not applicable |
| | Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | If "yes", A below is required |
| | Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No | If "yes", B below is required |
| | BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | |
| | If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete | d. |
| | Compliance criteria: | |
| | a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No | |
| | b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No | |
| | Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. | |
| | Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

| siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services Soil separation – Compliance con | npone | nt #5 o | Date: <u>7/</u> | | |
|--|-------|----------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Date of installation 1978 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unkr | | | | |
| Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? | ⊠ Yes | □ No | Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the | ne report | |
| Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead | | □ No* | ☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separated ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area) | | |
| Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical | | | ⊠ Reviewed design and permit records | | |
| separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | | es □ No* | Indicate depths or elevations | | |
| | | | A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | See Attached Boring Log(s) | |
| Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* | | |
| For "Formation and all "Odds at " on "Pourfamence" | □ V | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance. | owed by Local | |
| 5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) | ☐ Yes | □ NO. | | | |
| Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | | | | |

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Sewage tank integrity assessment form

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at:

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes *necessary supporting documentation* to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).

| Owner information | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| Owner/Representative Gene Sasson | | |
| Property address: 12665 10th J+S A4 | ton 55001 | |
| Local Regulatory Authority: | | rcel ID: |
| System status | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 07/01/2024 | | |
| Certificate of sewage tank compliance | □ Notice of sew | age tank non-compliance |
| Complia | nce criteria: | |
| Groundwater." | r other pit - "Failure to Protect | ☐ Yes* ☒ No |
| The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed of Groundwater." | | LI IES KINO |
| The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of struct or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe Public Health or Safety." | urally unsound (damaged, crac condition - "Imminent Threat t | ked, o ☐ Yes* 🗷 No |
| Any "yes" answer above indica | ates sewage tank non-comp | oliance. |
| Company information | | |
| Companyname: Dinky's serve service | Print name: Nick | idividual (DCI) information |
| Business license number: 425) | Certification number: | St. Clane |
| I personally conducted the work described above as a Designa maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I person status of each sewage tank in this SSTS. | 4-10-15 11 11 11 | |
| By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statement this information can be used for the purpose of processing this for | nto to be twee | |
| Designated Certified Individual's signature (This document has be | Date electronically signed.) | e (mm/dd/yyyy): <u>07 /01 /1024</u> |
| | | |


Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection. Date of Inspection: 6/14/2024 & 7/1/2024 Time: 10:15 AM Property Address: 12665 10th St S, Afton, MN Zip: 55001 Property Owner: Gene Sassor Phone: 651-344-0388 Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Other Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Alternative system Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Experimental system Lift Cesspool system ____ Metal Chamber trench Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other system Mound Other: Block Other At-grade Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system. Year house built: 1977 Year septic installed: 1978 Tank size (gals.): 1200 How long has seller owned the property? Number of residents in home? Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gravity? Y Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? More than one system (laundry, etc.)? Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? Location of septic system on lot? West Side Location of water well on lot? Southwest Side Is the well a deep well? Y Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain: When was the system last pumped? 7/1/2024 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan? Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

| Owner/Occupant: | Date: |
|-----------------|-------|
| | |



Soil Observations Log

| Location of Project: 12665 10th St S, Afton, MN 55001 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Ol | Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Ser | | vices | | Date: | 6/14/2024 | |
| C | Classification System: USDA | | | | | | |
| | Soil Observation: ST-1 | | | Soil O | bservation: | | |
| | face | 8 | 39.40' | Surface | | | |
| | tion of | Benchmark | = 100.00' garage | | ion of | | |
| Obser | vation | floor threshold | d at overhead door | Obser | vation | | |
| Depth In Inches | Rock % | | ncountered | Depth In Inches | Rock % | <u>Soils</u> | Encountered |
| 0-7 | | | 2 Sandy Loam | | | | |
| 7-18 18-33 | ≈15-20 | | 4 Sandy Loam um Sand With Gravel | | | | |
| 33-45 | ≈15 | | oam Sand (Moist) | | | | |
| 45 56 | 20 | | th Gravel | | | | |
| 45-56 | ≈20 | | edium Coarse Sand th Gravel | | | | |
| 56-80 | ≈20 | | dium Coarse Sand | | | | |
| | | Wit | th Gravel | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 05 40 | EL | - T- D-11 - C1 - | No. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | -1. | . T. D | CD's the transfer to |
| | | | Of Observation | | | | f Distribution Media |
| -82.73' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation ≥2.67'/32.04" Of Separation | | Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation Of Separation | | | | | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| End | Of Soil (| Observation At: | 82.73'/80" | End Of | Soil Ob | servation At: | |
| | | oil Conditions At: None | | | | onditions At: | |
| Stan | iding Wa | iter Present At: | None | Standi | ng Wate | r Present At: | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Bottom (| Of Distrib | ution Medium At: | 48 Inches Or Elevation | on 85.40 | ' At Soil | Probe 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Signature:

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.