### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** June 27, 2024 **Time:** 1:00 PM **Owner:** Elena Herreid

**Inspection Address:** 16606 20<sup>th</sup> St S, Lake St. Croix Beach, MN 55043

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2016) consists of a pre-cast two-compartment septic tank and a rock trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on August 29, 2023.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

After Mu

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 16606 20 <sup>th</sup> St S, Lake St. Croix Beach, MN	55043		
Owner/representative: Elena Herreid		Owner's phone: <u>651-338-9656</u>	
Brief system description: A pre-cast two-compartment septic ta	nk and a rock trench drainfie	ld.	
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _6/27/2024			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or time required by local ordinance.	
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applications	ble)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – <i>Imm</i>	•	and safety	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ect groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	– Imminent threat to public h	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3)	<ul> <li>Failing to protect groundwa</li> </ul>	ater	
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (C	Compliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater	
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to pro	otect groundwater		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	e component #4) – <i>Noncomp</i>	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
Contification			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	<u>/</u>	License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sig	gned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must b	pe attached)	
$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs $oxed{\boxtimes}$ System/As-Built $oxed{\square}$ Locally re	equired forms 🛮 Tank Integ	rity Assessment	
☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	ation, Disclaimer		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		
		- C T
<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2  □ Yes* ⋈ No	
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance 8/29/2023
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No  Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment compared)

compliance conditions —  Internance hole covers appear to be a  Yes* ⋈ No ☐ Unknown  The results (electrical hazards, etc.) to in  It is to 3a or 3b - System is an imminite  The results are substantially a second and the second are substantially and the second and results are substantially as to 3c or 3d - System is failing to second and results are substantially as to 3c or 3d - System is failing to second and results are substantially as the second are substantially as t	structurally unsound (dannediately and adverse nent threat to public here for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	nmaged, cracked, etc.), or un ly impact public health or safealth and safety. s determined by inspector?		)
ntenance hole covers appear to be a  yes* ⊠ No □ Unknown  er issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in  s to 3a or 3b - System is an immin  tem is non-protective of ground wate  tem not abandoned in accordance was to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	structurally unsound (dannediately and adverse nent threat to public here for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	nmaged, cracked, etc.), or un ly impact public health or safealth and safety. s determined by inspector?	fety? □ Yes*  ⊠ No □ Yes*  ⊠ No	)
Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown er issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in s to 3a or 3b - System is an immin tem is non-protective of ground wate tem not abandoned in accordance was to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	nmediately and adverse nent threat to public had er for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 oprotect groundwater.	ly impact public health or safealth and safety. s determined by inspector? ?	fety? □ Yes*  ⊠ No □ Yes*  ⊠ No	)
er issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to in s to 3a or 3b - System is an immin tem is non-protective of ground wat tem not abandoned in accordance was s to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	nent threat to public have for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	ealth and safety. s determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	)
s to 3a or 3b - System is an immin tem is non-protective of ground wat tem not abandoned in accordance w s to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	nent threat to public have for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	ealth and safety. s determined by inspector? ?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	)
tem is non-protective of ground wat tem not abandoned in accordance v s to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	er for other conditions a with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	s determined by inspector?		
tem not abandoned in accordance v	with Minn. R. 7080.2500 protect groundwater.	?		
s to 3c or 3d - System is failing to	protect groundwater.			
-	-			
ached supporting documentation	· ⊠ Not applicable □			
ionod oupporting documentations	. Mitot applicable —			
ting permit and nitroger	<b>BMP*</b> – Compli	ance component #4	of 5 🛮 Not ap	plicable
stem operated under an Operating F	Permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below	v is requir
stem required to employ a Nitrogen	BMP specified in the sy	stem design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", B below	v is requir
P = Best Management Practice(s) נ	specified in the system o	design		
nswer to both questions is "no	o", this section does	not need to be complet	ted.	
ance criteria:				
ve the operating permit requiremen	ts been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	? ☐ Yes ☐ No		
( !!	oncompliance.			
ny "no" answer indicates no	<b>-</b>			
1	stem operated under an Operating Issuem required to employ a Nitrogen IP = Best Management Practice(s) is inswer to both questions is "no ance criteria:  ave the operating permit requirement	stem operated under an Operating Permit?  stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the sy  AP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system of  Inswer to both questions is "no", this section does  ance criteria:  ave the operating permit requirements been met?	stem operated under an Operating Permit?	stem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   Yes No If "yes", B below  P = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  Inswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Inswer to perating permit requirements been met?

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>6/2</u>	27/2024
Soil separation – Compliance com	nponent #5 o	f 5	_
Date of installation 2016 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?  Compliance criteria (select one):	☐ Yes   ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:   ☐ Soil observation logs completed for th  ☐ Two previous verifications of required	•
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No*	<ul> <li>☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area</li> <li>☐ Reviewed design and permit records.</li> </ul>	1)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*	
saturated son or bedrock.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Loc Ordinance.	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021



# Sewage tank integrity assessment form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

www.pca.state.mn.us

wq-wwists4-91 • 5/10/21

651-296-6300

800-657-3864

### Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Available in alternative formats

Page 1 of 1

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections</a>.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections</a>.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).


and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).	1. 10. 1002.0100, subp. 4(B),(C),
Owner information	
Owner/Representative <u>Flency Herreics</u> Property address: 1666 20† St 50  Local Regulatory Authority: CVUCCOCL MM 55043  Parcel	ID:
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/29/23  Certificate of sewage tank compliance  Notice of sewage	tank non-compliance
The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit - "Failure to Protect Groundwater."	☐ Yes* ☑ No
The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed operating depth - "Failure to Protect Groundwater."	☐ Yes* ☑No
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurally unsound (damaged, cracked or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe condition - "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."	☐ Yes* ☑ No
Any "yes" answer above indicates sewage tank non-compliant	nce.
Company information Company name: Pinky Sewer Service Business license number: 4751  Designated Certified Indiv Print name: 1011 Certification number: C2	idual (DCI) information
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Certified Individual of a Minneson maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I personally conducted the necessary process status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.	ta-licensed SSTS inspection, dures to assess the compliance
By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the bes this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	t of my knowledge, and that
Designated Cartified Individually	nm/dd/yyyy): 6/24 124

Use your preferred relay service

### **Midwest Sewer Testing**

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting all will ex-	Compliance inspection.						
Date of Inspection: June 28, 2024	Time: 1:00 PM						
Property Address: 16606 20 <sup>th</sup> St S, Lake St. Croix Beach, MN	Zip: 55043						
Property Owner: Elena Herreid	Phone: 651-338-9656						
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2 Comp       Fiberglass       Soil Treatment System         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Other  Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system						
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If i	no, proper maintenance must be						
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.							
Year house built: 2016 Year septic installed: 2016	Fank size (gals.): 1500 2-Comp						
	sidents in home?						
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	ravity?						
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?							
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?							
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?						
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?							
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?							
Location of septic system on lot? North Side							
	well a deep well? City Water						
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system?  If yes, explain:	; or have any repairs been made						
J 1 1	per: Pinky's Sewer Service						
	on a monitoring plan?						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?							
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N							
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?						
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing							
Owner/Occupant:	Date:						



## Soil Observations Log

	Location of Project: 16606 20th St S, Lake St. Croix Beach, MN 55043						
-			Midwest Sewer Ser	ervices Date: 6/27/2024			6/27/2024
	Classific	ation System:	USDA				
	Soil Observation: ST-1			Soil C	bservation:		
	face		99.70'		face		
	tion of	Benchmark = 100.00' rear do			tion of		
	vation	th	reshold	Observation			
Depth In Inches	Rock %	-	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Rock % Soils Encountered	
0-20 20-25			ine To Medium Sand /3 Medium Sand				
25-30	≈15		dium Coarse Sand				
20.65	4.5		th Gravel				
30-65	≈15		dium Coarse Sand th Gravel				
		•	314761				
			Distribution Media				Of Observation
-94.28' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation ≥2.65'/32" Of Separation				Depth I	o Redox Or End Of Separation	OI Observation	
	22.03/32 Of Separation						
End	Of Soil (	Observation At:	94.28'/65"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
		Conditions At:	None	Limiting Soil Conditions At:			
Star	nding Wa	iter Present At:	None	Standi	ng Wate	r Present At:	
Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 32 Inches Or Elevation of 96.93' At Soil Probe 1							

9 of 10

Signature:

### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.