Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 8841 Lake Jane Trl N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2011) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a seepage bed. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on July 9, 2024.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 8841 Lake Jane Trl N, Lake Elmo, MN 5504	12
Owner/representative: Russ Miller	Owner's phone: 651-431-0729
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast I	ift tank, and a seepage bed.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/9/2024	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immil	nent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	ct groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prot	_
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
•	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (April 1)	License number: L2896
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Informa	tion, Disclaimer

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	ո։
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	results:		
None of the above found.			
ink integrity — Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		
n k integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	1:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		ո։
Compliance criteria:	· 	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	· 	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky' Sev Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky' Sev Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance:	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ech)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ech)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ech)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess)	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ach) in three years;
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ach) in three years; sment complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Attention of the system er.	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ (must be with (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) ☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessor)	Pinky' Sev Service ess: L1673 7/9/2024 ach) in three years; sment complies

Other compliance conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsolves* ⋈ No □ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ⋈ Not applicable □ □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes □ No	
 □ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☒ Not applicable ☐ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4	ety?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4	☐ Yes* ☑ No
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4	☐ Yes* ☑ No
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4	
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* − Compliance component #4	
Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP — Compliance component #4	
Describe verification methods and results: Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable □ Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Not applicable ☐	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	of 5 🛛 Not applicable
is the system operated under an Operating Fermit?	If "voo". A holow in require
	If "yes", A below is require
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	if "yes", B below is require
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	ed.
Compliance criteria:	
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
Describe verification methods and results:	

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services			Date: <u>7/</u>	9/2024	
Soil separation – Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 2011 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	Soil observation Soil observation		Attached supporting documentation:	ng documentation:	
beverage loughly?			$oxed{oxed}$ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	l vertical separati	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐	☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ⊠ No*		Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*		
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes	□ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Describe verification methods and results:

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> <u>Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information</u>

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA	Compliance Inspection.
Date of Inspection: July 9, 2024	Time: 11:45 AM
Property Address: 8841 Lake Jane Trl N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042
Property Owner: Russ Miller	Phone: 651-431-0729
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If is performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the surface	ers should be made accessible to
	Γank size (gals.): 2-1000
	sidents in home?
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building	-
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other but	ildings?
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - North Side, Seepage Bed	
	well a deep well? Y
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc. to the system? If yes, explain:	
When was the system last pumped? 7/9/2024 Name of pum	per: Pinky's Sewer Service
	on a monitoring plan?
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y	
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the	e new owner?
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I als this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all wo by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing	law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in

Owner/Occupant: Date:



Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 8841 Lake Jane Trl N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042						
Observat	ions Made By:	Midwest Sewer Ser	vices Date:		7/9/2024	
Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Soi	l Observation:	ST-1	Soil Observation:		ST-2	
Surface Elevation of Observation	Benchmark =	99.50' enchmark = 100.00' shed floor threshold at overhead door		Surface Elevation of 99.50' Observation		99.50'
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils E	Encountered Depth In Inches		Rock %	Soils Encountered	
0-7 7-15 15-25 25-40 40-60 60-64	7.5YR 4 7.5YR 4/4 Sand 10YR 4/4 Load Medium Co 10YR 4/4 Market Lamellae 10YR 4/4 Market 10YR 3/3 Load 10YR 3/3 Loa	2/2 Silt Loam 4/4 Silt Loam dy Loam With Gravel my Fine Sand With arse Sand Layers edium Sand With Banding With e Of Gravel edium Sand With bamy Sand Layers R 5/8 Redox	0-14 14-26	≈15 ≈15-20	10YR 5/4 V 7.5YF 7.5YR 4/4 C 7.5YR 3/4 N V	ery Fine Loamy Sand ery Fine Loamy Sand R 4/4 Silt Loam lay Loam With Gravel Medium Coarse Sand Vith Gravel Ifusal At 58"
97.33' Elevation	n To Bottom Of I	Distribution Media	97.33'	Elevatio	n To Bottom O	f Distribution Media
-94.50' Depth	-94.50' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation		-94.67' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation			
=2.83'/34"	Of Separation		≥2.66	5'/32"	Of Separation	
End Of Soil	Observation At:	94.17'/64"	End Of	Soil Oh	servation At:	94.67'/58"
	Conditions At:	94.50'/60"			onditions At:	None
	ater Present At:	None			r Present At:	None
Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 26 Inches Or Elevation 97.33' At Soil Probe 1						

Signature:	Char	1/4	
------------	------	-----	--

Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 8841 Lake Jane Trl N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042						
		Midwest Sewer Ser	vices Date: 7/9/2024		7/9/2024	
Classific	ation System:	USDA				
Soi	l Observation:	ST-3		Soil Observation:		ST-4
Surface Elevation of Observation	Benchmark =	99.00' 100.00' shed floor It overhead door	Surface Elevation of 99.00' Observation		99.00'	
Depth In Inches Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches Rock % Soils Encountered			<u>Encountered</u>
0-20 20-27 27-40 40-48	10YR 4/4 Ver 7.5YR Very 7.5YR 4/4 Ver	y Fine Loamy Sand y Fine Loamy Sand Fine Loamy Sand y Fine Loamy Sand 8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox	0-18 18-24 24-27	≈15	10YR 5/ 7.5YR 5/8 8 10YR 4/4 N	ery Fine Sandy Loam 4 Clay Loam With 5 Few 10YR 6/2 Redox Medium Coarse Sand Vith Gravel
97.33' Elevation	n To Bottom Of I	Distribution Media	97.33'	Elevatio	n To Bottom O	f Distribution Media
		Of Observation				nd Of Observation
=1.66'/20"	Of Separation		=0	'/0"	Of Separation	
	Observation At:	95.00'/48"			servation At:	96.76'/27"
	Conditions At:	95.67'/40"			onditions At:	97.50'/18"
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: None Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 20 Inches Or Elevation 97.33' At Soil Probe 2						

Signature:









DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.