

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 3503120220029		number:
	Reason for Inspection	Transfer of deed
ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County		
roperty address: 12915 OZARK TRL N		*X
Owner/representative: BARNUM MARTHA J		Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 2019 replacement system. 2-1000 ga	illon septic tanks 1000 pump	tank to 10' x 45' mound
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/10/2024		
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice	ce of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an mminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 1454.04 subdivision 8 is allowed.	Systems failing to protect gro	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not Juarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its us	e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ile)	
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)		hoolth and anfata
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect aroundwater	nealli and Salety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Imminent threat to i	nublic health and cafaty
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect or	nundwator
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance company	variawater v+#2\
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	a to protect aroundwater	וו #יא) – Falling to protect groundwater
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	nliance component #4)	
Comments or recommendations	iphance component #4) – No	oncompliant - local ordinance applies
ertification		
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage.	in conditions during system cor	struction, possible abuse of the system,
nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage. If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form.	in conditions during system cor	struction, possible abuse of the system,
nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage. If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form. Isiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	in conditions during system cor	nstruction, possible abuse of the system, nowledge, and that this information can be
nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form. Isiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Spector signature: Shelley Schlomka	and correct, to the best of my k	nstruction, possible abuse of the system, nowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917
nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form. Usiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Spector signature: Shelley Schlomka (This document has been electronically signature)	and correct, to the best of my k	nstruction, possible abuse of the system, nowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage. If typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form. It is spector signature: Shelley Schlomka (This document has been electronically signature)	and correct, to the best of my k	nstruction, possible abuse of the system, nowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage. Y typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true as sed for the purpose of processing this form. Seed for the purpose of processing this form. usiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. spector signature: Shelley Schlomka (This document has been electronically signated signated supporting document has been electronically signated supporting document has been ele	and correct, to the best of my k	nowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage. Y typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true a sed for the purpose of processing this form. Seed for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my k	nowledge, and that this information can be Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 Phone: 651-343-9117

npact on public health – C Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentati	on:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* 図 No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health at	the system is an nd safety.		
Describe verification methods and			
nk intogrity Compliance			
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2 ☐ Yes* ☑ No	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentation	n: Pinky's
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin	Pinky's ess:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business. Date of maintenance:	Pinky's ess: 7/10/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Pinky's ess: 7/10/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* 図 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance	Pinky's ess: 7/10/2024 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's less: 7/10/2024 ach) hin three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance	Pinky's ess: 7/10/2024 ach) hin three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicated the service of the sewage tank in the sewage t	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the continuous documentation) (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's less: 7/10/2024 lach) hin three years) sment complies w

-	Rusiness Name: CC Contin Calutian 110	
	Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	Date: 7/10/2024
2	Othor committee	
<u>5.</u>	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* 図 No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
		b X Not applicable
	le the system anaratad under an Operation Demoita	
		"yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No If	"yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required

Business Name: SS Septic Solutions,	LLV.	Date:	7/10/2024
Soil separation - Compli	ance component #5	of 5	1.6
Date of installation 05/23/2019 (mm/dd/yyyy)			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/F beverage lodging?	ood 🖾 Yes 🗆 N	Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for the	
Compliance criteria (select on	ie):	Two previous verifications of required	
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, not located in Shoreland or Well Protection Area or not serving a beverage or lodging establishme	head food,		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot separation distance from periodical saturated soil or bedrock.	vertical cally		
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in	Shoreland	A. Bottom of distribution media	+2.5'
or Wellhead Protection Areas or food, beverage, or lodging estab	serving a lishment	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6"
Drainfield has a three-foot vertica	al	C. System separation	3'
separation distance from periodic saturated soil or bedrock.*	cally	D. Required compliance separation*	3'
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perfo systems built under pre-2008 Rul Type IV or V systems built under Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License r 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced License required > 2,500 gallons	les; 2008 required ≤ Inspector		
Drainfield meets the designed verse separation distance from periodic saturated soil or bedrock.	rtical		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Log Of Soil Borings

Boring Made By: Midwest Soil Testing Date: 6/28/17	Locat	ion of Project:	12915 Ozark Trail N,	May Twp.	MN	55082	
Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: 2	Bor	ings Made By:	Midwest Soil Testing	<u> </u>			6/28/17
Surface Elevation of Boring Number: 1 Surface Elevation of Boring Benchmark = 100,00° at screw in base of power pole Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth In Inches T.5YR 5/8 & 10/R 6/2 Redox 10/R 4/8, & 10/R 7/1 Redox 16-22 12-16 7.5YR 5/8 safety Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10/R 6/2 Redox 10/R 4/8, & 10/R 7/1 Redox 16-22 12-16 10/R 3/3 Safety Loam With 7.5YR 5/8, & 10/R 6/1 Redox 16-22 10/R 3/3 Safety Loam With Gravel ≈ 25% Rock Fregments 10/R 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≈ 25% Rock Fregments 10/R 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≈ 25% Rock Fregments 10/R 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≈ 25% Rock Fregments 10/R 3/4 Loamy Sand With Redox Present At: 7"/97.72" 50% Rock Present At: 16"/97.27" 10/R 3/3 Safety Rock Fregments 10/R 3/4 Loamy Sand With 10/R 3/4 Loamy Fine Sand With 10/R 3/4 Loamy Fine Sand With 10/R 6/1, 5/R 5/8, & 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 20" End Of Boring At: 36" 8 Redox Present At: 11"/97.38" 11"/97.38" 11"/97.38" 10/R 8/2 Present At: 11"/97.38" 11"/9							
Elevation of Boring Benchmark = 100.00' at screw in base of power pole Depth In Inches	Вс	Boring Number: 1					
Boring Dase of power pole Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Toyra 2/2 Loam Inches Toyra 2/2 Loam Toyra 3/3 Fine Sand Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/1 Redox 16-22 12-16 10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments Refusal At 22" Some Rock Fragments 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments Refusal At 22" Standing Water Present At: None Some Rock Present At: None Som				Surface			
Boring Dase of power pole Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches Toyra 2/2 Loam Inches Toyra 2/2 Loam Toyra 3/3 Fine Sand Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 7/1 Redox 16-22 12-16 10YR 3/3 Sandy Loam With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments Refusal At 22" Some Rock Fragments 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand With Gravel ≥25% Rock Fragments Refusal At 22" Standing Water Present At: None Some Rock Present At: None Som	Elevation of	Elevation of Benchmark = 100.00' at screw in			1		98.60'
Inches Soils Encountered Inches O-7 10YR 2/2 Loam 10YR 4/3 Fine Sand Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With Gravel ≥5% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/3 Sandy Sand With Gravel ≥5% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/3 Sandy Sand With Gravel ≥50% Rock Fragments Refusal At 22" Standing Water Present At:	Boring			E .			
Standing Solis End Of Boring At: 16"/97.27' Standing Solis Encountered Solis Encountered Solis S		Soils E	ncountered			Soils En	countard
Toys 4/3 Fine Sand Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 , 2.5YR 4/8 , & 10YR 7/1 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 , 2.5YR 4/8 , & 10YR 7/1 Redox 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 , 2.5YR 4/8 , & 10YR 7/1 Redox 10YR 3/3 Sandy Sand With Gravel ≥50% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/3 Sandy Sand Water Present At:	The same of the sa						
Standing Water Present At: 7"/97.72" 50% Rock Present At: 16"/97.27"	7-25 25-36	7-25 10YR 4/3 Fine Sand Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 25-36 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With		12-16 16-22		10YR 3/3 Sandy ≈25% Roo 10YR 3/4 Loamy ≥50% Roo	Loam With Gravel k Fragments Sand With Gravel k Fragments
Standing Water Present At: 7"/97.72" 50% Rock Present At: 16"/97.27"	En/	d Of Boring At-	2611			1000	
Standing Water Present At: None Boring Number: 3 Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-7 7-15 15-20 Find Of Boring At: 20" End Of Boring At: 15"/97.35' End Of Boring At: 15"/97.35' Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: None Boring Number: 4 Surface Elevation of Boring Number: 4 Surface Elevation of Boring Number: 98.30' Boring Depth In Inches 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 20" End Of Boring At: 20" End Of Boring At: 15"/97.35' Redox Present At: 11"/97.38'		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON					22"
Surface Elevation of Boring Number: 3 Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 15-20 Soils Encountered 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 20" End Of Boring At: 20" End Of Boring At: 20" End Of Boring At: 15"/97.35' Redox Present At: 15"/97.35' Standing Water Present At: 11"/97.38'							
Surface	Во	ring Number:	3				4
Boring				Surface	-		
Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Depth In Inches O-7 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand 11-36 11-36 10YR 4/2 Loamy Fine Sand With 10YR 6/1, 5YR 5/8, & 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 20" End Of Boring At: 20" End Of Boring At: 36" Redox Present At: 15"/97.35' Redox Present At: 11"/97.38' Standing Water Present At: New York New Yor	Elevation of		98.60'	Elevation	of	Ç	98.30'
Soils Encountered				CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Construction of the last of th		
0-7 7-15 15-20 7.5YR 2.5/2 Sandy Loam 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand 7.5YR 3/3 Loamy Sand With 7.5YR 5/8 Redox Refusal At 20" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: Redox Present At: Standing Water Present At: New York 2.5/2 Medium Sand 10YR 4/2 Loamy Fine Sand With 10YR 6/1, 5YR 5/8, & 7.5YR 5/8 Redox 10YR 6/1, 5Y		Soils E	ncountered			Soils En	countered
Redox Present At: 15"/97.35' Standing Water Present At: None Classic Water Present At: 11"/97.38'	0-7 7-15	7.5YR 3/3 7.5YR 3/3 L 7.5YR	3 Loamy Sand oamy Sand With 5/8 Redox	0-11		7.5YR 2.5/2 10YR 4/2 Loam	Medium Sand y Fine Sand With
Standing Water Present At: None	Fnc	1 Of Rorina At-1	2011			06 D	
THE PART OF THE PA		The state of the s				CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	The state of the s

Log Of Soil Borings

Lo	cati	on of Project:	12915 Ozark Trail N,	May Twp,	MN	1 55082	
E	<u>Bori</u>	ngs Made By:	Midwest Soil Testing	V IDDAY		Date:	10/15/19
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classification System:					
Boring Number: Pit 1			Во	oring Number:			
Surface 99		Surfac	e				
Elevation of Benchmark = 100.00' at screw in		Elevation	of				
Element to the second s	Boring base of power pole		Boring				
Depth In		Soils E	ncountered	Depth In		Soile Fr	ncountered
Inches 0-10				Inches		<u> </u>	icountereu
0-10 10YR 2/2 Loam Prismatic/Weak 10-44 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam Prismatic/Weak ≈ 30% Rock Fragments With 5YR5/8, 7.5YR5/8, & 10YR 6/2 Redox							
	Fnc	Of Boring At:	36"			1 0 C D : 3 : 1	
	Name and Address of the Owner, which the	ox Present At:		509	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	d Of Boring At: ock Present At:	
	-	er Present At:				ter Present At:	The state of the s
	Bor	ing Number:				ring Number:	
Surface				Surface	The second second		
Elevation	of			Elevation			
Boring				Boring	1		
Depth In Inches		Soils Er	ncountered	Depth In		Soils En	countered
THUES				Inches		JOHJ LI	councered
	End	Of Boring At:			Enc	d Of Boring At:	
	Redo	ox Present At:				ox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At:				COURSE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	ter Present At:		

Design Summary Page



						Droject ID		
At-Grade:						Project ID		
	Bed Width]ft	Bed Length		ft	Finished He	eight ft
Contour Lo	ading Rate]gal/ft l	Jpslope Berm]ft	Downslope B	erm ft
Ends	slope Berm]ft S	ystem Length]ft	System W	idth ft
Level & Equ	ial Pressure	e Distributio	n					
No.	of Laterals	3	Perfora	ation Spacing	3]ft Pe	rforation Diame	eter 7/32 in
Latera	l Diameter	1.50]in Min	Dose Volume	57	gal	Max Dose Volu	ume 113 gal
Non-Level a	nd Unequa	l Pressure D	istribution					
	Elevation (ft)	Pipe Size (in)	Pipe Volume (gal/ft)	Pipe Length (ft)	Perf Size (in)	Spacing (ft)	Spacing (in)	Minimum
Lateral 1								Dose Volume
Lateral 2 Lateral 3								gal
Lateral 4								
Lateral 5								Maximum Dose Values
Lateral 6								Dose Volume
9. Addition	val Info for	At Diale HC						gal
		At-Risk, HS						
A. Starting	RON Coucei	ntration = De	esign Flow X	Starting BOD	(mg/L) X 8	3.35 ÷ 1,000	,000	
	gpd >	\	mg/L	X 8.35 ÷ 1,00	0,000 =		lbs. BOD/day	
B. Target Bo	OD Concent	ration = Des	sign Flow X	Target BOD (n	ng/L) X 8.3	5 ÷ 1,000,00	00	
	gpd X		mg/L	X 8.35 ÷ 1,00	0,000 =		lbs. BOD/day	
				os. BOD To Be	Removed:			
Pre	Treatment 1	Technology:					*Must M	eet or Exceed Target
Di	isinfection 7	Геchnology:					*Reauire	ed for Levels A & B
C. Organic L	_oading to S	oil Treatmer	nt Area:					
	mg/L X		gpd	x 8.35 ÷ 1,00	0 000 -		$ft^2 = $	lbs./day/ft ²
10. Commen	ts/Special I	Design Consi			0,000			[US./Udy/IC
			1	1				
I hereby	certify that	I have comp	leted this v	vork in accord	lance with	all applicab	le ordinances.	rules and laws.
	ian Humpal		2	7 7	-mpal		L2896	6/28/17
(Designer)			(Signature	terrocal	L(L	icense #)	(Date)

Design Summary - Tank Sizes

1000	Tank 1 Size:	1000	Galons
10000	Tank 2 Size:	1000	Gallons
	Lift Station Size:	1000	Galiona

Design Summary - System Type

tysiem Lomponenis	Lights
Orstribution Types	Pressure Distribution-Level
Benchmark Elevation	TO Feet
Sencomark Location:	Screw At Sase Of Telephone Pole
System Type:	Type iii
Type of Distribution Media:	Drainted Rock

Invoice #4197 (04/14/2019)

Approvals

	Signature
Applicant	Brian Humpal - 04/14/2019 5:39 FM
	Saciffalado lilizor sediada patradalse
******* * ****************************	I 5051 x 5 5 c 4 f le 9 a " 5 3 0 d 0 c d 6 d a 4 2 a b 4 c
st Initial Office Review	Kati Mallermann - 04/23/2019 3:10 PM
	CARCOCOLLEZ CECCOLLES ESPECACE FERNANCE
	## 21
Zissue Permit	Joe Sanders - 05/22/2018 4 42 FM . L

	ctident etter ette

Installation Permit Application has been approved. All signatures have been obtained.

mm the

10 X 45 MOUND DZARK TR

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.