Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com MPC

Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55082

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2004) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield, which is located on an outlet north of the house.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:	
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55	5082		
Owner/representative: Paul Yokanovich		Owner's phone: 651-204-3416	
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast li	ift tank, and a rock trench dr		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/13/2024		_	
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	⊠ Noncompliant – Noti	ce of noncompliance	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be	
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	, •	e discontinued within ten months of receipt ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	امار		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immin ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	nent threat to public health a	nd safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	Imminent threat to public he	ealth and safety	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) –	- Failing to protect groundwa	iter	
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co	ompliance component #3) -	Failing to protect groundwater	
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prot	ect groundwater		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) - Noncomp	liant - local ordinance applies	
Comments or recommendations			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be	
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services		Certification number: 5342/9852	
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Hour 10		License number: L2896	
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-492-7550	
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)	
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	quired forms 🛮 Tank Integr	ity Assessment	
Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

ss Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: 8/13/2024
npact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5
Compliance criteria:	·	Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	
None of the above found.		
valo internita a Consulia a co		-f.F.
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
	component #2	
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⊠ No Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No Yes* ⋈ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates is failing to protect groundwate.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complied Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain Other:

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

651-296-6300

Use your preferred relay service •

800-657-3864

Available in alternative formats

Pro	operty Address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN 55082	
Bus	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 8/13/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or un	nsecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	ıfety? ☐ Yes* 🛭 No 🗌 Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4	of 5 Mot applicable
 -		
		If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No	If "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be comple	ted.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Sc	il separation	– Compliance cor	npone	nt #5 o	f 5		
Da	te of installation	2004 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown			
	oreland/Wellhead verage lodging?	protection/Food	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Soil observation logs completed for		
Co	mpliance criteria	a (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
		Yes No*		□ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)			
	Drainfield has at lease separation distance saturated soil or be	. ,					
	Non-performance s		☐ Yes	⊠ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
	April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
	food, beverage, or	everage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation			
	saturated soil or bedrock.*				D. Required compliance separation*		
					*May be reduced up to 15 percent if a Ordinance.	allowed by Local	
	systems built under Type IV or V system Rules 7080. 2350 c (Intermediate Inspe- 2,500 gallons per d	ns built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No*			
	Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or be	e designed vertical e from periodically					

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting on MPCA Company.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.				
Date of Inspection: August 13, 2024	Time: 10:30 AM			
Property Address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave N, Baytown Twp, MN	Zip: 55082			
Property Owner: Paul Yokanovich	Phone: 651-204-3416			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Septic Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *I				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance o	t the system.			
Year house built: 2004 Year septic installed: 2004	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000			
<u> </u>	residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	1?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the	septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?				
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other b	ouildings?			
Location of septic system on lot? North Side				
	he well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such a	s: tree roots, sewage back-ups,			
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? Name of pu	mper:			
	em on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ning this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to	the new owner?			
hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the ocal government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection				


this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for pay by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:	Date:

Soil Observations Log

	Locati	on of Project:	4872 Pinecroft Ave	N. Bav	town Tv	vp. MN 5508	2
			Midwest Sewer Ser			Date:	8/13/2024
7		ation System:	USDA				
	Soil	Observation:	ST-1	Soil Observation:		bservation:	ST-2
Surface Elevation of Observation		_	nd surface as last Tield trench	Elevat	face tion of vation	_	und surface as last infield trench
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	<u>Encountered</u>
0-3 3-12 12-18 18-35 35-46		10YR 4/4 L 10YR 4/4 F 10YR 10YR 4/4	Loamy Fine Sand Loamy Fine Sand Fine Sandy Loam R 3/4 Loam Silt Loam With 10YR 7/3 Redox	0-21 21-42 42-50 50-54		10YF 10YR 3, 10 10YR 3/4 5	R 4/2 Silt Loam R 3/4 Silt Loam V4 Silt Loam With VR 6/2 Redox Silt Loam (Saturated) 6/2 & 5YR 5/6 Redox
35" D	epth T	To End Of Soil Observation Or Redox			Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
Same El	levatio	on Of Observation Relative To System		Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To Syste		tion Relative To System	
		epth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		-30"			Distribution Media
=5" O	f Sepa	ration		=12"	Of Sepa	iration	
End Of	f Soil C	Observation At:	46"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	54"
		Conditions At:	35"			onditions At:	42"
		ter Present At:	None			r Present At:	None
- 5 - 111 - 111 - 110 -							

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 30 Inches		
Signature:	Offer 1/2	









DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.