Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bea 651-492-7550/Brian@Midw	r Lake, MN 55110 vestsoiltesting.com	Brian Humpal MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector			
	U	I (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT			
Date: 8/19/24 & 8/23/24	Time: 2:15 PM	Owner: Greg Rauchwarter			

Inspection Address: 5096 173rd St N, Hugo, MN 55038

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2015, which were on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 1992) consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the septic tank on August 19, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpol

Brian Humpal

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 11

F

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: <u>5096 173rd St N, Hugo, MN 55038</u>		
Owner/representative: Greg Rauchwarter		Owner's phone: 612-282-6759
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift	tank, and a mound.	

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/23/2024

Compliant – Certificate of compliance*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

□ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

Comments or recommendations

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built 🔲 Locally required forms 🖂 Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

https://www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 Use your preferred relay service Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 Page 1 of 4

Property Address:	5096 173 rd St N, Hugo, MN 55038
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 8/23/2024

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other:
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		_
Describe verification methods and	results:	

None of the above found.

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:	
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	Empty tank(s) viewed I	by inspector	
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:		Pinky's Sewer Service
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	License number of mai	intenance busines	s: <u>L1673</u>
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	8/19/2024	
		Existing tank integrity a	assessment (Attac	h)
_ If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within	three years)
Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwa		(See form instructions Minn. R. 7082.0700 su		nent complies with
		Tank is Noncompliant	(pumping not necess	ary – explain below)
		Other:		
Describe verification methods an	d results:			

4 of 11

Property Address:	_5096 173 ¹⁴ St N, Hugo, MN 55038
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

rd

Date: 8/23/2024

3. Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5

	Is th	e system operated under an Operating Permit?]Yes ∏No I	f "yes", A	below is required	i
4.	Ор	erating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp	onent #4 of	f5 🖂 N	lot applicable	
		Attached supporting documentation: 🛛 Not applicable 🛛				
		Describe verification methods and results:				
		*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.				
	3d.	System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?		□ Yes*	🖾 No	
	3c.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by	-	□ Yes*	🖾 No	
		*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safet				
	3b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public	health or safety	/? □ Yes*		
	<i>з</i> а.	\square Yes [*] \square No \square Unknown	u, etc.), or unset	Sureu?		
	3a	Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked	d etc.) or unser	cured?		

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \Box Yes \Box No If "yes", B below is required BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \Box Yes \Box No

Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)

5 of 11

Property Address:	5096 173 ¹⁰ St N, Hugo, MN 55038
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 8/23/2024

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1992 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		$oxed{intermattin}$ Soil observation logs completed for the	ie report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		Two previous verifications of required vertical separa		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, a	and 🛛 Yes 🗌 No*	$egin{array}{ccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2015.		
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built	🗌 Yes 🗌 No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishmen		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspect License required > 2,500 gallons per day	l≤ tor			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:


Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

<u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.					
Date of Inspection: August 23, 2024	Time: 2:15 PM				
Property Address: 5096 173 rd St N, Hugo, MN	Zip: 55038				
Property Owner: Greg Rauchwarter	Phone: 612-282-6759				
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Xift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other Other At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \square Yes \square No *If					
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t					
	Tank size (gals.): 1200				
	sidents in home?				
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g					
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath?	' N				
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N					
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? Sink in outbuilding, discharge unknown.					
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu	ildings? Unknown				
Location of septic system on lot? Northeast Side					
	e well a deep well? Y				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as					
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.	; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? If yes, explain:					
	per: Pinky's Sewer Service				
	n on a monitoring plan?				
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	2				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to th	e new owner?				

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:



Soil Observations Log

		Location of Project:	5096 173rd St N, Hugo, MN	1 55038			
		ervations Made By:	Midwest Sewer Services			Date:	8/19/2024
	Cla	assification System:	USDA				
		Soil Observation:	ST-1		ę	Soil Observation:	
	Surface Elevation of Observation 38" below top o		38" below top of mound on original contour		Surface Elevation of Observation		
Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-7		10YR 2/2 I	Loamy Fine Sand				
7-14 14-20		10YR 4/4 San 10YR 4/4 Calcium Carb Roots, A	Visturbed) Idy Loam (Disturbed) Clay Loam With Jonates, Silt Coatings, Ind Iron Nodules				
20-24		10YR 4/4 Calcium Carb Roots, In	Clay Loam With conates, Silt Coatings, on Nodules, And R 5/8 Redox				
20"	Depth To	End Of Soil Observa	tion Or Redox		Depth To	End Of Soil Observa	tion Or Redox
+38"		Of Observation Below Top Of Mound		Elevation Of Observation Relative To System			
-25" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			on Media		
=33"	Of Separa	tion			Of Separa	ation	
					·		
	End Of	Soil Observation At:	24"		End Of Soi	I Observation At:	
Limiting Soil Conditions At: 20"				oil Conditions At:			
Standing Water Present At: None				Standing V	Vater Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 25 Inches

Signature:

- Ul. the

Log Of Soil Borings

Locat	ion of Project:	5096 173rd Street N,	Huao, MN	55038			
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:		6/1/15		
		Hand/Bucket	Classif	ication System:	USDA		
Boring Number:		1	E	Boring Number:			
Surface 38" below		top of mound on inal contour	Surface Elevation o Boring				
Depth In Inches Soils E		ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	Soils Encountered		
0-11 11-28 28-40	10YR 4/3 Calcium Carbor 10YR 4/3 alcium Carbonal	2/2 Loam Clay Loam With nates & Iron Nodules Clay Loam With tes & Iron Nodules And 5/8, & 10YR 6/2 Redox					
28" De	pth To End Of B	Boring Or Redox	D	Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			
+38" Ele	evation Of Borin	g Below Top Of Mound	E	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			
-23"Depth To Bottom Of System=43"Of Separation			Depth To Bottom Of System Of Separation				
Fn	d Of Boring At:	40"	F	End Of Boring At:			
Redox Present At: 28"				Redox Present At:			
	Standing Water Present At: None			Water Present At:			

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 23 Inches

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

BORING NO. /		BORING NO. 2		BOR	BORING NO. 3		BORING NO. 4-	
DEPTH IN PRET	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH IN PERT	BOIL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER.	DEPTH IN PEET	BOIL DESCRIPTION	
0	DRE. BAN.	0	DRK. BRAL.	0	DKC·BALI·	0	PCZ. CR.H.	
ور المراجع المراجع	HINE SAMAY		prime some y		Fill Sawiy		sine smarry	
	Loom		lonin	8"	lonn		2000	
		<u> </u>	· ·	8	·	6''		
13	<u>j</u>		ETTIBRU KIUC SANA / COANA		ct. Ban . EHIC Sous / Loom		ATTIGALY . YEITEW ATTIGALY . YEITEW	
	LT BEN FINE		(cray rinn)		ر میں ایس		city com	
	sawy com			12			ļ	
15					47. cr.			
	LTYZHOW	ډ.د.			Kine saway			
	Burn , when the second			.2.3	Crayloan			
	loam		ET. yEllows Ban, hill		LTTYENOID	28		
			Source Cray		Break strice			
31"	l	.30''			com	{	Fine saway	
	2TT- 12110W		LT. Yallow		(571/2) •		City Lonn	
	BRA. FILL		BRAN, FILL SHUNY CINY	33"	-		(MOTTICO)	
	SAMU , CIAY		com	1	LTSYEHOW		(
	Leam		(norrion)	-	BRAL.FINS	Í	(WHITE Roces)	
ļ	MEAVY MOTTESA)	7 3''			source (ing		-	
4 5"]	T.yenew		(motties)		1	
	LT.YEllow.		Oray Ame	46 ··				
	Gray since		5 may		et.yanew			
	smul ciny		(Neary		Gray And Satisf Cray			
{	WHITE !	[Motte Cu		ionin			
	Record		(-1013T)		(Menuy (Morrise)			
	(misr)		· · · ·					
{	[Neavy							
	Aporrico)		 					
		6:0				6:0"		
<u>ç:o"</u>	3.1		640 B.Z	6:0"				
	- F		ŕ	{	6000	{		
	L L				t t			
	Γ				t t			
	Γ		. [
	Г	ļ	ſ	j	ſ	Ň.	1 .	

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.