

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions:

Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution

| Control Agency (MPCA) website at | | |
|---|---|---|
| Property information | Local tracking | ı number: |
| Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2102921340008 Local regulatory authority info: Washington County Property address: 8260 21ST ST N, CITY OF LAKE ELMO | Reason for Inspection | Transfer of deed |
| Owner/representative: BOURNE MICHAEL R & KATHERINE Brief system description: | M | Owner's phone: 651-331-6351 |
| System status | | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/14/2024 | | |
| □ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Noti | ce of noncompliance |
| (Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or | Systems failing to protect grouse discontinued within the t | ound water must be upgraded, replaced, of ime required by local ordinance. |
| a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) | An imminent threat to public | health and safety (ITPHS) must be |
| *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance. | of this notice or within a show under section 145A.04 subdi | e discontinued within ten months of receipter ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8. |
| ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component of Other Compliance Conditions) ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations) 1250 gallon septic tank, 1000 septic tank and 1000 lift tank | ent #3) – Imminent threat to ent #3) – Failing to protect gi 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater apliance component #4) – N | roundwater nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater oncompliant - local ordinance applies |
| Certification | | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | to determine the compliance sta vn conditions during system co | atus of this system. No determination of nstruction, possible abuse of the system, |
| By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form. | and correct, to the best of my l | knowledge, and that this information can be |
| Business name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. | | Certification number: 9917 |
| Inspector signature: Shelley Schlomka | | License number: 4137 |
| (This document has been electronically sign | • | Phone: 651-343-9117 |
| Necessary or locally required supporting do | cumentation | |
| ☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re☐ Other information (list): | quired forms | rity Assessment |
| ottns://www.ncastato.mn.us e GE1 20G G200 - 000 GE7 20G | | |

| Compliance criteria: | | | Attached supporting documentation: | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | | | | | | | | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | comp | onent #2 | | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, | compo | onent #2 | Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | | | Attached supporting documentation: | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: | | | | | | | |
| nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 8/7/2024 | | | | | | | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three within three years) | | | | | | | |

| | Property Address: 8260 21ST ST N, CITY OF LAKE ELMO | |
|----|--|--|
| В | Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. | Date: 8/14/2024 |
| | | |
| 3. | Other compliance conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| | 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec | :ured? |
| | ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown | |
| | 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety | ? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unknown |
| | | |
| | 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No |
| | 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes ⊠ No |
| | Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Attached supporting documentation. | |
| | Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| 1. | | 5 Not applicable |
| 1. | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of | |
| 1. | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | "yes", A below is required |
| 1. | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of | "yes", A below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |
| | Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Dyes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | "yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required |

| 30 378 | siness Name: SS Se | pulo Golduloris, LLC. | | | Date: | 8/14/2024 | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-------|---------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (| Soil separation | – Compliance cor | npone | nt #5 o | f 5 | | | | | | |
| | Date of installation | 9/10/1999 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unkr | own | | | | | | | |
| | Shoreland/Wellhead | protection/Food | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation | | | | | | |
| ances | beverage lodging? | | | | Soil observation logs completed for | the report | | | | | |
| (| Compliance criteria | a (select one): | 7 | | ☐ Two previous verifications of require | d vertical separatio | | | | | |
| į | not located in Short Protection Area or in beverage or lodging | not serving a food, | ☐ Yes | □ No | ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are | ea) | | | | | |
| | Drainfield has at least separation distance saturated soil or be | ast a two-foot vertical from periodically drock. | | | | | | | | | |
| E | b. Non-performance s | | ⊠ Yes | П Мо | Indicate depths or elevations | | | | | | |
| | April 1, 1996, or late performance system | ns located in Shoreland | | | A. Bottom of distribution media | 24" | | | | | |
| | or Wellhead Protect food, beverage, or l | tion Areas or serving a lodging establishment: | | | B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock | 60" | | | | | |
| | Drainfield has a thre | ee-foot vertical | | | C. System separation | 36" | | | | | |
| | separation distance saturated soil or be | | | | D. Required compliance separation* | 36" | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if al Ordinance. | lowed by Local | | | | | |
| 5 | Systems built under Type IV or V systen Rules 7080. 2350 o (Intermediate Inspec 2,500 gallons per da | pre-2008 Rules; ns built under 2008 | ☐ Yes | □ No | | | | | | | |
| | Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or bed | designed vertical from periodically | | | | | | | | | |

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Sewage tank integrity assessment form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wg-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).

| Owner information | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Owner/Representative Mile is Korny Source Property address: Regulatory Authority: Love Eline May 55042 Parcel ID: | |
| System status Parcel ID: | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyy): \U/2/1/2 | |
| ☐ Certificate of sewage tank compliance ☐ Notice of sewage ta | nk non-compliance |
| Compliance criteria: | |
| Groundwater." | ☐ Yes* EINo |
| The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed operating depth - "Failure to Protect Groundwater." | U Yes* U No |
| The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe condition - "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety." | LI Ves: LI No |
| | |
| Company information Company name: Poly Sover Service Print name: New Company name: N | al (DCI) information |
| Certification number: <u>(28)</u> I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated Certified Individual of a Minnesota- maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I personally conducted the necessary procedure status of each sewage tank in this SSTS. | |
| By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form. | |
| Designated Certified Individual's signature | dd/yyy): (3) / 1 / 2 = 1 |
| | |

Dana & LA

| v 03.15.2023 | 8260 21st Street North - Lake Elmo | ganic Matter Disturbed/Fill | Flooding/Run-On potential: | evation-Relative to benchmark: | Limiting Layer Elevation: | Auger | i | Grade Consistence | | | | | | - R | | | | | | | 8/14/2024 | ature helow represents an infield verification of | | |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|-------|---|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|--|----------|---------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| roject ID: | 1 / Address: | Alluvium Bedrock Organ | Convex, Convex | Surface Ele | | Observation Type: | | Shape | | | | 3 3 3 | | 3 2 3 | | | | | | lles and laws. | | (License #) | | |
| | Location | rine [기 Loess [] Till [] | Stope shape: | | Sunny warm | <u>S</u> | | (S) DELY XODD | | | | | | | | | | | | applicable ordinances, ru | XIII | ture) | al site. | |
| Observatio | / Bourne | Outwash Lacust | Stope %: | Soil survey map unit | 8/14/2024 | See | 7 (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) (0) | יסוסי שטייטאר (ע) וסוסי | 4/3 | | 4/4 | | 7.4 | | | | | | | in Socondance with all | | il observation was Verifix | oposed soil treatment an | |
| | Mike and Kathy | heck all that apply) | Back/Side Slope | Lawn | er Conditions: | | | Frag. % Maulx C | 7.5YR | | 7.5YR/ | 2 | 7.5YR, | | | | | | | completed this work | | oby cartify that this co | r bedrock at | |
| | | material(s): (C | e Position: | | ne of Day/Weather | vation #/Location: | | אַ ט | +1:5 | ֓֞֝֟֝֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֡֓֡֓ | medium " | Loamy Sand | Medium | Sandy Loam | | | | | ts: | certify that I have c | Shelley Schlomka | 10, 5 | iodically saturated soil o | |
| | Client: | Soil parent | Landscape | Vegetation: | Date/Time | Observa | Don*h (ii) | | 0.24" | - | 77" 27 | • | 27" . Kn" | | | | | | Comments | I hereby c | | | the period | |

SANTAN/ HOMES 26 69 Mary Sales BUMP LINE 1 1

2SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. The report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance.