Midwest Sewer Services

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: August 22, 2024 **Time:** 12:15 PM **Owner:** Jeremiah & Jennifer Menke

Inspection Address: 16065 1st St N, Lakeland, MN 55043

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of three pre-cast septic tanks and a pre-cast lift tank (installed in 2016) and a rock trench drainfield (installed in 1972). It should be noted that the average life expectancy of a septic system is approximately 30 years. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on August 22, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system presently meets MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 16065 1st St N, Lakeland, MN 55043			
Owner/representative: Jeremiah & Jennifer Menke	Owner's phone: 651-248-8228		
Brief system description: Three pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast	t lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 8/22/2024			
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)		
 Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) − Immin Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) − Failing to prote Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) − System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #5) − Failing to prote Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Comments or recommendations 	ct groundwater - Imminent threat to public health and safety - Failing to protect groundwater - Impliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater - Failing to protect groundwater		
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal (After 1)	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)		
Soil observation logs	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit		
oximes Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information	tion, Disclaimer		

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

800-657-3864

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		n·
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	n:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		n:
Compliance criteria:	· ·	Attached supporting documentation	n: Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· ·	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's Service
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin	Pinky's 3 Service ess: L1673 8/22/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> 8/22/202 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's S Service ess: <u>L1673</u> 8/22/202 ach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 8/22/202 ach) nin three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busin Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Att	Pinky's Service ess: L1673 8/22/202 ach) nin three yea
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 8/22/202 ach) nin three year sment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines business busine	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 8/22/202 ach) nin three year sment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the minus of the maintenance) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Pinky's S Service ess: L1673 8/22/202 ach) nin three year sment comple

Pro	perty Address: 16065 1 st St N, Lakeland, MN 55043	
	siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 8/22/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unso	ecured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	ty? ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached compating decompositions \(\times \) Net applicable \(\times \)	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 c	of 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	d.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Soil separation – Compliance cor	_	-		
Date of installation 1972/2016 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		⊠ Reviewed permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Midwest Sewer Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection. Date of Inspection: August 22, 2024 Time: 12:15 PM Property Address: 16065 1st St N, Lakeland, MN Zip: 55043 Property Owner: Jeremiah & Jennifer Menke Phone: 651-248-8228 Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Other Septic 3 Fiberglass Rock trench Alternative system Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Experimental system ⊠Lift Metal Chamber trench Cesspool system Holding ⊠Concrete Seepage bed Other system Mound Other: Block Other At-grade Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system. Year house built: 1972 Year septic installed: 1972/2016 | Tank size (gals.): 3-1000 How long has seller owned the property? Number of residents in home? Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gravity? Y Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath? N More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N Location of septic system on lot? North Side Location of water well on lot? South Side Is the well a deep well? N Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If ves, explain: When was the system last pumped? 8/22/2024 Name of pumper: Pinky's Sewer Service How often pumped in previous years? Is system on a monitoring plan? Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Date:

Owner/Occupant:

Soil Observations Log

Location of Project: 16065 1st St N, Lakeland, MN 55043						
Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer Se		vices		Date:	8/22/2024	
Classification System:		USDA				
Soil Observation:		ST-1	Soil Observation:			
Surface		99.20'	Surf	ace		
Elevation of Observation	Benchmark = 100.00'		Elevat Obser	ion of vation		
Depth In Inches Rock %		ncountered	Depth In Inches	Rock %	<u>Soils</u>	Encountered
0-14 14-38 38-50 50-60 60-64	7.5YR 2. 10YR 4 10YR 5 7.5YR 4/4 Fin 7.5YR 5/8 8	.5/2 Silt Loam 5/2 Fine Sand /4 Fine Sand /4 Fine Sand e Sandy Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox				
		Distribution Media				f Distribution Media
-94.20' Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation			Depth T	o Redox Or E	nd Of Observation	
=2.58'/31" Of Separation				Of Separation		
End Of Soil Observation At: 93.87'/64"		End Of	Soil Obs	servation At:		
	il Conditions At:	94.20'/60"			onditions At:	
	ater Present At:	None			r Present At:	
Bottom Of Distri	oution Medium At:	29 Inches Or Elevatio	on 96.78	' At Soil	Probe 1	

Signature:

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 16065 1st St N, Lakeland, MN 55043					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:	2/15/16	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classification System:		USDA	
Boring Number: 1			Boring Number:		
Surface Elevation of Boring Same ground surface as distribution inspection pipe		Surface Elevation of Boring	of		
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	ncountered_
0-12 12-37 37-54 54-80	10YR 2/2 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/3 Loamy Sand 10YR 2/2 Loamy Sand				
80"	80" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox
Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System	E	Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System
-40" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				of Distribution Media	
≥40" Of Separation		C	Of Separation		
	End Of Boring At-	80"		End Of Boring Att	
	End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	None		End Of Boring At: Redox Present At:	
	Water Present At:	None		Water Present At:	
Stariding	Tracer i reserie At.	NOHE	Stariding	Tracer i reserie Att	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 40 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.