Ed Eklin Septic System Design

2303 County Road F East · White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-485-2300 zeklins@gmail.com

September 22, 2024

Paul Yokanovich 4872 Pinecroft Ave. N Stillwater, MN 55082

Dear Paul:

At your request, a sewage treatment system compliance inspection was performed at the property located at 4872 Pinecroft Ave. N, Stillwater, MN. (Baytown Township), for your existing subsurface sewage treatment system.

I was hired by you to design a new sewage treatment system because it failed an inspection performed by another party due to soil separation. I had performed two soil borings, one on each end of the drain field and found no signs of a seasonal water table.

The inspecting party and I met onsite to review the soils together. We disagreed on the soil conditions and status of the drain field.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to call me. I would be glad to help.

Sincerely,

El Ell

Ed Eklin



Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1002920220021	Reason for Inspection	Home sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County		
Property address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave. N, Stillwater, MN 55082		
Owner/representative: Paul Yokanovich		Owner's phone: 651-204-3416
Brief system description: Two septic tanks, a pump tank and dra	infield trenches	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _9/22/2024		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and		ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)		health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.		ter period if required by local ordinance or
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	le)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	- Imminent threat to public	health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		-
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	,	nt #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	- · · -	to a construction of the other section of the secti
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – N	oncompilant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Ed Eklin septic system design		Certification number: 3268
Inspector signature: Ed Eklin		License number: 3321
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 651-485-2300
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	pe attached)
☐ Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re	<u>`</u>	
Other information (list):		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documen	tation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		_	
Describe verification methods and	l results:	-	
n k integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		
n k integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documen	tation:
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documen	
	· 		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	· 	Attached supporting documen	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	or <u>Pinky's</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	or <u>Pinky's</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance	Pinky's pusiness: <u>4251</u> 10/12/202
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment	Pinky's Pinky's 251 10/12/202 It (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance 10/12/2	Pinky's pusiness: 4251 10/12/202 It (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must b	Pinky's Pusiness: 4251 10/12/202 It (Attach) 4 e within three years
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance 10/12/2	Pinky's Pusiness: 4251 10/12/202 It (Attach) which is the expense of the complication of the complete of the compl
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance in Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance 10/12/2 (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be	Pinky's pusiness: 4251 10/12/202 It (Attach) 4 e within three years assessment complies)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documen Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance in Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure a Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)	Pinky's pusiness: 4251 10/12/202 It (Attach) 4 e within three years assessment complies)

_	Property Address: 4872 Pinecroft Ave. N, Stillwater, MN 55082	
В	Business Name: Ed Eklin septic system design	Date: 9/22/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	cureu :
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	/2 □ Vos* □ No □ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	7: Tes No Olikilowii
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	□ Voo* ☑ No
		☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Not applicable □	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
	le the eyetem enerated under an (Increting Dermit')	f "vos" A bolow is required
		f "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No I	
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? \[Yes \in No \] BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? \[Yes \] No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? \[Yes \] No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	f "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

usiness Name: <u>Ed Eklin</u>	septic system design		Date: _	9/22/2024
Soil separation -	- Compliance cor	nponent #5 o	f 5	
	2004 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead p	rotection/Food	☐ Yes ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?			$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report
Compliance criteria	(select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	d vertical separatio
5a. For systems built pri not located in Shore. Protection Area or n beverage or lodging	land or Wellhead ot serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	a)
Drainfield has at leas separation distance saturated soil or bed	from periodically			
5b. Non-performance sy		⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or late performance system	r or for non- is located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	30"
	ion Areas or serving a odging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	>66"
Drainfield has a thre			C. System separation	36"
separation distance	from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	66"
saturated soil or bed	lrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance.	owed by Local
2,500 gallons per da	pre-2008 Rules; is built under 2008	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
Drainfield meets the separation distance saturated soil or bed	from periodically			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021



Soil Observation Log

Project ID: v 04.02.2024

Client:							Locati	ion / Address:			
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (Ch	neck all th	at apply)	✓ Out	wash 🔲 l	_acustrine	✓ Loess ☐ Till ☐	Alluvium 🔲 B	edrock 🗌 Orgai	nic Matter 🔲 Distur	bed/Fill
Landscape P	osition:	Back/Side	Slope		Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-0	On potential: No
Vegetation:	F	orest		Soil su	irvey map	units:			Surface Ele	vation-Relative to	benchmark:
Date/Time o	f Day/Weathe	r Conditio	ns:	8/21	/2024		9:20 AM	sui	nny	Limiting Laye	r Elevation:
Observatio	n #/Location:	BH	l1					Observat	ion Type:		Auger
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	I Structur Grade	eI Consistence
0-12	Fine Sandy		10YR	3/1					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
0-12	Loam		10YR	3/2					ыску	Moderate	Triable
12-24	Fine Sandy Loam		10YR	4/4					Blocky	Moderate	Friable
24-48	Silt Loam		10YR						Blocky	Moderate	Firm
			10YR								
48-66	Coarse Sand	20	7.5YR	5/3					Single grain	Structureless	Loose
Comments:	Okay 5' 6"										
I hereby cert	ify that I have o	completed	this work	in accor	dance wit	h all appli	icable ordinances	, rules and law	s. 3321		9/22/2024
(Desi	gner/Inspecto	r)			(!	Signature)	•	(License #)		(Date)
<u>Optional Veri</u>	•	by certify			ation was \	erified ac	cording to Minn. R.	7082.0500 subp	• •	ature below represe	nts an infield verification of
(LGU/D	esigner/Inspec	ctor)			(!	Signature)	•	(Cert #)		(Date)



Soil Observation Log

Project ID: v 04.02.2024

Client:							Locati	on / Address:				
Soil parent n	naterial(s): (C	heck all th	nat apply)	☑ Out	wash 🔲 I	acustrine	✓ Loess ☐ Till ☐	Alluvium 🔲 Be	edrock 🗌 Orga	nic Matter 🔲 Distur	bed/Fill	
Landscape Po	osition:	Back/Side	e Slope		Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-C	On potential:	No
Vegetation:	ı	Forest		Soil su	ırvey map	units:			Surface Ele	vation-Relative to	benchmark:	
Date/Time o	f Day/Weathe	er Conditio	ns:	8/21	/2024		9:40 AM	sur	nny	Limiting Layer	r Elevation:	
Observation	n #/Location:	BH	12					Observat	ion Type:		Auger	
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix	Color(s)	Mottle	Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)		I Structur		
1 \ /		Frag. %				\	. ,	\	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-12	Silt Loam		10YR	3/1					Blocky	Moderate	Firm	
12 42	Cilt Loom		10YR	4/4					Dlocky	Moderate	Firm	
12-43	Silt Loam								Blocky	Moderate	Firm	
Comments:	Okay 43"obs	truction										
I hereby certi	fy that I have	completed	this work	in accor	dance wit	h all appli	icable ordinances	, rules and law	S.			
	Ed Eklin			Ed	2	ES	Our		3321		9/22/2024	
Optional Veri	gner/Inspecto fication: I here ly saturated soi	by certify			ation was v		cording to Minn. R.	7082.0500 subp	(License #) b. 3 A. The sign	ature below represe	(Date) nts an infield verificati	ion of
								_				
(LGU/D	esigner/Inspe	ctor)	-		(:	Signature)	· '	(Cert #)		(Date)	



Department of Public Health and Environment

14949 62nd Street North PO Box 6

Office: 651-430-6655 TTY: 651-430-6246 Fax: 651-430-6730

Stillwater MN 55082-0006

Community:

Baytown Township

Permit Number:

0002-04-20

Owner:

Paul Yokanovich

1781 CNTY RD B

Roseville MN 55113-

Applicant:

Paul Yokanovich

Review Fee: \$205.00 Permit Fee: \$215.00 Total Fee: \$420.00 **Previous Payment** \$420.00 Balance Due \$0.00

scanned

PERMISSION IS HEREBY GRANTED

To execute the work specified in this permit on the following identified property upon express condition that said persons and their agents, and employees shall conform in all respects to the provisions of Ordinance #128, Washington County Development Code, Chapter Four, Individual Sewage Treatment System Regulations. This permit may be revoked at any time upon violation of any of the provisions of said ordinance.

Project Address:

4872 Pinecroft AVE N

Geo Code:

10-029-20-22-0021

Designer:

Eklin Soil Testing & Inspections, Inc.

Tuna of Cuatami. Ctanda	ud Duainfiale	1			Pressure Distribution
Type of System: Standa	ira prainiteid	1			N/A
Design Criteria	1	Drainfield	Sizing		
Percolation Rate:	34	Square Feet:	1200		
Depth To Restriction:	66	Lineal:	400	Feet	
Land Slope:	15.00%	Depth Of Rock Below:	12	Inches	
Flow Rate:	600	Maximum Trench Depth:	30	Inches	
Number of Bedrooms:	4	Number Of Trenches:	5		
☐ Graveliess		Length Of Trenches:	80	Feet	
☐ Chambered		Spacing Of Trenches;	7	Feet	•
	ı	Tank Sizes			
Tank 1: 1000 Tar	nk 2: 1000	Tank 3: 0	Lift Station:	1000	

Authorized Work/Special Conditions

- Install individual sewage treatment system as per approved design in area tested and shown on the site plan.
- Maximum trench depth 30 inches into natural soil.
- Minimize number of trees cut down to install system.
- Minimum 50 feet from septic tank/drainfield to well.
- Rock only. No chambers. No gravelless.
- Rope off and protect tested area from all vehicle traffic.
- System cannot be installed if frozen at trench depth. 7.
- This system must be installed by a certified/licensed sewage treatment system installer holding a current license with the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. (A list of installers is available at your request.)

Permit Issue Date:

10/27/2004

Permit Expiration Date:

10/27/2005

Christopher W. LeClair, REHS Senior Environmental Specialist



~County	Individual Sewage Treatment System Inspection Form
Project Address: 4872 Pinecroft AVE N Community: Baytown Township Owner: Paul Yokanovich Applicant: Paul Yokanovich	Application ID: 0002-04-20 Geo Code: 10-029-20-22-0021 Type of System: Standard Drainfield Designer: Eklin Soil Testing & Inspections, Inc.
Type of Installation:	Chris LeClair
nataller: Tom POWERS	
Site Review	Mounds / At-Grade
Conclusions: Soil Boring Site Suitable Site Unsuitable Depth of Pit/Boring Comments Comments	Downslope Width Perf Size/Spacing Sideslope Width Pipe Size/Spacing
	Pressure Bed Dimensions: Length Width
Sewage / Holding Tanks	Pump Information
Tank 1 1000	Lift Station Capacity /DDO Feet of Head Horsepower/GPM Size of Discharge Line: Gallons Per Cycle Type/Location or Alarm
Trenches, Bed or Gravelless Drai	nfield Setbacks
☑ Drop Box ☐ Distribution Box ☑ Gravity ☐ Pump To	rench Pressure Bed Building(s) to tanks >10
☐ Serial ☐ Parallel ☐ Chambers ☐ Gravelle	Surface Water Surface Surface
Trench Depth (in) T1 20~30 Trench Length (ft) T2 100 Trench Width T3 20~30 T3 100 24" 36" 36" 0the T4 20~30 T4 100 Trench Spanning Trench Spanning Trench Spanning	Rock Below Pipe Property Lines **** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***
Pressure Bed Dimensions: Length Width A	bsorption Area 1200FT2 PSI PSI PSI
Comments	

Inspector



SITE REVIEW and/or SEPTIC PERMIT APPLICATE Washington County Public Health & Environment 14949 62nd Street N. PO Box 6. SHIWATER, MN 55082-0006 PUBLI

The manual state of the state o	651/430-6688 F	85)430.07	uy 55082-0006 30	PUBLIC	15 AL 74	44
ake checks payable to WASHINGTO \$715 - Disinfield System Permit \$350 - Mound System Permit \$350 - Alternative/Experimental System	N COUNTY \$203 - Individual Lote \$140 - Subdivision Se Plus \$63/lot	ilSite Revious . Bas	se fee	Receipt #	3/9	
\$ 85 - Additional Review Fee (I hour minimum)	5105. Renewal of in			<u> </u>	04-2	<u>'0</u>
Lot / Block 2	PINECROFT			(אסו\$וי)		29 N
PAUL YOKANOVICH	Address	City	UN'TUSP.	State		Phone
Owner (il different from applicatif)	1781 co. RD	City	Rosevius.	AM/ State	<u> 5 5/1 3</u> Zip	Phone
New Home Existing Home New Builness		-5040	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Check the following flature(s) which are or well be inte			Number Of Bedro		Gallons Per	Day:
\ \\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\			al Bathing Facility: (securel, bot t	ub. etc.)	
New Home ○ Drainfield System Mound Syst			Baisting Permit Re	inewal 🗀		
Existing Rome Replacement System Drainfield			Replacement Only 🗌)		
Site Approval Only 2 If this site has been previous	ly approved, attach copy of a	proval letter	Additional Soil Te	I Data for Pr	eviously Appr	oved Sire
The following exhibits are required as part of this application of buildings. In thoes, percolation less holes, as Final Building Plan. The house and the drainfield areas	cation and shall be attached her oil boring holes, proposed locat must be staked. Inaccurate or	cio. Percolation Te ion of system and w	st Reports: Soil Borlo	Logs; Sne	Plan drawn to	*****
be done in strict accordance with ordinances and regula submitted herewith, and which are reviewed by Washin particular location, shall become a part of the permit. A performing inspections required and that no part of the INSTALLATION AT A SPECIFIC LOCATION; At responsibility of the applicant for the permit to notify the inspection.	cation for Permit to Install or E tions of the County of Washing ston County, together with any pplicant further agrees to provi system shall be covered until it YY DEVIATION FROM THE 6 Office of the Washington. Co	atend Sewage Trestion, Minnetota, Aprilement and/or de access, at reason has been inspected to APPROVED LOGunty Dept of Public	ment System herein a pplicable agrees that the restriction made necreable times, to Washin and accepted APPI, CATION WILL VOIT Health & Environment	pecified, agree Slig Plan, Sessary by congular County ICATION IS ID THE PER	keiches and Di keiches and Di difform peculia for the purpos is FOR AN UMIT. It skall stallation is res	esign ar to a e of be the ady for
t hereby certify the above to be true and correct. In of Public Health and Environment permission to ente location, design, and contraction, which may include PAUL VOKANOUICH	connection with your request to upon my property during a minor excavation or soli bor	for a soll review/se ormal business hos logs by the Depart	plic permit, I hereby irs for the purpose o ment.	give Washi I determinin	ngion County ig the suitabili	Department lly of the
By Dalcer			10	12	·	
Signature of Applicant (Owner	of Contractor)			/2-	2004	·
THE AI	REA BELOW IS FO	R COUNTY	USE ONLY	•		
SITE EVALUATION BY INSPECTOR	CWL		2000 2004			
SETBACKS:		REOIDERD ICIE	CLE APPROPRIA	PR IMPLACE		**
Well (including adjacent property)	•	50' 75'	100' 150'	(R)1.18W(R)	<u> </u>	TUAL
Weiland, Pond, Lake, Stream, River, or Blufflina	•		75' 100' 150	י	l I	
CONCLUSIONS: Site Suitable 💆 - Site Unsulta	ble: D Additional Te	sta Required: 🗀			1¢1	Dadasa
NOTES: Loi Size	Year Built	•	Born			
10 029 20220021	•		085	TR. (+	eack)	030°
4872 PINECROFT	AVE N		7			•
1 - 11:40 =						

MSepticlSeptic Porms/Septic Application Form wpd



AL (GEGERE HEINE HEINE HEINE HEINE GEGEREN BETEINE BETEIN BETEIN BETEIN BETEIN GEGEREN GEGEREN GEGEREN HEINE HE

Department of Public Health and Environment

14949 62nd Street North PO Box 6

Stillwater MN 55082-0006

Office: 651-430-6655 - TTY: 651-430-6246 - Fax: 651-430-6730

Individual Sewage Treatment System Certificate of Compliance

Type of System:

Standard Drainfield

Permit Number:

0002-04-20

Property ID Number:

10-029-20-22-0021

Property Address:

4872 Pinecroft AVE N

Community:

Baytown Township

Date of Installation:

November 18, 2004

This certifies that the individual sewage treatment system installed at the aforementioned address was inspected during installation and found to be in compliance with requirements of the Washington County Development Code, Chapter Four, Individual Sewage Treatment System Regulations (Washington County Ordinance No. 128). This Certificate of Compliance is valid for five (5) years from the date of issuance unless Washington County finds evidence of an imminent threat to public health and safety. Supporting documentation with detailed information on the system can be found on the attached as-built.

Christopher W. LeClair, REHS

Senior Environmental Specialist



AS-BUILT REPORT INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM

Washington County Public Health & Environment
14949 – 62ND ST N, PO BOX 6, STILLWATER, MN 55082 N006
651/430-6688 OR 651/430-6655 FAX 651/430-6730 22 2/

1 Nov-0000 UR 651/43	30-6655	FAX 651/420 874	96
Legal Description or Complete Street Address		FAX 651/430-6730	* 22 20
L .		City of Township	<000
Owner Name		} · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Installer Outdoor Design Mail Address Tom Powers P.O. Boy Tank Information Tank Manufacturer: O1	·· - · · - · · · · · · · - · · · ·		
TOKANOVICH YOUR D.		City	State
Dutton Dai 10/2 PIN	ECROPH AUD	AL BAYYOUN TW	P. State Zip
To 14 Do Lesign Wall Address	1 11	Stillwate	e/ MN 55000
Septic Tank Information	tun	Chy	State Zip
Tank Manufacturer	3//	Hugo Liquid Capacity	1444
Plaint		Liquid Capacity	IMN 55038
MDRA PM	PANIES	D a .	
Tank Manufacturer: PLAINTE Comp. Tank Manufacturer: PUMP CHA	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	2 6 1000 91	HONS PANT
Tank Manufacturer: PUMP CHA	AMBER (if install		CV
Placing Capacity:	Horson	ed)	
Pump Discharge Cos. 1000 94//24/5	noisepower o	Pump: 4/10 HP Typ	6 of Warning D
Sistingly in Gallons Per Minute:	STARIK	EC 44212 T	O/
Pump Discharge in Gallons Per Minute: 28 GRM @ 25' h CAC	Number of Ga	llons Per Cycle	nombus
CAS NEAC	<i>t</i>)	30	
ORANGICI O		50	
Width: DRAINFIELD TRENCH			
Depth of Trench Bottom from Finished Grade:	Reck Bed Leng	BED OR MOU	ND
Depih of Trench Bottom (** 100 '	Seo Faul	(n: Width:	Area:
Bottom Finished Grade:	- 10-10	_ (N/A /) '""" /
20" -30"	Bed Depth Kom	Grade:	
Method of Distribution: OPressure		\	
Distribution Box Prop Box	MOUND:	1	
	Upslope Sand B	ase Depth:	
Depth of Rock Under Distribution Pipe.			Downslope Sand Base Depth:
,	Depth of Rock U	oder Progr	
Square Foolage of Tested Area Used:		oca i ibe.	
O C	-{		a a
Trench Bottom Square Footbage Area As Built		ppccount	
Required: Area As Built:		PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION	NSYSTEM:
	Lateral Inside Diameter:	Length:	
	Sidnieter:	1	Perforation Size:
1200 Sq.ft. 1200 St. AL	Spacing	Alimat	
Complete site plan on attached at	2	Number:	Perforation Spacing:
Complete site plan on attached sheet. On the site plan, include location of the Structures, septic tank, purpophers to the site plan.	he following it		
Chairman at the Paris Chairman has been been been been been been been bee			
distribution lines teneth at the sewage treatment system (distribution lines teneth at the sewage treatment system (distribution lines teneth at the sewage treatment system (distribution lines)	ent system, distributi	On lines attacks of	
Structures, septic tank, pump chamber, line from house to tank treatment show all distances applicable to the sewage treatment system (distance sale of the plan.	ce from structure to the	ank, tank to treatment and	boxes, well, and driveway
Show all distances applicable to the sewage treatment system (distance sale of the plan. hereby certify that the system at the plan.	and sewage treatme	ent system). Indicate NORT	n, distance between
hereby certify that the system at the above			from the site plan and the
idividual Sewage Treatment System Ordin	bollsteni ssw 88	0000	
hereby certify that the system at the above referenced addre	S,	according to the Wash	ington County
		•	
V MPCA L	icense #: 1360	79/414	, 1
		121969 Date	ed: <u>///8/</u> 04
MACHINATON	· ····································	는 도: ''(3)''의 ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)' ''(3)	7

WASHINGTON COUNTY SEPTIC PERMIT NUMBER 0002-04-20

= 4" capped inspection risers: 4 e 100 trenches deep boxes 164 " 2" schedule 40 PVC PRESSURE Outlot A OLD RAILROAD Ged, elevated 1 & 1000 gallow lift trank. -2 e loo e gallow septictanks. PVC build my sewep. property GARAGE typical -House (PRoposed) Pinecroft Ave. No. System Tustalled & INSpected ON 11/18/04. 7 inferrer West #1x 1369 \$ 1464



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Sewage tank integrity assessment form

Subsurface Sewage

Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form may be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at:

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certifled Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes necessary supporting documentation to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wg-wwists4-31b). This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is required when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agentor is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C),

Owner/Representative Lindu (Okanovich Property address: 4812 Pinecrost Ave	
Local Regulatory Authority: Still auctor, MN 55082 Parcel II	D:
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): VO/12/74	
☐ Certificate of sewage tank compliance ☐ Notice of sewage	tank non-compliance
Compliance	_
Groundwater."	☐ Yes ☐ No
The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed operating depth - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes® ☑ No
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe condition - "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."	☐ Yes- ☐ No
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe condition - "Imminent Threat to Public Health or Safety."	
Company information company name: Property Service Print name: Pri	ual (DCI) information
company information pesignated Certified Individualiness license number: 4251	ual (DCI) information 155 -licensed SSTS Inspection res to assess the compliant

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Maintenance Permit

Property/Owner Information Permit #: <u>N5545237035</u>	
Complete in its entirety to constitute a valid maintenance permit. This permit must be completed prior to performing maintenance activities and remain on-site for the duration of the maintenance activity.	
Date of Maintenance: 10.2-24 Property ID #:	
Property Address: 4872 Pine (roft Ave Stillwater MN 5508) Street Address City State Zip	
Property Owner Name: Lindy Yokanovich	
Maintenance Performed	
☐ Emergency ☐ Home Sale ☐ High-level alarm ☐ Routine/Maintenance	lge and Scum Measured: (must be completed if tanks NOT pumped) nid Level of Tank:in Sludge Level:in m Level:in lge+Scum/Liquid Levelx100=%Sludge & Scum
☐ Repair Tan	ks must be Pumped if 25% or greater
Maintenance Information Access used to remove septage: Maintenance Hole Other (enter authorization code) Were all covers securely replaced? Tess No If No, Explain: Is the tank designed as a leaky? Ex. Seepage pit, cesspool drywell leaching pit Tank #1: Tess No Verification Method Used: Visur Gallons Removed: /oco Leaking Out: Yes No Leaking In Yes No Cover Damaged: Yes No Tank #2: Yes No Verification Method Used: Visur Gallons Removed:	
Maintainer Information Maintainer Name: Pinky's Sewer Service Inc. Maintainer Signature: Maintainer Address: P.O. Box 354 Afton, MN 55001 Phone Number: 651-439-4847 License Number: L4251 I hereby certify as a State of Minnesota certified SSTS Maintainer that I personally conducted the work and made the observations, or directly supervised others in the performance of this job.	



Maintenance activities must be reported to the Department within 90 days.