

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions:

Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution

| arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 2202820130025 | Local tracking number: Reason for Inspection Transfer of deed | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| ocal regulatory authority info: Washington County | | | |
| roperty address: 15581 AFTON BLVD S, CITY OF AFTON | | | |
| wner/representative: ARCO SEAN LINCOLN & LAURA ANN | | Owner's phone: 612-741-5651 | |
| rief system description: Two 1000 gallon septic tanks gravity for | | | |
| noi system acsomption. I wo root ganon septic tants gravity in | cu to utain iiciu | | |
| | | | |
| ystem status | | | |
| ystem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/29/2024 | | | |
| □ Compliant – Certificate of compliance* | ☐ Noncompliant – Not | ice of noncompliance | |
| Alid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an number of an number threat to public health or safety requiring removal and | | round water must be upgraded, replaced, o time required by local ordinance. | |
| batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) | (A) (A) | health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receip | |
| Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. 2. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not uarantee future performance. | of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance of under section 145A.04 subdivision 8. | | |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat | ole) | | |
| ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) | | : health and safety | |
| ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) — Failing | | | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component | | public health and safety | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component | | | |
| Li ou ci computance comunici (computance comput | ent #3) — Failing to protect g | roundwater | |
| | | | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing | 2500 (Compliance compone | | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080. ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2 | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.: ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con Comments or recommendations | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.3 ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Continue) | 2500 (Compliance compone g to protect groundwater | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.: Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations Terrification Service of the service of the system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown. | 2500 (Compliance component g to protect groundwater appliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance s | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Joncompliant - local ordinance applies | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.: Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Concomments or recommendations ertification Description that all the necessary information has been gathered ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. Typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true | 2500 (Compliance components of the protect groundwater inpliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance so we conditions during system sys | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater loncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) — Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con Comments or recommendations Pereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered fure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. Typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true are for the purpose of processing this form. | 2500 (Compliance components of the protect groundwater inpliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance so we conditions during system sys | ent #3) — Failing to protect groundwater loncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con Comments or recommendations erreby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. Y typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and for the purpose of processing this form. usiness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. | 2500 (Compliance components of the protect groundwater inpliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance so we conditions during system sys | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater loncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.: Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con Comments or recommendations ertification hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered | 2500 (Compliance component g to protect groundwater npliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance so we conditions during system of and correct, to the best of my | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Joncompliant - local ordinance applies Status of this system. No determination of construction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be constructed. Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137 | |
| System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080 Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failin Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con Comments or recommendations Description De | 2500 (Compliance component g to protect groundwater impliance component #4) – Note to determine the compliance is not conditions during system of and correct, to the best of my med) | ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater loncompliant - local ordinance applies status of this system. No determination of onstruction, possible abuse of the system, knowledge, and that this information can be construction and the construction of the system. | |

| Compliance criteria: | | | Attached supporting documents | ation: |
|---|-------------|----------|---|---|
| System discharges sewage to the ground surface | □ Yes | ⊠ No | ☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable | |
| System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | LI MUL applicable | |
| System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | | |
| | | | | |
| nk integrity – Compliance | e comp | onent #2 | of 5 | |
| nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: | comp | onent #2 | of 5 Attached supporting documents | ation: |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, | e comp | onent #2 | Attached supporting documenta | |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? | | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Manual of Maintenance Supporting | Meyers |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, | □ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta | Meyers usiness: |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | □ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business of maintenance business. | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 (Attach) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business business of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Date of maintenance | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 (Attach) within three years) |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 (Attach) within three years) sessment complies within three years |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance but Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 (Attach) within three years) sessment complies within three years |
| Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? | ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documenta Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be (See form instructions to ensure as Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not | Meyers usiness: 11/29/2024 (Attach) within three years) sessment complies within three years |

| Property Address: 15581 AFTON BLVD S, CITY OF AFTON Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. | Date: 11/29/2024 |
|---|---|
| 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5 | |
| 3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.) ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Unknown | , or unsecured? |
| 3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health | or safety? ☐ Yes |
| 3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspe | ctor? |
| 3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? | ☐ Yes 図 No |
| Describe verification methods and results: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attachad aumnorting decumentation. | |
| Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable | |
| | t #4 of 5 Not applicable |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance componen Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? □ Yes | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required |
| Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ Yes | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required |
| • Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| . Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component ls the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be con | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Derating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component ls the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? I Yes I No | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Describe verification methods and results: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Describe verification methods and results: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? In Yes No In No Describe verification methods and results: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? In Yes No In No Describe verification methods and results: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |
| Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be concompliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? In Yes No In No Describe verification methods and results: | ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required |

| usine | ss Ivallie. 33 Se | ptic Solutions, LLC. | | | Date: | 11/29/2024 |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--------|--|--|
| Soi | il separation | – Compliance cor | nponen | t #5 o | f 5 | |
| Date | e of installation | 6/1/1999 (mm/dd/yyyy) | Unkno | wn | | |
| bev | erage lodging? | protection/Food | ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | Attached supporting documentation Soil observation logs completed for | the report |
| 5a.F | not located in Shor | nior to April 1, 1996, and reland or Wellhead not serving a food, | ☐ Yes [| □ No | □ Two previous verifications of require □ Not applicable (No soil treatment are □ | 3 7 |
| S | The state of the s | ast a two-foot vertical e from periodically edrock. | | | | |
| p of files | or Wellhead Protection of beverage, or a three or the contract of the contract | ter or for non- ms located in Shoreland stion Areas or serving a lodging establishment: ee-foot vertical from periodically | ⊠Yes [| | Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if all Ordinance. | 12" - 42" 84" 42" 36" lowed by Local |
| S T F (! 2 L | ystems built under ype IV or V system Rules 7080, 2350 of Intermediate Insperdicense required > trainfield meets the | ns built under 2008 or 7080.2400 ector License required ≤ lay; Advanced Inspector 2,500 gallons per day) e designed vertical | □Yes □ | I No | | |
| S | eparation distance aturated soil or be | from periodically | THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY. | | | |

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

STANDARD SYSTEM DESIGN INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM

WASHINGTON COUNTY HEALTH, ENVIRONMENT & LAND MANAGEMENT 14900 N. 61ST STREET, P.O. BOX 3803, STILLWATER, MN 55082-3803 612/430-6708 OR 612/430-6656 FAX 612/430-6730

| Owner's Name SEAN ARCO | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Job Site Address 15581 A-14, Blad | | | | |
| City of Township Af Am | | | | |
| Use of Building Sing 4 family home | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Design Flow Rate 6000 Perc Rate 8 1000 C | | | | |
| Two Required Tank Sizes /000 Gallons /000 Gallons | Land Slope & Percent | | | |
| | Lux Station Tank Size — Gallons | | | |
| Suctem Cine: | | | | |
| Depth of suck below pipe /2 " | real Feet 3 6 -Treach Width | | | |
| MNurum Depth of Treach | Depth of Rock Above Pipe 2" | | | |
| From Existing Grade 3s Inches | MAXimum Depth of Trench From Existing Grade 34 Inches | | | |
| Recommended Number of Trenches 4 | Recommended Length of Trenches 65'-4 | | | |
| French Systing Measured Center to Center 7 | | | | |
| Any Other Special Conditions Grave 1 kss drainfield Pink in trenches 65,75,82482'. the East Davings if necessary | regulies 304 lineal feet of 10" Trenches may extend slightly fost | | | |
| IP PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION IS USED, COMPLETE THE PRE | SSURE DISTRIBUTION WORK SHEET ATTACHED. | | | |
| This design must be accompanied by a site plan that clearly shows the | location of the area tested and approved by the following: | | | |
| 1. Use an appropriate scale and indicate direction by use of a north | | | | |
| 2. Show ALL property boundaries, rights-of-way, easements, weth also be required. | | | | |
| 3. Show location of house, garage, driveway and all other improve | ments existing or proposed. | | | |
| 4. Show location and layout of sewage treatment system. | | | | |
| S. Show location of water supply (well and/or community supply l | ine). | | | |
| S. Dimension all setbacks and separation distances. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| This system has been designed by a Pollution Control Agency (PCA) Control Agency (PCA) | ified Professional. | | | |
| Designer Name Barry Brown | PCA Cemfication # | | | |
| Address 3041 Woodlane N.L. Woodburg 55125 | Phone # 65/- 735'- 734/ | | | |
| Signature 13 man A 13 mm | Date 4/-10-95 | | | |
| | | | | |

An Equal Employment Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer
If You Need Assistance Due to Disability or Language Barrier, Please Call 430-6708 OR 430-6656 (TDD 439-3220)

Soils

DESIGNER

LOG OF SOIL BORINGS

| | San Man (Man) |
|---|---------------|
| B. C. | Syr 4/3 |
| | |

** * * * *

SEPTIC SYSTEM PERMIT APPLICATION FOR CITY OF AFTON

City of Afton P.O. Box 219 3033 St. Croix Trail

Main Office: 436-5090

Building Official/Inspections: 436-6469

No. 66

| Project Address (if known | 3) Street | City | State |
|--|--|--|--|
| 155414 | 44- 1111 | 444 | |
| Legal Description and Pa | rcel Identification Number - include pri | oof of ownership | |
| Owner Name | Street | City | State Zio |
| | | (Abort) | |
| | Sireet | City | State |
| | $\frac{2\pi x^2 C}{\text{se Number (required)}} = \frac{3667}{2}$ | Expiration Date | HUCLSOn CLESTONG Phone |
| 1362 | | 2-31-99 | 7/5-351-1704 |
| New Home Existing Ho | ome New Business Existing | Business No. of B | edrooms 4 Gallons Per Day 6/20 |
| Check the following fixture(s |) which are or will be installed: Garbage Dis | sposalRecreational Bathing F | acility: (jacuzzi, hot tub, etc.) |
| Million Place and Set Combine | Andrew & Annre with the contract of the contra | | |
| 하다면 하는 왜에 사용하다면서에 아이들을 생겨나 집에다고 | New Mound System Replacement Dra | "이 않는다. 그렇는데 하는 사는 이렇게 되었는 그 말이 되었다. 그 그 그 그 가게 되었다. | 있다. H. 그런 역 전경에 발생하다 보다 하면 하는 사람들은 사람들이 얼마나 얼마나 없다면 하는데 하는데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른데 다른 |
| Approval Orby It this si | te has been approved, attach copy of ap | proval letter Additional Soil Tes | Data for Previously Aprroved Site |
| | | | |
| PTIC SYSTEM DATA | Type of system: | | SITE DATA |
| Work catagory: | U Septic Tank Only | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| New System | Drainfield Only | Soil data: | Supporting data/aftachmen |
| J Repair | Septic Tank and Drainfield | Soil Texture /////c | State Plan |
| M. Replacement | J Holding Tank | | |
| | Q Other (specify) | Percolation Rate | J Percolation Data Sheets |
| | Appropriate to the state of the | (minutes per inch): = | Soil Borings |
| nticipated use: | | Cail Ciria State of the Control of t | |
| 25 Single Family | Type of drainfield: | Soil Sizing Factor | Sizing |
| J Multiple Family | → Standard Trench | Depth to Bedrock: = | AL Drainfield Design |
| U Commercial U Agricultural | U Standard Bed | | |
| U Other (specify) | U Mound U Alternative (specify) | Depth to Mottled Soil: = | J Mound Worksheets |
| | | Drainfield Depth: | Pump Size Calculations |
| | The state of the s | | |
| Inimum requirements show | | | |
| 아마트 아마트 () 2015년 아마트 () 1915년 - | oved" if minimum requirement is not met | | Tank Drainfield |
| | Tank Drainfield | Distance to Lake, River, ordinary high water mark | |
| stance to Cased Well (50 ft. andpoint to tank 50 ft, drain | 마음을 하면 살이 살아보다 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 살아왔다. 그런 살아왔는 그것은 그런 나는 그는 것이 없는데 살아지면 살아지는 것이 살아왔다면 살아 없다는데 살아 없다. 그런 | | |
| stance to Building (10 ft./20 | | Drainfield Separation fro Ground Water Level, Im | pervious Lens, Soil , \ |
| | | Mottling or Bedrock (3 to | eet):NA Yes / No |
| Stance to Hroperty Line (10 | s (10 ft.) (Yes)/ No /Yes / No | Distance from Well to Bu (50 ft./20-50 ft. air tester | |
| | The state of the s | Distance from Well to Se | awage Pump |
| stance to Road right-of-way | Yes // No Yes // No | AND | |
| stance to Road right-of-way stance to Water Line (10 ft.) | | Basket (50 ft./20-50 ft. a | r-testeti. |
| Stance to Fload right-of-way stance to Water Line (10 ft.) pin: Tank Capacity & Danie | | Basket (50 ft. 20-50 ft. a Variances Required / Ap | |
| stance to Property Line (1) stance to Plead right-of-way stance to Water Line (10 ft.) ctic Tark Cacacity & Drain moing Tark (gai.) | | Basket (50 ft./20-50 ft. a | |
| stance to Tead right of way stance to Vester Line (1) (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (6) (7) (6) (7) (7) (7) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8 | | Variances Plequired / Ap | |

Date paid

Amount

Check #

Recvd. by

Building Official

SEPTIC SYSTEM INSPECTION FORM

| MICH AMERICAN I SAME I | Constitute | |
|--|--|--|
| | And the second s | |
| | | ZipZip |
| New J Replacement D | Repair | |
| | | |
| ildings to tank(s) | | |
| ildings to soil treatment system | | Rercent slope |
| ell(s) | | Downslope dike width. |
| ke/River/Stream | | Sideslape dike width |
| operty boundaries | | Drainfield rock below pipe |
| ad right-of-way | | Depth of sand below rock |
| 시청으로 내 동네()에 있다면 이 지수 것 수입니다면 이 세계를 내용하는데, 이 사람들이 하는데 이 작품이 되는데 안 먹으는 것은 | | Perforation size and spacing. |
| | | Dimensions of teck bed |
| New D Existing Size | | Dimensions of sand base // |
| nk pumped | JYES QNO | Final cover\ |
| pe of baffle <u>(Nota)</u> Checked | MOYES LINO | |
| pection pipes | | Alternative / Experimental System: |
| THE COUNTY PRODUCTS OF THE PRO | | Artificial Drainage Upside Nie Depth |
| IL TREATMENT SYSTEM: | ZCOVCA | |
| inch depth | and the first of t | Pump: |
| nch length | | Tank capacity . / |
| nch bottom width | | Pump size . / |
| nch bottom level | | Size of discharge line |
| nch spacing | | Type of alarm D'Audio D'Wişual D'Manua |
| tribution medium | | Location of alarm |
| ck below pipe | | Manhole access LIVES DINO Ft. of rings |
| pth of backfill | many there was a second of the | Plan Revisions |
| sing System abandoned | | Revisions to certified design XIYES DINK |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Comment of the Control of the Contro |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| And the second s | The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| nments | | |
| The state of the s | | |
| BY CERTICA WITTE BOY CHARLATHER THAT THE THE THE | | I HEREBY CERTIFY WITH MY SIGNATURE AS THE INSTALLER, THAT THE SEPTIC SYSTEM WAS INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF AFTON SEWAGE AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT ORDI |
| BY CERTIFY WITH MY SIGNATURE THAT BASED ON THE CERTIFICATION. LEN AND THE LIMITED CLUMTY INSPECTION, THAT THE SEPTIC SYST | the appeals to be in | THE PERSON OF A THE PERSON AND THE PERSON AND A PERSON AN |
| LEH AND THE LIMITED COUNTY INSPECTION, THAT THE SEPTIC SYST | MENT OROHANCE. | NAMED A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND SAVE CITY OF AFTIME HARADI FAC FROM ALL TRUE TAXABLES |
| | MENT OROHANCE. | NAMED A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND SAVE CITY OF AFTOM HAMAN FAC FROM BY LINCE MANAGER |
| LEH AND THE LIMITED COUNTY INSPECTION, THAT THE SEPTIC SYST | MENT OROHANCE. | NAMED AND CHARGES THAT MANY BE INCURRED BY THE CITY BECAUSE OF MY FAILURE TO COME OF ME |

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. This report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance. NEVER flush wipes (even if they state they are flushable) or any sanitary products. If they reach the drain field, they could cause it to fail.