#### **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Inspection Address:** 11837 122<sup>nd</sup> St Cir S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2019, which were on file at Washington County. This system (installed in 2012) consists of two precast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a chamber trench drainfield. Pinky's Sewer Service pumped the tanks on October 3, 2024.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Midwest Sewer Services have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Midwest Sewer Services disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## Compliance inspection report form

#### **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection Property Transfer		
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: 11837 122nd St Cir S, Denmark Twp, MN 55	5033		
Owner/representative: Brian Stewart	Owner's phone: <u>760-586-6956</u>		
Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast I	ift tank, and a chamber trench drainfield.		
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/3/2024			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn.	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Immi			
Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to prote	•		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -			
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) -			
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Co			
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to prod	<del>-</del>		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance	component #4) – Noncompilant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations			
Certification			
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
,	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
Business name: Midwest Sewer Services	Certification number: 5342/9852		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal After Vi	License number: L2896		
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-492-7550		
Necessary or locally required supporting do			
oximes Soil observation logs $oximes$ System/As-Built $oximes$ Locally red	quired forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit		
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	tion, Disclaimer		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other:	
ground surface		☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	_	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	_	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health aı		_	
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
None of the above found.			
<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
<b>nk integrity</b> – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation	on:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2		on:
Compliance criteria:	•	Attached supporting documentation  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Pinky's S
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's S Service
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	•	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business	Pinky's S Service ness: <u>L1673</u>
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u> 10/3/2024
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business busines	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u> 10/3/2024
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business busines	Pinky's S <u>Service</u> ness: <u>L1673</u> <u>10/3/202</u> 4 ttach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business busines	Pinky's S Service ness: L1673 10/3/2024 ttach)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure asses	Pinky's S Service ness: L1673 10/3/2024 ttach) thin three years

	ame: Midwest Sewer Services	Date: 10/3/2024
. Othe	r compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	intenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?
	Yes*   ⊠ No    □ Unknown ner issues <i>(electrical hazards, etc.)</i> to immediately and adversely impact public health or safe	tv2□Ves* ☑No□Unknow
	es to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ty:   Tes     No   Officion
	stem is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
_	stem not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
-	es to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	scribe verification methods and results:	
	_	
At	tached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Oner	ating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
	complained component in the	I S Mot applicable
Ic tho c	vetom enerated under an Operating Permit?	If "vos" A bolow is require
		-
Is the s	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is require If "yes", B below is require
Is the s	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No MP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", B below is require
Is the s B	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No  MP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete	If "yes", B below is require
Is the s  B  If the a	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No  MP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  Inswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete  iance criteria:	If "yes", B below is require
Is the s  B  If the a  Comp  a. H	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   MP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  Inswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete  iance criteria:  ave the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", B below is require
Is the s  B  If the a  Comp  a. H  b. Is	ystem required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No  MP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  Inswer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be complete  iance criteria:	If "yes", B below is require

siness Name: Midwest Sewer Services		Date: <u>10</u>	/3/2024	
Soil separation – Compliance con	nponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 2012 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?		☐ Soil observation logs completed for the	e report	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation	
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2019.		
beverage or lodging establishment:		Reviewed design and permit records.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)	
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*		
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local	
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 651-296-6300

Describe verification methods and results:


800-657-3864 •

Use your preferred relay service

Available in alternative formats

# Midwest Sewer Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will	be used for the purpose of conductif	ig all MFCA	Compliance inspection.		
Date of Inspection: 10/2/2024	& 10/3/2024		Time: 9:45		
Property Address: 11837 122	2 <sup>nd</sup> St Cir S, Denmark Twp	, MN	Zip: 55033		
Property Owner: Brian Stev	wart		Phone: 760-586-6956		
Tank(s) Tank(s)M			Other_		
Septic 2 Fibers			Alternative system		
Aerobic Plasti			Experimental system		
	<u>=</u>		Cesspool system Other system		
Other:	= 1 5	u			
	At-grade				
Are the tank maintenance cox		No *If *	no, proper maintenance must be		
			ers should be made accessible to		
the ground surface to facilitate					
the ground surface to facilitate	c access and proper manne	mance of the	ne system.		
Year house built: 2012	Year septic installed: 201		Γank size (gals.): 2-1250		
How long has seller owned th	e property? Nur	nber of res	sidents in home?		
Number of bedrooms? 5	Are all floors dra	ined by gr	ravity? Y		
Garbage disposal? Y	Whirlp	ool bath?	Y		
More than one system (laundr	y, etc.)? N				
Does this property have any f	ooting drain tiles connected	d to the se	ptic system? N		
Are any buildings on this prop	perty such as garages or ou	t-building	s connected to this system? N		
Are there any additional syste	ms on this property serving	g other bui	ildings? N		
Location of septic system on 1					
Location of water well on lot?	Community Well	Is the	well a deep well? N/A		
Have you ever experienced ar	ny problems with the system	n such as:	tree roots, sewage back-ups,		
		wing, etc.;	; or have any repairs been made		
to the system? If yes, e	xplain:				
When was the system last pur	1	ne of pum	per: Pinky's Sewer Service		
How often pumped in previou	is years?	Is system	on a monitoring plan?		
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N					
Do you have any additional in	formation that should be g	iven to the	e new owner?		
considered "non-compliant/failing" p local government unit within 15 days	er MPCA rules, that the inspect s of the date of inspection comp sponsible for payment of all fee	or must by letion. I als	a. I also understand that if the system is law submit a copy of this report to the so agree that unless otherwise noted in rk performed relative to this inspection		
Owner/Occupant:			Date:		



### **Soil Observations Log**

Location of Project: 11837 122nd Street Cir S, Denmark Twp, MN 55033						
		Inspect Minnesota			Date:	8/14/19
	fication System:	USDA			•	, ,
9	Soil Observation:	1		Soil C	bservation:	
Surface Elevation o Observation	سنماله ا	nd surface as last field trench		face tion of vation		
Depth In Inches Rock	% Soils E	<u>Encountered</u>	Depth In Inches	Rock %	Soils	Encountered
0-6 6-20 20-46 46-57 57-62	10YR 3 10YR 4/4 Ve 10YR 5/4 Ve 10YR 5/4 Very	2/2 Silt Loam 3/4 Silt Loam 3/4 Silt Loam 3/4 Silt Loam 3/4 Silt Loam 4/7 Silty Loam 4/7 Silty Loam 5/7 Silty Loam 6/8 10YR 7/2 Redox				
57" Dept	h To End Of Soil O	bservation Or Redox		Depth T	o End Of Soil	Observation Or Redox
	Same Elevation Of Observation Relative To System					tion Relative To System
	h To Bottom Of Di	stribution Media				Distribution Media
=35" Of Se	eparation			Of Sepa	Iration	
End Of So	oil Observation At:	62"	End Of	Soil Ob	servation At:	
	Redox Present At:				x Present At:	
	Water Present At:		Standi		r Present At:	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 22 Inches			
Signature:	Chan ble		

SOIL BORINGS

DATE: 13 May, 2002°

CONDUC	TED BY:	I.D. SE	PTIC INS	PECTION	& DESIGN DA	ALE J. DENN
B1 ALT	Depth	Texture	Color	Structure	Sub-Soil features	
	0-8	FSL	10yr 3/1	GR		
	8-2 <del>6</del>	VFSCL .	10yr 4/4	SBK		
	26-42	VFSL	10YR 4/4	SBK		
C1	42-56	VFS/SILT	10YR 5/4	MASS	C D MOTTLES AT 45	6
C2	56-62	VFS/SI	10YR 5/4	1		
B2 ALT	The same of the sa			Structure	Sub-Soil features	
	8-0		•	GR		
	8-26		10yr 4/4			
	26-42	3	10YR 4/4			
		VFS/SILT	10YR 5/4	Mass	C D MOTTLES AT 48'	,
C1	56-62	VFS/SI	10YR 5/4			
			<del></del>			
DO Daine	Danah	<b></b>	Calas	<b>5</b> 4	0.1.0.1.7	
B3 Prim				Structure	Sub-Soil features	
		1	10YR 3/2			
	1	1	10YR 4/4	l = -		
	i .	b	10YR 4/4		; ,	
_			10YR 5/4			
С	44-58	VFS/SILT	10YR 5/4	MASS	Many, Fine, Faint Mot	ties at 56"
	L		·			
B4 Prim	Depth	Texture	Color	Structure	Sub-Soil features	
				gr	<b>V</b>	
	8-26	1 1	10YR 4/4			
	3		10YR 5/4	· ·		
		VFS/SILT			C D MOTTLES AT 51'	ı
	.0 00	V. G.G.E.	10111077		O D MOTTLEONT OF	
B5 PRIM				Structure	Sub-Soil features	
	1		10YR 3/1			·
			10YR 4/3			
		1 - 1	10YR 4/4			
	1	VFS/SILT				
	49-58	VFS/SILT	10YR 5/4	MASS	M F F MOTTLES AT 5	[2"
	Danth	Tasas	Color	Ctmt	Out Call fantage	
B6 PRIM				Structure	Sub-Soil features	
		1	10YR 3/1	1		
	ľ		10YR 4/3			ļ
			10YR 4/4	-		
	l .	VFS/SILT			AA E E NAOTTI EO AT O	ke" (
	49-58	VFS/SILT	10TK 3/4	CCMVI	M F F MOTTLES AT 5	

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.