

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Property information

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 27.029.20.24.0007	Reason for Inspection property sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 1525 Park Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN	55082
Owner/representative: Ryan Hanson	Owner's phone: 612-715-1861
Brief system description: A precast septic tank and a gravity, ro	ock trench drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/16/2024	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicate	ole)
Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failin	g to protect groundwater
	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Reviewed design, permit, inspection, soil & pumping reco	ords on file at Washington County
5 / /	and of the act trasming controller.
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	Certification number: 323
Inspector signature: Tom Trooien	License number: 1568
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 612-594-4496
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Other information (list):	equired forms
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-386	Use your preferred relay service Available in alternative formats


Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): ☐ (must be within three year)	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation	on:
Tank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Yes No No		☐ Yes No		
## Ary "yes" answer above andicates the system is all templated to purific heads and results: None of the above observed Compliance criteria:		☐ Yes No	,,	
Describe verification methods and results: None of the above observed Compliance component #2 of 5		☐ Yes No		
Tank integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit. cesspool, drywell, leaching pit. or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Pinky's License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 10/16/20 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (must be within three year				
ank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Attached supporting documentation: □ Yes □ No □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector □ Mame of maintenance business: □ Pinky's □ License number of maintenance business: □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) □ Date of maintenance □ (must be within three year	Describe verification methods and	results:		
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 10/16/20 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyyy): (must be within three year	None of the above observed			
Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Yes No License number of maintenance business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 10/16/20 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyyy): (must be within three year				
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Yes No Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: Pinky's				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? In the pit? Name of maintenance business: Pinky's business: 1613 Date of maintenance: 10/16/20 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year)	ank integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance [mm/dd/yyyy): [must be within three year		component #2		on:
designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: 10/16/20 Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		Attached supporting documentation ✓ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's
Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three year	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Pinky's
n yes, which sewage taringly loans.	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:	Pinky's ness: 1613 10/16/2024
And darks a second and a second a second and	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance businest Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (All	Pinky's ness: 1613 10/16/2024
is failing to protect to another and system (See form instructions to cristic discosment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect to another assessment complete in the failing to protect the failing	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes 🖾 No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (All Date of maintenance	Pinky's ness: 1613 10/16/2024 ttach)
☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explai	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer three took.	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: ☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (All Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with the form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's ness: 1613 10/16/2024 ttach) thin three years)
Other:	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer there to complete the complete to the	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Image: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Image: Existing tank integrity assessment (All Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment) (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's ness: 1613 10/16/2024 ttach) thin three years) ssment complies w

	roperty Address: 1525 Park Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082 usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	Date: 10/16/2024
•		
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	cured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	/? ☐ Yes No ☐ Unknow
	"Yes to 3a or 30 may stem is an impolement of the partie health of the may.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	"Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protecting to wheater	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: 🔀 Not applicable 🔲	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.		f 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of its the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is require
4	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system recuired to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer inclusives a group of the system.	f "yes", A below is require f "yes", B below is require

usiness Name: All State Septic Services LLC	and Twp, MN 5508	Date: 1	0/16/2024
Soil separation – Compliance cor	nponent #5 (of 5	
Date of installation 8/1/1988 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
beverage lodging?		Soil observation logs completed for the	e report
Compliance criteria (select one):	4	☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separation
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☑ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food. beverage or lodging establishment:			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	2.5
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	5.3
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical	***************************************	C. System separation	2.8
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	2.0
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2.500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2.500 gallons per day)	Yes No		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced. or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.





Soil Observation Log

								רוטשכנו וש.				V 04.UZ.2U24	
Client:			Ryan Hanson	son			Гос	Location / Address:	ess:	1525 Park Ave	e N West Lakel	1525 Park Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082	
Soil parent m	Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	k all that	apply)	Out	Outwash 🔲 Lacus	Lacustrine [] Loess [] Till [] Alluvium	Bedrock	Organic Matter	ir Disturbed/Fill	ed/Fill	
Landscape Position:	sition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:				Flooding/Run-On potential:	In potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil s	Soil survey map units:	its:			S	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	n-Relative to b	oenchmark:	
Date/Time of	Date/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:				***************************************					Limiting Layer Elevation:	· Elevation:	
Observation	Observation #/Location:	B	B-1					9sq0	Observation Type:)e;		Auger	
Denth (in)	Texture	Rock	Matrix (Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	or(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)			Structure	[
Depui (III)	I CYTAI C	Frag. %	ואומרו וא	(3)	MOLENC CO.	(c)	ויכמכי נווק(פ)	וומוכמכו	4	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0-16	Loam	<35%	10YR 3/2	3/2									
	-	č	10YR	4/3									
16-34	Silt Loam	%C\$>											
24.46	Loamy Fine	7350	7.5YR	4/3									
34-40	Sand	9. . ر ر											
77 /1	Medium	.350/	7.5YR	4/6									
46-64	Loamy Sand	< 35%											
										······································			
<u></u>													
						-							
Comments:													
I hereby cert	ify that I have c	ompleted	this work i	n accorda	ance with all a	pplicabl	I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws	iles and law	Ş.				
	Tom Trooien		,		Tom	Tom Trooien	,,,	ł	-	1568	'	10/16/24	
(De:	(Designer/Inspector)	-)		; ; ; ;	SiS)	(Signature)	2007) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	-	(License #)		(Date)	
periodically sa	Optional Verincation: Thereby certify that this soft observation was vernice according periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	y certily the edrock at t	he proposed	d soil treat	in was vernied tment and disp	accoldiii bersal site	Optional Verification: Thereby certify that this soft observation was vernied according to willing, N. 7002.0000 subplicts periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	one one one		יומרמום מפנסיע ופני		ille signatule Detow represents an inneto venitoation of the	
//191)	(1GU/Designer/Inspector)	tor)			giS)	(Signature)		ì) (Ce	(Cert #)	•	(Date)	
. ;)	J L									,		,	

		-
		- 0

Soil Observation Log

)	! !)	: : :		n	Project ID:			v 04.02.2024	
lient:			Ryan Hanson	son			Loc	Location / Address:	1525 Pa	ark Ave N West Lak	1525 Park Ave N West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082	
oil parent ma	oil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)	sk all that a	apply)	Outv	Outwash 🔲 L	Lacustrine	Loess Till [Till Alluvium Bec	Bedrock Organ	Organic Matter Disturbed/Fill	bed/Fill	
andscape Position:	ition:				Slope %:		Slope shape:			Flooding/Run-On potential:	On potential:	
Vegetation:				Soil su	Soil survey map units:	units:			Surface El	Surface Elevation-Relative to benchmark:	benchmark:	
)ate/Time of	ate/Time of Day/Weather Conditions:	onditions:								Limiting Layer Elevation:	er Elevation:	
Observatio	Observation #/Location:	B-2	2					Observation Type:	on Type:		Auger	
		Rock		-				1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		Structure	rel	
Depth (in)	Texture	Frag. %	Matrıx	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle	Mottle Color(s)	Kedox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	Shape	Grade	Consistence	
0.14	Loam	<35%	10YR	3/2			***************************************	***************************************				
								-				
	1 4150	.2Ε0/	10YR	4/3								
14-32	Slit Loam	°,00,>										
	Medium Sandy	ò	7.5YR	3/4								
32-49	Loam	< 35%										
	Medium) I C	7.5YR	5/4								
49-66	Loamy Sand	%CC>										
Comments:												
hereby certi	hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all	ompleted	this work	n accorda	nce with a		applicable ordinances, rules and laws	les and laws.				
	Tom Trooien				—	Tom Trooien	Ü		1568		10/16/24	
(Des Optional Verif	(Designer/Inspector)	r) _v y certify tha	• •t this soil	observation) n was verif	(Signature) fied accordir	(Signature) (Designer/Inspector) Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A.	•	(License #) The signature be	olow represents an ir	(License #) (Date) The signature below represents an infield verification of the	n)
periodically sa	periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	oedrock at ti	he propose	d soil treat	ment and o	dispersal si	te.					
I/IPST)	(LGU/Designer/Inspector)	tor)	•			(Signature)			(Cert #)		(Date)	