ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Jerald Netland 10360 217th St N Scandia, MN 55073

10/31/2024

Dear Jerald Netland,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

Bergmin Herko

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1803220230006	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 10360 217th St N Scandia, MN 55073	
Owner/representative: Jerald Netland	Owner's phone: 218-324-1972
Brief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank, gravity rock tre	ench drainfield
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/31/2024	_
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	– Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compone	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	g to protect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
No issues observed with system during site visit 10/11/20	024. Jerald reported no past issues with the system.
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature: Berinin Yerko	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: <u>651-249-1346</u>
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ System/As-Built☐ Locally re☐ Other information (list):	equired forms

ss Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date	e: <u>10/31/2024</u>
pact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentat	tion:
System discharges sewage to the round surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	- -	
System causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	-	
Any "yes" answer above indicates mminent threat to public health ai			
Describe verification methods and	l results:	-	
None of the above observed.			
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		tion:
Compliance criteria:	component #2	Attached supporting documentat	tion:
	· 		tion: Smilies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	· 	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Smilies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Smilies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentat Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance bus Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (A	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20 Attach) within three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentate Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assistance)	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20 Attach) within three year sessment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentate Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure ass Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not proceed to the support of the supp	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20 Attach) within three year sessment comple
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic	☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ A seates the system ter.	Attached supporting documentate Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (ADD Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure ass Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not not proceed to the support of the supp	Smilies siness: 2428 10/11/20 Attach) within three year sessment compleecessary – explain

Ρ	Property Address: 10360 217 th St N Scandia, MN 55073	
В	Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 10/31/2024
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	ecured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet	v2 □ Ves* ☑ No □ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	y: Tes No Olikilowii
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
_		
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
4.		of 5 ⊠ Not applicable If "yes", A below is required
4.		If "yes", A below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	If "yes", A below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Description: Yes No No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Description: Yes No No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required
4.	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	If "yes", A below is required If "yes", B below is required

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

iness Name: Zierke Soil Testing	-	Date: 10/31/2024
Soil separation – Comp	liance component #	5 of 5
Date of installation 4/11/1978 (mm/dd/yyy		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/	/Food ☐ Yes ☐	o Attached supporting documentation:
beverage lodging?		oxtimes Soil observation logs completed for the report
Compliance criteria (select o	one):	☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separat
a. For systems built prior to April		o* Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
not located in Shoreland or We Protection Area or not serving beverage or lodging establish	a food,	
Drainfield has at least a two-fo separation distance from perio saturated soil or bedrock.		
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		o* Indicate depths or elevations
		A. Bottom of distribution media 96.5'
	or serving a	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 93.7'
		C. System separation 2.8'+
Drainfield has a three-foot vert separation distance from perio		D. Required compliance separation* 2.0'
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Pe systems built under pre-2008 I Type IV or V systems built und Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.240 (Intermediate Inspector Licens 2,500 gallons per day; Advanc License required > 2,500 gallo	Rules; der 2008 00 ee required ≤ eed Inspector	o*
Drainfield meets the designed separation distance from perio saturated soil or bedrock.		

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864



Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 10360 217th St N Scandia, MN 55073

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 10/11/2024

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

-			·
Depth, in	Boring Number 1	Depth, in	Boring Number 2
Inches	Doinig Humber 1	Inches	Doing Number 2
0		0	
0-10"	10YR 3/3 loamy sand 5% rock	0-10"	10YR 3/3 loamy sand 5% rock
10-39"	10YR 4/4 loamy sand, 5% rock	10-17"	10YR 4/4 loamy sand 5% rock
39-63"	10YR 4/6 loamy sand, 10% rock	17-24"	10YR 4/6 loamy sand 30% rock
63-75"	7.5YR 4/6 loamy sand with 10YR 5/4 fine sand bands, 10% rock	24-32"	10YR 5/4 fine sand 5% rock
75"	Obstruction	32-54"	10YR 4/4 fine sand with 4/6 loamy sand bands, 10% rock
	*No restriction observed	54-62"	10YR 4/6 loamy sand 30% rock
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Fourse after boring feet of depth Fourse after boring	End of boring at Standing water ta Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth
		Comments:	
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2 (cont)	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
Depth, in	Boring Number 2 (cont) 10YR 4/4 fine sand 5% rock	Depth, in	Boring Number 4
Depth, in Inches 0		Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
Depth, in Inches 0 62-74"	10YR 4/4 fine sand 5% rock 10YR 4/6 sandy loam band, 10YR 4/2	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4