ZIERKE SOIL TESTING

Wes Ward 5756 165th St N Hugo, MN 55038

10/31/2024

Dear Wes Ward,

At your request, I have conducted a septic inspection to determine the compliance status of your septic system pursuant to Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080.1500.

The compliance test set out in 7080.1500 has three main inquiries: 1). Is the system functioning hydraulically (disposing of effluent in a manner that prevents it from coming in contact with people)? 2). Are the septic tanks water tight? 3). Does the system have sufficient vertical separation between the bottom of the septic system and restrictive layers (bedrock, standing water, seasonally wet layers, etc) to provide full treatment of effluent?

Based off of these criteria, your septic system is <u>compliant</u>. A certification of compliance is in effect for three years from the date it is issued. To be clear, this should not be construed as a guarantee of future system function – there are too many factors that influence the lifespan of a septic system for an inspector to predict or even guess how long a septic system will last. A copy of this report will be filed with your local unit of government for their records.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zierke

MPCA Lic 119, Cert 9594

Gergmin Ylerko

ADDRESS: 28587 Jeffrey Ave Chisago City, MN 55013

PHONE 651-249-1346

EMAIL benzierke@gmail.com



Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 0803121240002	Reason for Inspection Sale
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County	
Property address: 5756 165th St N Hugo, MN 55038	
Owner/representative: Wes Ward	Owner's phone: 612-364-1294
Brief system description: 1200 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon se	eptic tank, 1000 gallon lift tank, mound dispersal system.
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/31/2024	
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
_ .	
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicab	ole)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1)	
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2	2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	g to protect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Con	npliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
No issues observed with system during site visit 10/24/20	24. Homeowner reported no issues with system.
Certification	
	to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of wn conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below , I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	Certification number: 9594
Inspector signature: Berjan Tierko	License number: 119
(This document has been electronically sign	ned) Phone: 651-249-1346
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
Soil observation logs	equired forms
☑ Other information (list): Previous soil verifications	

ess Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: <u>10</u>)/31/2024
pact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		_	
Describe verification methods and	results:		
None of the above observed.			
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2		
nk integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	2 of 5 _ Attached supporting documentation: ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		_ Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Smilies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		_ Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	<u>Smilies</u> s: <u>2428</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Smilies s: 2428 10/24/2024
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Smilies s: 2428 10/24/2024 h)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Smilies s: 2428 10/24/2024 h)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack	Smilies 2428 10/24/2024 h) three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Smilies s: 2428 10/24/2024 h) three years) nent complies
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Yes* ☑ No ates the systemer.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attack Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within (See form instructions to ensure assessm Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necess	Smilies s: 2428 10/24/2024 h) three years) nent complies

Property Address: 5756 165 th St N Hugo, MN 55038	
Business Name: Zierke Soil Testing	Date: 10/31/2024
3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3	3 of 5
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracke	d, etc.), or unsecured?
☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	, ,
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public	: health or safety? ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safet	y.
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by	y inspector? ☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached cupporting documentation: Not applicable M	
Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
	onent #4 of 5 ⊠ Not applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp	<u> </u>
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? [BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? [BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to	☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ Yes ☐ No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria:	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance comp Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	Yes No If "yes", A below is required Yes No If "yes", B below is required be completed.

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021 800-657-3864

siness Name: Zierke Soil Testing		Date: _^	10/31/2024						
Soil separation – Compliance cor	nponent #5 o	f 5							
Date of installation 6/16/1994 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown								
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food									
beverage lodging?		$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report						
Compliance criteria (select one):		☑ Two previous verifications of required vertical separations. ☐ Two previous verifications of the previous vertical separations. ☐ Two previous vertical ve							
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	⊠ Yes □ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)						
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:									
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.									
5b. Non-performance systems built	☐ Yes ☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations							
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media	101.4'						
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.7'						
food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	2.7'						
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*	n* 2.0'						
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local						
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*								
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.									

Describe verification methods and results:

See attached boring log and elevations.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 5756 165th St N Hugo, MN 55038

Borings Made by Ben Zierke Date: 10/24/2024

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-4"	10YR 3/3 fine sand		
4-16"	10YR 5/4 fine sand		
16-22"	10YR 6/4 fine sand, 7.5YR 5/8 iron staining (redox)		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 1.3 feet of depth 1.5 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water table: Present at Standing water not present Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not present i Comments:	feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
U		0	
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring cresent in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water table: Present at Standing water not present Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not present i Comments:	feet of depth



LOGS OF SOIL BORINGS

Location of Project Dave Ochs, 5756 165th Street N	L, Hugo, MN, 55038 Date: 8/20/14
Borings Made by Chris Zierke Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA – SCS !	
Depth,	Depth,
In Boring Number 1	In Boring Number 2
Feet	Feet
0-24" Sandy fill soil	0-6" Dark-brown loamy fine sand(3/3)
24-30" Dark-brown loamy fine sand(10YR-3/3)	6-30" Dark :y-brown loamy fine sand(4/4). iron-st, & light-gray mottles below 24"
30-38" Dark yellowish-brown loamy fine sand (10YR-4/4)	mon-st. & fight-gray motites below 24
obstruction	
End of boring at 3.2 feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth, hours after boring. Sumding water not present in hole Mottled Soil:	End of boring at 2.5 feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth, hours after boring. Standing water not present in hole . Mottled Soll:
Observed at feet of depth. Mottled soil not present in bore hole . Comments:	Observed at 2 feet of depth. Mottled soil not present in bore hole
Depth, In Boring Number 3 Feet	Depth, In Boring Number 4 Feet
	Asia-presentations development and accommendations
End of boring at feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth, hours after buring. Standing water not present in hole . Mottled Soll: Observed at feet of depth. Mottled soil not present in bore hale .	End of boring at feet. Standing water table: Present at feet of depth, hours after boring. Standing water not present in hole . Mottled Solin Observed at feet of depth. Mottled soil not present in bore hole .
Comments.	Comments:

JOB (GUNNIE LIPIGEHT 5756 16578 ST, HUHO

DATE 11-21-93

BOREHOLE DIAMETER 4"- 3%" HALLD GUACE

ı	.1	\top																		•											
J'Z HAUD BULEY	HOLE #6		t	. 1			ı	. 1		1,	ı	. [4	1	.	1	1			1 1	1_	4_	! _	4	۱ ,	l_	ı _	ا ر	!_	• 1	· 1,
	HOLE #5	MIXTURE-	BLACK DIRT	AND FINE	(#14)	BROWN, FINE	SAND	L	+		+		+	†-1		- BROWN LOAMS-	MOTITEO +		4	5709	1	十	† L			+	+-	<u> </u>	† +	+	++
,	HOLE #4		7005 901 -		BROWN, FINE	Snno	<u>†</u>	T		SAMON (2000)	- childs fulling	MOTIVED LINERS	1		LIWIT BROWN.	FINE, SILTY	SAND		JOHN 15 WEN	Snop		<u> </u>]_; j		<u>_</u>		·		<u> </u>	·	1 ₁
	HOLE #3	70P SOIL	Ar Ente	SAND				Anou and	SONDY LOFT	MATTED LAYEDS	BROWN LOAM	WITH IRON AND	GRAY STRENKS			FINE SAND-	- SILTY- - I KON STAINING-		Sole 15 Mo15T -	Sap	1	Γ				1 .	. 1		1 .	1	. 1
	HOLE #2	70P 501-	BROWN FINE	SAND SAND	<u> </u>	1	1 1	LIGHT GRAYS	- OARK BROWN	LOAM-	CAYERS - CAYERS	a,	Spann, McDium	Cultur GEANS	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	FINE STATE	- SVETY - SAVO -			Stop				1	1_	 	1	+	<u> </u>	†¬	 -
	HOLE #1	TOP SOIL	- GROWN, FINE	SAND FINE			Вярк ВКошли,		- FAINT GRAYS		l i	- 1	FINE STORY	Surve Spins -	CLERN			- LIGHT GRAYS -	Soil 15 Maist	Sr.P.	T .		1	1			7			Ţ'	T
	DEPTH FEET		-	 	<u> </u>	7	 	T	m	† <u> </u>		4			ω -			 						 ∞	J		0	† †	1	ا. 1	