

Compliance inspection report form

520 Lafavette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: <u>0503021110009</u> Lo	cal regulatory authority: WASHINGTON COUNTY
Property address: 11940 HONEYE AVENUE N STILLWATER	MN
Owner/representative: MEATH RHONDA AND JOSEPH	Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 2)1250-GALLON SEPTIC TANKS, 100	0-GALLON LIFT TANK AND GRAVITY DRAINFIELD
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/15/2024	
	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
in Local Ordinance.) *Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable	le)
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather determination of future system performance has been nor can be abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usa	made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be t can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information
Business name: LASHINSKI SERVICES, INC	Certification number: 3053
Inspector signature:	License number: L4266
(This ddcument has been electronically signed	Phone: 612-919-3704
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must be attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☐ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit
https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864	Use your preferred relay service

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

1. lı

tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ground surface	Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an	tile or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	ground surface		☐ Not applicable
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Yes* ⊠ No	_
imminent threat to public health and safety.	imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Describe verification methods and results:	Describe verification methods and results:			
				-
		imminent threat to public health an	d safety.	-
		imminent threat to public health an	d safety.	-
		imminent threat to public health an	d safety.	-
		imminent threat to public health an	d safety.	-
		imminent threat to public health an	d safety.	-

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:		
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Pumped at time of inspec		I A CLUINICIZI
or other pit?		Name of maintenance bu	siness:	LASHINSKI
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of maintenance business: 11/15/2024		
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	-	
		_ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)		
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.		Date of maintenance		
		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within three years)	
		(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies w Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
		☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pu	mping not necessary	y – explain below)
		Other:		·

Describe verification methods and results:

3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safet *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	√? ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
4.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No	f "yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☒ No	f "yes", B below is required
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed	l.
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐	

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1/1/2007 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one): 5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically	☐ Yes ☐ No*	 Attached supporting documentation: Soil observation logs completed for th ∑ Two previous verifications of required separation (Attach) ☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area ∑ SOILS VERIFIED BY WASHINGTON TIME OF INSTALL 	vertical
saturated soil or bedrock. 5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed ordinance.	97.4' - 98.5' 94.6' >33" 31" wed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. *Any "no" answer above indicates the			


Describe verification methods and results:

failing to protect groundwater.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

ArcGIS Web Map





University of Minnesota

OSTP Soil Observation Log

v 04.06.2017

#REF!

Project ID:

Consistence 11/15/2024 101.6 (Date) 11940 Honeye Avenue N Stillwater Linear, Linear |------ Structure-------Loose Loose Loose Loose Loose 11/15/24 Auger ☐ Organic Matter Elevation: Grade #REF! Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak ☐ Bedrock Date Slope shape Observation Type: (License #) Granular Granular Granular Granular Granular Shape L4266 0.0 ☐ Alluvium hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance, with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. Legal Description/ GPS: Slope %: Indicator(s) \Box Shoulder \Box Back/Side Slope \Box Foot Slope \Box Toe Slope \Box Flat ☐ Loess ☐ Till Redox Kind(s) Soil survey map units: 177B ✓ Outwash ☐ Lacustrine (Signature) Mottle Color(s) SB#1 Joe Meath Matrix Color(s) 10YR 4/4 10YR 5/4 10YR 5/4 Comments No redoximorphic mottling observed. 10YR 3/4 10YR 5/3 10YR 4/4 Summit | Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Rock Frag. % <35% <35% <35% <35% Weather Conditions/Time of Day: -andscape Position: (check one) (Designer/Inspector) Ryan Lashinski Client/ Address: Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Observation #/Location: Texture Vegetation: Depth (in) 25-45 45-82 9-25 6-0

University of Minnesota

OSTP Soil Observation Log

un de la constant de

v 04.06.2017

#REF!

Project ID:

Consistence 11/15/2024 101.1 (Date) 11940 Honeye Avenue N Stillwater Linear, Linear |------ Structure-------Loose Loose Loose Loose Loose 11/15/24 Auger ☐ Organic Matter Elevation: Grade #REF! Weak Weak Weak Weak Weak ☐ Bedrock Date Slope shape Observation Type: (License #) Granular Granular Granular Granular Granular Shape L4266 0.0 ☐ Alluvium hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. Legal Description/ GPS: Slope %: Indicator(s) \Box Shoulder \Box Back/Side Slope \Box Foot Slope \Box Toe Slope \Box Flat □ Loess □ Till Redox Kind(s) Soil survey map units: 177B ✓ Outwash ☐ Lacustrine Mottle Color(s) **SB#2** Joe Meath Matrix Color(s) 10YR 4/4 10YR 5/4 10YR 5/4 Comments No redoximorphic mottling observed. 10YR 3/4 10YR 5/3 10YR 4/4 Summit | Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply) Rock Frag. % <35% <35% <35% <35% Weather Conditions/Time of Day: -andscape Position: (check one) (Designer/Inspector) Ryan Lashinski Client/ Address: Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Loamy Sand Observation #/Location: Texture Vegetation: Depth (in) 23-40 40-68 8-23 0-8



Compliance Inspection Attachment for Existing Individual Sewage Treatment Systems

Address	11940 Honeye Avenue	

Boring #1 Elevation:		Boring #2 Elevation:"	Boring #3 Elevation: 100'10"
0-12	10YR 3/3, 3/4 dark yellowish brown loamy fine sand		
-40	10YR 4/4, 5/4 yellowish brown fine sandy loam.		
-70	10YR 5/4, 4/6 fine/medium sand. No redoximorphic mottling observed, soil dry.		

Sketch:

See attached

Comments: Benchmark = Top of rockbed inj last trench. Assumed elevation = 100'0". Soil boring #1 indicated no redoximorphic mottling at 72". The system does meet the required 36" vertical separation from seasonally saturated soils. The system consists of two 1250-gallon septic tanks, a 1000-gallon lift tank and gravity trenches. The baffles were checked and are o.k. The lift pump was manually run and operable. The tanks were pumped at the time of inspection and found to be in good condition. The system was dosed with approximately 300 gallons of effluent with no noticeable signs of excess moisture or ponding observed in the rockbed of the drainfield. This inspection is not a warranty or guarantee, either written or implied, of future or long-term hydraulic functionality/performance, but rather a determination if the systems use is/may cause pollution and/or adverse harm to the environment, groundwater or public health and safety at the time of this inspection. No quarantee can be made on future hydraulic performance, or the performance of system components (pumps, controls, etc.). Changes in use can cause any system, failing or compliant, to become hydraulically overloaded and ultimately fail. Owner/buyer assumes full responsibility for the long-term performance of this system as well as any future upgrade, repairs or replacement costs. Liability is limited to the cost of this inspection.