# **Midwest Sewer Services**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lal 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwests	ke, MN 55110 oiltesting.com M	Brian Humpal PCA Licensed Advanced Inspector		
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TRE	CATMENT SYSTEM (S	SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT		
Date: December 16, 2024	<b>Time:</b> 12:30 PM	Owner: Brian Andersen		
Inspection Address: 39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN 55055				

## **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and the City of Newport, and was advised that there are no records for this system. This system (installed in 1993) consists of two pre-cast septic tanks and a chamber trench drainfield. Schlomka Services pumped the septic tanks on December 16, 2024.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact the Washington County Department of Public Health & Environment (651-430-6655) to <u>verify</u> the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Christopher Uebe

Brian Humpal

Brian Humpal

#### MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

2 of 10

## Ρ

Property Information	number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:	Reason for Inspection	Property Transfer	
Local regulatory authority info: Washington County			
Property address: <u>39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN 55055</u>			
Owner/representative: Brian Andersen		Owner's phone: <u>651-238-4308</u>	
Brief system description: Twp pre-cast septic tank and a cham	ber trench drainfield.		

#### System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/16/2024

#### Compliant – Certificate of compliance\*

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

\*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.

#### Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

#### Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

□ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies

#### Comments or recommendations

## Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Midwest Sewer Services

Certification number: 5342/9852

Inspector signature:

License number: L2896

Phone: 651-492-7550

#### Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

Soil observation logs System/As-Built 🔲 Locally required forms 🖂 Tank Integrity Assessment Operating Permit Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer

Property Address:	39 Oakridge Dr,	Newport, MN 55055

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/16/2024

## 1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health an		
Describe verification methods and	results:	

None of the above found.

# 2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentatio	n:			
System consists of a seepage pit,	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	_ ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector				
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance business:	Schlomka Services			
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No	☑ No License number of maintenance business				
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	12/16/2024			
		Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta	ach)			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with	in three years)			
Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat	•	(See form instructions to ensure asses. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	sment complies with			
		Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not nece	essary – explain below)			
		Other:				
Describe verification methods and	d results:					

This is not a certification of the tanks for reuse; tanks will need to be certified by a designer, installer, or pumper for reuse.

4 of 10

Property Address:	39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN 55055
Business Name:	Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/16/2024

# 3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?			
🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 📋 Unknown			
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or sa	fety? 🗌 Yes* 🛛 No 🗌 Unknown		
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.			
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	🗌 Yes* 🛛 No		
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.			
Describe verification methods and results:			
Attached supporting documentation: 🖾 Not applicable			
<b>Operating permit and nitrogen BMP*</b> – Compliance component #4	of 5 🛛 Not applicable		

#### 4. ιhι mpo $\square$ ιοι αρρι

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system	em design?	P□Yes	🗌 No	If "yes", B below is required
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system dea	sign			
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does n	ot need t	o be co	mplete	ed.
Compliance criteria:				
a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	🗌 Yes 🛛	] No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes [	] No		
Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.				

#### Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: 
Operating permit (Attach)

5	of	1	0
---	----	---	---

Property Address:	39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN 55055
Rusinoss Namo:	Midwoot Sower Services

Business Name: Midwest Sewer Services

Date: 12/16/2024

# 5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 1993 (mm/dd/yyyy)	_ 🗌 Unkr	nown				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	☐ Yes	No No	Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the ☐ Two previous verifications of required	•		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		🖾 No*	Not applicable (No soil treatment area)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
5b. Non-performance systems built	🗌 Yes	🗌 No*	Indicate depths or elevations			
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a	d		A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
food, beverage, or lodging establishment:			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			D. Required compliance separation*			
			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	wed by Local		
<ul> <li>5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required &gt; 2,500 gallons per day)</li> <li>Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically</li> </ul>	☐ Yes	□ No*				
saturated soil or bedrock.						

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

**Upgrade requirements:** (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


# <u>Midwest Sewer Testing</u> Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.						
Date of Inspection: December 16, 2024	Time: 12:30 PM					
Property Address: 39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN	Zip: 55055					
Property Owner: Brian Andersen	Phone: 651-238-4308					
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       Other       At-grade	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No *If i						
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t						
Year house built: 1993 Year septic installed: 1993	Fank size (gals.): 2-1,250					
	sidents in home?					
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by gr	ravity? Y					
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se	ptic system?					
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?						
Location of septic system on lot? North Side						
Location of water well on lot? South SideIs the well a deep well? Y						
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,						
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:						
When was the system last pumped? 12/16/2024   Name of pumper: Schlomka Services						
	on a monitoring plan?					
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning	ng this system?					
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing

Owner/Occupant:

7 of 10



#### 8 of 10

# Soil Observations Log

Observations Made By: Midwest Sewer ServicesDate: 12/16/2024Classification System: USDASoil Observation: ST-1Soil Observation: ST-2Surface868.90'SurfaceElevation of ObservationBenchmark Elevation Top of Well is 880.60'Surface Elevation of ObservationSoils EncounteredBenchmark Elevation Top of ObservationSoils EncounteredBenchmark Elevation Top of ObservationSoils EncounteredBenchmark Elevation Top of ObservationSoils EncounteredBenchmark Elevation Top of ObservationSoils EncounteredDepth In InchesRock %Soils Encountered0-6 6-17 17-28 28-3810YR 2/2 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Silt Loam0-3 3-810YR 2/2 Silt Loam 10YR 3/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 26-3810YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand Lamellae Banding 38-49 49-6310YR 4/4 Sandy Loam	Location of Project: 39 Oakridge Dr, Newport, MN 55055								
Soil Observation:         ST-1         Soil Observation:         ST-2           Surface Elevation of Observation         Benchmark Elevation Top of Well is 880.60'         Surface Elevation of Observation         Surface Elevation of Observation         Surface Elevation of Observation         Soils Encountered           Depth In Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered         Depth In Inches         Nock %         Soils Encountered           28-38         10YR 3/3 Silt Loam         3-8         10YR 3/4 Medium Sand         10YR 3/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         18-26         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Loamy Sand         10YR 3/4 Silt Loam         38-49           7.5YR 4/4 Loamy Sand         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           863-75         7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam           7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox         7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam           9         5.5Y19"         Of Separation         =1.55'/19"           0 Fearation         =1.55'/19"         Of Separation         =1.55'/19"           End Of Soil Observation At:         865.73'/38"         End Of Soil Observation At:         861.05'/75" <td colspan="4"></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12/16/2024</td>								12/16/2024	
Surface         Benchmark Elevation Top of Well is 880.60'         Surface         Surface           Depth in Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered         Depth in Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered           0-6         10YR 2/2 Silt Loam         0-3         10YR 2/2 Silt Loam         6           17-28         10YR 3/4 Silt Loam         0-3         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         8-18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         18-26         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         38-49         7.5YR 4/4 Medium Sand           8-63-75         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         38-49         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam           63-75         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam           63-75         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam           9         49-63         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam           9         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam           9         862.60'         Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media           10         10 YR 4/4 Medium Sand         10 YR 4/4 Medium Sand	C	lassific	ation System:	USDA					
Elevation of Observation         Benchmark Elevation Top of Well is 880.60'         Elevation of Observation         867.30'           Depth In Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered         Depth In Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered         Inches         Inches         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Inches         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Inches         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Inches         Inches         Soils Encountered         Inches         Inches		Soil	Observation:	ST-1		Soil C	bservation:	ST-2	
Observation         Definition is 880.60'         Observation         Observation           Depth In Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered         Depth In Inches         Rock %         Soils Encountered           0-6         10YR 2/2 Silt Loam         0-3         10YR 2/2 Silt Loam         3-8           17-28         10YR 3/4 Silt Loam         8-18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           17-28         7.5YR 4/4 Silt Loam         8-18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Silt Loam         8-18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         18-26         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         -         -         -           8         8         9         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         -         -           9         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         -         -         -         -           10         8         -         -         -         -         -           10         8         -         -         -         -         -         -           10         -         -         -         -         - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td>68.90'</td><td colspan="2">Surface</td><td></td></td<>			8	68.90'	Surface				
Inches         Rotk %         Solis Encountered           0-6         10YR 2/2 Silt Loam         0-3         10YR 3/4 Medium Sand           17-28         10YR 3/4 Silt Loam         8-18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         18-26         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           28-38         ≈15-25         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam With Gravel         26-38         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand           8         18         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand         10YR 4/4 Medium Sand         Lamellae Banding           38-49         7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         63-75         7.5YR 4/4 Uoam Sand         Lamellae Banding           63-75         7.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam         63-75         7.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam         7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox           Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media         863.60'         Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media         863.60'         Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media           Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation         =1.55'/19'         To Redox Or End Of Observation         =1.55'/19'         Of Separation           End Of Soil Observation At:         865.73'/38'         End Of Soil Observation At:         861.05'/75''           Limiting Soil Conditions At:         None         Limiting Soil Conditions At:         862.05'/63''	Observ			•			867.30'		
6-17 17-28       10YR 3/3 Sill Loam 10YR 3/4 Sill Loam       3-8 8-18       10YR 3/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Loam y Sand Lamellae Banding 7.5YR 4/4 Loam y Sand Lamellae Banding 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         8       8-18       10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand 10YR 4/4 Loam y Sand Lamellae Banding 7.5YR 4/4 Loam y Sand Lamellae Banding 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         8       8-19       7.5YR 4/4 Loam y Sand Lamellae Banding 7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         8       8-10       7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         7.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam       7.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam         63-75       7.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam         7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox       7.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam         10       Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation Of Separation       863.60'       Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media 862.05'         10       Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation Of Separation       =1.55'/19''       Of Separation         End Of Soil Observation At:       865.73'/38''       End Of Soil Observation At:       861.05'/75''         Limiting Soil Conditions At:       None       Limiting Soil Conditions At:       862.05'/63''         Standing Water Present At:       None       Standing Water Present At:       None         If no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to fiv		Rock %	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	•	Rock %	Soils Encountered		
Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation       -862.05'       Depth To Redox Or End Of Observation         Of Separation       =1.55'/19"       Of Separation         End Of Soil Observation At:       865.73'/38"       End Of Soil Observation At:       861.05'/75"         Limiting Soil Conditions At:       None       Limiting Soil Conditions At:       862.05'/63"         Standing Water Present At:       None       Standing Water Present At:       None         If no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent.       Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360	6-17 17-28	≈15-25	10YR 3 10YR 3 7.5YR 4/4 Sand	InclusionInclusionDouble Lincountered/2 Silt Loam0-310YR 2/2 Silt Loam/3 Silt Loam3-810YR 3/4 Medium Sand/4 Silt Loam8-1810YR 4/4 Medium Sand/4 Silt Loam18-2610YR 4/4 Medium Sand/2 Silt Loam18-2610YR 4/4 Medium Sand/3 Silt Loam18-2610YR 4/4 Medium Sand/4 Silt Loam18-2610YR 4/4 Medium Sand/2 Silt Loam10YR 4/4 Medium Sand/4 Silt Loam26-3810YR 4/4 Medium Sand/2 Silt Loam26-3810YR 4/4 Medium Sand/2 Silt Loam7.5YR 4/4 Loamy SandLamellae Banding38-497.5YR 4/4 Sandy Loam7.5YR 3/4 Sandy Loam63-757.5YR 4/4 With Sandy Loam With					
Of Separation       =1.55'/19"       Of Separation         End Of Soil Observation At:       865.73'/38"       End Of Soil Observation At:       861.05'/75"         Limiting Soil Conditions At:       None       Limiting Soil Conditions At:       862.05'/63"         Standing Water Present At:       None       Standing Water Present At:       None         If no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent.       Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360		Elevation To Bottom Of Distribution Media							
End Of Soil Observation At:       865.73'/38"       End Of Soil Observation At:       861.05'/75"         Limiting Soil Conditions At:       None       Limiting Soil Conditions At:       862.05'/63"         Standing Water Present At:       None       Standing Water Present At:       None         If no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent.       Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360									
Limiting Soil Conditions At:NoneLimiting Soil Conditions At:862.05'/63"Standing Water Present At:NoneStanding Water Present At:NoneIf no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent. Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360EPSG:6360		Of Separation				=1.55'/19" Of Separation			
Limiting Soil Conditions At:NoneLimiting Soil Conditions At:862.05'/63"Standing Water Present At:NoneStanding Water Present At:NoneIf no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent. Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360EPSG:6360	End Of Soil Observation At: 865.73'/38"			End Of Soil Observation At: 861.05'/75"					
If no rock content was indicated, rock was less than or equal to five percent. Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360	Limi	· · · · · ·							
Elevations are based on vertical datum NAVD88 EPSG:6360	Stan	Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At: None							
	Bottom O								
48 Inches Or Elevation 863.60' At Soil Probe 2									

Signature:

Other Ula





# **DISCLAIMER**

#### Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Midwest Sewer Services, Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.