

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions:

Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 1102820420067	Local tracking number:
Local regulatory authority info: WASHINGTON COUNTY	Reason for Inspection Transfer of deed
Property address: 1635 QUENTIN AVE S, CITY OF LAKE ST	CPOIV DEACH
Owner/representative: JOAN KITTLESON	
Brief system description: System replaced in 2001 Two 1000	Owner's phone: 651-319-6803 gallon septic tanks-gravity fed drainfield (540 sq ft with 24" of roc
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 12/18/2024	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an mminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replace use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
Shorter time trame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not Juarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of re of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinanc under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	
	ent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety ent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater 2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect and the second s
ertification	
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow adequate maintenance, or future water usage.	o determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of In conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow idequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and for the purpose of processing this form.	o determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of in conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true a fed for the purpose of processing this form. Siness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and for the purpose of processing this form. I siness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. I pector signature: Shelley Schlomka	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can Certification number: 9917
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and for the purpose of processing this form. Siness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown dequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and for the purpose of processing this form. I siness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. I pector signature: Shelley Schlomka (This document has been electronically signature)	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137
ereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to ure system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown adequate maintenance, or future water usage. I typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true a sed for the purpose of processing this form. I siness name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC. Shelley Schlomka (This document has been electronically signed accessary or locally required supporting docessing the supporting docessing the second supporting docessing the second supporting docessing the sup	and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can Certification number: 9917 License number: 4137

Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentat	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Other:	uon;
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	n ☐ Yes	⊠ No	_ Not applicable	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	o	⊠ No		
Describe verification methods an	d results:			
ank integrity – Compliance	e compor	nent#2		
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:			Attached supporting documentation	on:
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		ent#2 No		on:
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Pinky's
ank integrity — Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,		J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business	Pinky's ness:
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached supporting documentation)	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024
ank integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Support of Maintenance)	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024 tach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Support of Maintenance)	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024 tach) hin three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes 区	J No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be with complete the complete tank) (See form instructions to ensure assessment)	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024 tach) hin three years) ssment complies v
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Iresults:	3 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached June) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessor) Other:	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024 tach) hin three years) ssment complies versessary – explain bel
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Describe verification methods and	☐ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ Iresults:	3 No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busines Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached Minner of	Pinky's ness: 12/18/2024 tach) hin three years) ssment complies versessary – explain bel

Property Address: 1635 QUENTIN AVE S, CITY OF LAKE	ST CROIX BEACH		
Business Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC.		Date:	12/18/2024
3. Other compliance conditions - Complian	ice component #3 of 5		
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally un	sound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or uns	ecured?	
LI Yes Ki No Li Unknown			
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and	d adversely impact public health or safe	ty? ☐ Yes	⊠ No □ Unknown
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other con	nditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7	080.2500?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Describe verification methods and results:			
	imes		
Attached supporting documentation: Not applica	ble \square		
Attached supporting documentation: Not applica			
		f 5 🛛 N	ot applicable
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – C Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	ompliance component #4 of	F "Voe" A	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – C Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	ompliance component #4 of	F "Voe" A	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collis the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the state of the system.	ompliance component #4 of Pres No It is not the system design? Yes No It is yes design	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the silf the answer to both questions is "no", this section.	ompliance component #4 of Pres No It is not the system design? Yes No It is yes design	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collis the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the silf the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria:	ompliance component #4 of Pres No It is not the system design? Yes No It is yes design	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the silf the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collis the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the silf the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria:	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the silf the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	ompliance component #4 of □ Yes □ No If In the system design? □ Yes □ No If Eystem design In does not need to be completed □ Yes □ No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the state of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fund Describe verification methods and results:	Ompliance component #4 of Pes No III In the system design In does not need to be completed I Yes No Ctioning? Yes No	f "yes", A I	
4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Collist the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the standard of the answer to both questions is "no", this section Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly fundaments.	Ompliance component #4 of Pes No III In the system design In does not need to be completed I Yes No Ctioning? Yes No	f "yes", A I	

usiness Name: SS Septic Solutions, LLC		Date:	12/18/2024
Soil separation - Complian	ice component #5	of 5	
Date of installation 7/20/2001 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Foodbeverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation:	
		Soil observation logs completed for t	
Compliance criteria (select one):		☐ Two previous verifications of require	(音)
5a.For systems built prior to April 1, 19 not located in Shoreland or Wellhea	96, and ☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment are	
Protection Area or not serving a foo beverage or lodging establishment:	od.		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot verse separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	rtical y		
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes □ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
performance systems located in Sho or Wellhead Protection Areas or ser	oreland	A. Bottom of distribution media	3'
food, beverage, or lodging establish	ment:	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	6'
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation	3'
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		D. Required compliance separation*	3'
		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
Sc. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performa systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 200 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License requi 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Ins License required > 2,500 gallons per	08 lired ≤		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Soil Observation Log

Texture Frag. Foot Frag. Fra	Cliont			400					בו שלמו בו			v 03.15.2023
Shoulder Stouther Leaustrine Leases Till Alluvium Bedrock Organic Matter Disturbee/Fill	Political	1		Joan Kit	les			Loca	M Same		635	AVP S
Shoulder Shoulder Stope %; Stope shape; Convex, Convex	it mater		3	33	[] [] []	wash	n	Loess	the same and		Matton	
Part Sandy Lawn Soil survey map units: Soil survey map Soil survey map units: Auger Auger Con Soil survey map Soil survey map Soil survey map Soil survey map units: Auger Con Soil survey map Soil s	e Positio	Ë	Shoulder			l e	1	Slope ch		400 ID	Matter	urbed/Fill
Day/Weather Conditions: 12/8/2024 Part sun mild Junitation Elevation: #Location: See Map Part sun mild Limiting Layer Elevation: Texture Frag. % Frag. % Matrix Color(s) Mottle Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Finger Auger Texture Frag. % Matrix Color(s) Mottle Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Shape Grade Con andy Loam 0 10YR 3/4 Granular Granular L L Loam 0 10YR 3/4 Granular Granular L L Loam 0 10YR 3/4 Granular Canular L	on:		Lawn		O:	Irvey ma	units	85.8	58C	CONVEX		otential
Texture Frag. x	le of Day	/Weath	1	ons:	2/	/2024		rt sun mild) [ace Bace	ation-Relativ	benchmar
Texture Rock Matrix Color(s) Mottle Color(s) Redox Kind(s) Indicator(s) Shape Grade Consorting Coarse Sandy O 10YR 3/4 Coarse Sand O	tion #/L	tion					ee W		Ohservat			
Medium 0 10YR 3/3 Granular Consession Coarse Sandy 0 10YR 3/4 Canular Canular Land Coarse Sandy 0 10YR 3/4 Canular Canular L	(ii)	xture	Rock Tag.	Matrix	Color	王	Color(s)	dox Kind	Indicatories	-	IU	Auger
Sandy Loam 0 10YR 3/3 Granular L Coarse Sand 0 10YR 3/4 Granular L Coarse Sand 0 10YR 3/4 Granular L Did soils on 43 23 Left at the coarse stands are considered as a coarse stand and coarse stands are coarse stands ar	N N N	ا ا ا	î l		ì					Shape	rade	Consist
Coarse Sandy 0 10YR 3/3 Granular L Coarse Sand 0 10YR 3/4 Granular L	Sand		0	5	3					Granular		
Loam Coarse Sand 10YR 3/4 Granular L Coarse Sand 0 10YR 3/4 Granular L Did coils on 12 9 24 back at a few at 12 9 24 back at 2 24 back at 2 9 24 back at 2 24 back at 2 9 24 back at 2 24 back at 2 9 24 back at 2	20" Coars	San		10YR	3/							
Coarse Sand 10YR 3/4 Granular L		Jam								anula		OS
Did collected to 20 24 heavest at 2 min and 2	72" Coars	San	0	10YR	3/2							
										であった。		Loose
				Anny at Description and Description of Street,								
Did coile on 12 9 24 K. S.												
Did coile on 12 8 24 kilono de la co												
		- Ch no 1	- 74 PC 8	177								
	certify that I	have cc	pleted	Work	in accord	ance with	all sapplica	able ordinances,	rules and laws.			
that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and	nettey scr signer/In						N//	MANNE		4137		2/18/202
ompleted this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws. 4137	ional Verification: periodically satura	hereby ted soil o	certify r bedro	th the	il observati posed soil	ion was ve	gnature) rified acco	rding to Minn. R. 7 rsal site.	7082.0500 subp.	(License #) 3 A. The signatu	re below represer	an infield verific
ey Schlomka ey Schlomka ey Schlomka ey Schlomka fignature) Cation: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verific saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	Dacidner/	Jacob Carl										
ey Schlomka ey Schlomka ey Schlomka ey Schlomka ey Schlomka fignature) ation: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verification was under the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.	עמאומו עו	Inspect	OC)			(Sig	gnature)			(Cert #)		

Fence Fence 1635 QUENTINE AUC 5.

SS Septic Solutions, LLC additional terms and information.

- 1. SS Septic Solutions, LLC has not been retained to warrant, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the system for any period beyond the inspection date. Due to numerous factors (usage, maintenance, tank pumping, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of a septic system. This report shall not be construed as a warranty that the system will properly function for any period.
- 2. Minimum compliance inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include only verification that the septic system has a watertight septic tank(s) and lift tank, the required separation from the bottom of the drain field/mound distribution medium and saturated soils, no backup of sewage into the dwelling and no discharge of sewage onto the ground surface or surface water. SS Septic Solutions, LLC does not inspect basement sewage ejector pumps or exterior lift pumps as they are a maintenance item. Sewage backup verification is limited to the information supplied by the last occupants/owner if available. I cannot guarantee that the information given to me is accurate. Some people may attempt to hide or conceal signs of previous backups.
- 3. Certification of this system does not warranty any future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded because of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying it, improper maintenance, heavy usage, tree roots, freezing conditions, or surface drainage problems. The system could simply stop working due to age.
- 4. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test of the longevity of the septic system. The inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the septic is polluting the environment at the date and time the inspection is performed. The inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or local unit of government code requirements.
- 5. Winter Work Client understands that inspections conducted in winter weather conditions are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and frost. Septic system components like tanks, tank covers, drop boxes and soil treatment areas are more difficult to locate in these conditions. Soil borings and drain field locations are also more difficult to perform due to ground frost. The client needs to understand that due to the weather conditions, the same level of standards may not be possible compared to an inspection during the spring/summer/fall months.
- 6. If hired to perform the compliance inspection, the client hereby agrees that SS Septic Solutions, LLC will not be responsible for any monetary damages, claims or causes of action including attorney fees arising from the performance of this inspection.
- 7. Nothing other than gray water (laundry, showers, etc.) human waste and toilet tissue should be disposed of into the septic tanks. Garbage disposals are not recommended. Smaller amounts of laundry, soaps, dish soap, cleaning agents, etc. are better for the system. Antibacterial soaps and chlorine agents may kill the bacteria needed to treat effluent properly. Additives are not recommended and may be harmful to your system. Recommend to pump and clean your tanks by a certified pumper every other year if you have 1 tank and every 2-3 years if you have a 2-tank system to ensure proper maintenance. NEVER flush wipes (even if they state they are flushable) or any sanitary products. If they reach the drain field, they could cause it to fail.