

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply	For local tracking purposes:					
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system of within 15 days	owner					
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 10/14/2016						
	compliant – Notice of Noncompliance Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant						
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/	Twp/Range:					
	Reason for inspection: Sale					
Property owner: Diane Johnson	Owner's phone: 612-804-4228					
or						
	Representative phone:651_420_6000					
	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6000					
Brief system description: 1500 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon septic tank, 1000 gallon lift station, mound dispersal system Comments or recommendations:						
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determ determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage	o unknown conditions during system construction,					
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	Certification number: 9594					
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: 119					
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-249-1346					
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments						
Soil boring logs	orms per local ordinance					
☑ Other information (list): Pumping Report						

1.	Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5							
-	Compliance criter	ia:		Verification method(s):				
_	System discharges seground surface.	ewage to the	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Searched for surface outlet ⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home				
1-	System discharges setile or surface waters	•	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 				
	System causes sewa dwelling or establishr		☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping				
	Any "yes" answer above in system is an imminent thre health and safety.			 □ Performed dye test □ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) □ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 				
	Comments/Explana			ted replacing one pump at some point in the past.				
2.	Tank Integrity -							
	Compliance criter	ria:		Verification method(s):				
	System consists of a cesspool, drywell, or		☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom☐ Examined construction records				
,	Seepage pits meeting a compliant if allowed in I			☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth				
	Sewage tank(s) leak designed operating of the sewage	depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
:	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation: Tanks pumped 10/7/2016 by Olsons. See attached.							
3.	Other Complian	nce Condition	s – Compliance co	omponent #3 of 5				
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. \square Yes* \boxtimes No \square Unknown							
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.							
	Explain:							
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.							
	Explain:							

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 or 5						
Date of installation: 1999	Unknown	Verification method(s):	fication method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent paunless site conditions have been alterequirements differ.	endent parties are sufficient,			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Lies Livo	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
			Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically		Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
saturated soil or bedrock.		Guer (Gee Comments Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	100.5'			
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector						
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	97.5'			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	3.0			
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0			
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. *Description of the component of						
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is requi	red			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger		☐ No If "yes", B below is requi				
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
a. Operating Permit number:		T				
Have the Operating Permit requirement	ente haan mat?	☐ Yes ☐ No				
		22				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No						
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.						
Ungrade Requirements (Minn Stat & 115 55	An imminent threat to pul	olic health and safety (ITDHS) must be und	aradad ranlacad or its use			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

23099 Itasca Ave N Forest Lake, MN 55025

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

9/20/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

		T			
Depth, in	Boring Number 1	Depth, in	Boring Number 2		
Inches	Donnis Hannber T	Inches	Doing rannoci 2		
0		0			
0	i cui	0 2611	Manualand		
0-8"	Loamy fill	0-36"	Mound sand		
8-14"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	36"	Obstruction		
	# 1985 Bullydelle				
4 4 4 0 !!	4000 4/4				
14-18"	10YR 4/4 sandy loam				
18-36"	10YR 5/4 clay loam, orange mottles				
	present below 30"				
	present below 50				
		8			
End of boring at	3 feet	End of boring at	3 feet		
Standing water tab	le:	Standing water tab	le: feet of depth Hours after boring		
Present at Standing water not p	feet of depth Hours after boring	Present at Standing water not p			
Mottled Soil:		Mottled Soil:			
Observed at Mottled soil not pres	2.5 feet of depth	Observed at feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole			
Comments:	Land 1	Comments:			
Daugh in		Donth in			
Depth, in	Boring Number 3	Depth, in	Boring Number 4		
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3		Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
Inches 0 End of boring at	teet	Inches 0 End of boring at	feet		
Inches O End of boring at Standing water tab	teet	End of boring at Standing water talk	feet		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p	teet le: feet of depth Hours after boring	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not	fieet ple: feet of depth Hours after boring		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not y Mottled Soil:	teet le: feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil:	feet ple: feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p	teet le: feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not	feet ple: feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth		

Bottom of rock: 100.5 Top of rock: 101.5 B2: 97.4, redox 94.4+ B1: 100.0, redox 97.5 Relative Elevations: Height of instrument: 105.4 Benchmark: 101.5 mound system) B2 Separation: 6.1+ B1 Separation: 3.0 (top of rock in mound) (20%+ slopes on south end of **8** 1991 © 2016 Google Imagery Date: 3/11/2016 45°17'14.29" N 92°56'14.50" W elev 955 ft eye alt 1414 ft 🔘 Google earth 2



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT GOVERNMENT CENTER

14949 62nd STREET NORTH P.O. BOX 6 STILLWATER, MN 55082-0006 Office: 651-430-6655 TTY: 651-430-6246 FAX: 651-430-6730

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Maintenance Permit

This section must be completed in its entirety to constitute a valid maintenance permit. This permit must be complete						
prior to perf	prior to performing maintenance activities and remain on-site for the duration of the maintenance activity.					
Date of Maintenance	Date of Maintenance: 10-7-16 Reason for Maintenance: M. Selling home					
Property Address: 2	Property Address: 23099 Itasca AUCHURTH Property Owner's Name DULF DIANNE TIME					
Municipality:						
Maintenance Permit	No: 140621 4472	Maintainer Name	and License No.	3SI - #2	NO.	
Con l'attirele					errein james ince	
Tank(s) Pumped		Liquid Level of	Tank in		Ø	
Sludge and scum	measured	11	Tank in			
Do tanks need to	be pumped?	Sludge + Scum	/ Liquid L	evel X 10	00	
☐ Yes ☐ No (if	☐ Yes ☐ No (if no provide measurements) = % Sludge & Scum Tanks must be pumped if 25% or greater					
1. Access used to rea	nove septage: Maintenar	nce Hole Other (enter authorization co	ode)		
2. Were all covers se	curely replaced? Yes	□No			•	
3. Is there evidence of tank leakage from a septic, holding, pretreatment or pump tank below the operating depth or evidence of damaged, cracked, or structurally unsound maintenance hole covers?						
	Tank	Leaking Out	Leaking In	Cover Damage	00-	
	Septic/Holding Tank #1	☐ Yes ੴNo	☐ Yes ☐No	☐ Yes ੴNo	ace .	
	Septic/Holding Tank #2	☐ Yes ੴNo	☐ Yes 🗖 No	☐ Yes ☐No		
	Pretreatment Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Pump Tank	☐ Yes ☑No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ੴNo		
4. How many gallons	of septage were removed?					
Tank #1 1560	gal Tank #2 1000	gal Pretreatment	tank 600 gal	Pump Tank	gal	
5. Other information: List any troubleshooting, minor repairs conducted, tank safety concerns, or other concerns.						
Location of septage disposal: $M_{\ell}+v_{\mathcal{O}}$						