Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing						
P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN	N 55038	Brian Humpal				
651-492-7550/Brian@midwes	tsoiltesting.com	MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector				
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE	TREATMENT	SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT				
Date: Novemeber 15, 2016	Time: 12:30 P	M Owner: Elizabeth Cammack				
Inspection Address: 9052 140th	St N, Hugo, MN 5	5038				
	REPORT SUN	IMARY				

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this septic system. This system consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is an imminent threat to public health and safety per MPCA rule 7080.1500 Subp. 4(A) because of the discharge of effluent to the ground surface and the system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194	_	ce Inspection Form se Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota requirements and attached forms – additional local results and attached forms – additional local results are additional local results.		For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Governi within 15 days	ment (LUG) and system owner	
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):1	/15/2016	
Compliant – Certificate of Comp (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)		npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check a	all applicable)	
Impact on Public Health (Compliance C	Component #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Conditions)	ance Component #3) – Imminent thr	eat to public health and safety
Tank Integrity (Compliance Component	t #2) – Failing to protect groundwat	ter

Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater

Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater

Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	9052 140th St N, Hugo, MN 55038	Reason for inspection: Property Sale
Property owner:	Elizabeth Cammack	Owner's phone:
or		
Owner's represent	ative: Brigg Backer (Keller Williams)	Representative phone: 612-202-4334
Local regulatory a	uthority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052
Brief system desci	ription: Pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench	drainfield.
Comments or reco	ommendations:	

Effleunt is surfacing onto ground.

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal	Certification number:	L5342
Business name:	Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number:	L2896
Inspector signature	: Brian Humpal	Phone number:	651-492-7550

Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria: System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

Effleunt is surfacing onto ground.

Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	∐ Yes	🛛 No
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tank - baffles and tank walls OK.

Verification method(s):

Probed tank(s) bottom
Examined construction records
Examined Tank Integrity Form (*Attach*)
Observed liquid level below operating depth
Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, ι	unsecured, or ap	opear to structurally	y unsound.	🗌 Yes*	🖾 No	🗌 Unknown

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector □ Yes* ⊠ No *System is failing to protect groundwater

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation:	🛛 Unkn	own	V	erification method(s):		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil		
Compliance criteria:			u	bservations by two independent p nless site conditions have been a pruirements differ		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	🗌 Yes 🖾 No		 requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring log. Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation) 			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No	c	omments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes	Yes No Indicate depths of eleva		adicate depths of elevations	See Attached Boring Log(s	
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation		
Any "no" answer above indicates th Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he syste	em is	*N	Required compliance separation* May be reduced up to 15 percent Drdinance.	if allowed by Loca	
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B/	MP* – C	omplianc	ce com	ponent #5 of 5 🛛 🛛 Not app	olicable	
is the system operated under an Operating Perr	nit?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	If "yes", A below is required		
is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	P?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No	lf "yes", B below is required		
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified	ed in the s	system de	esign			
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion doe	s not r	need to be completed.		
Compliance criteria						
a Operating Permit number:						
A. Operating Permit number. Have the Operating Permit requirements b				☐ Yes ☐ No		

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

Upgrade Requirements (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.*

☐ Yes ☐ No


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: November 15, 2016	Time: 12:30 PM						
Property Address: 9052 140th St N, Hugo, MN	Zip: 55038						
Property Owner: Elizabeth Cammack	Phone:						
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Trank(s)Material Septic 1 Fiberglass Root Aerobic Plastic Gra Lift Metal Cha Holding Concrete See Other: Block Mo	reatment System Other k trench Alternative system velless trench Experimental system umber trench Cesspool system page bed Other system						
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \boxtimes Y performed through the maintenance holes. Maintee the ground surface to facilitate access and proper r	nance hole covers should be made accessible to						
Year house built: 1890 Year septic installed	d: Tank size (gals.):						
How long has seller owned the property?	Number of residents in home?						
Number of bedrooms?3Are all floor	ors drained by gravity?						
<u> </u>	Whirlpool bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?							
	Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?						
Are there any additional systems on this property s	serving other buildings?						
Location of septic system on lot? East Side							
Location of water well on lot? West Side	Is the well a deep well? Y						
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain:							
When was the system last pumped? Name of pumper:							
How often pumped in previous years?	Is system on a monitoring plan?						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?							
Is your property located in a shoreland management							
	Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?						

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 9052 140th St N, Hugo, MN 55038							
		Inspect Minnesota	Date: 11/15/16				
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Classification System: USDA				
Bo	pring Number:	1		Boring Number:			
Surface Elevation of Boring	Same grou	und surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation c Boring				
Depth In Inches	<u>Soils E</u>	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils En	countered		
0-5 5-10 10-16 16-20 20-41	10YR 3/4 S 10YR 4/3 Fine 7.5YR 5/8 8 10YR 5/4 Fine 10YR 6/2 & Fe 7.5YR 4/4 Loan	Soils Encountered 10YR 2/2 Loamy Sand (Fill) 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam (Fill) 10YR 4/3 Fine Sandy Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 5/4 Fine Sand (Moist) With LOYR 6/2 & Few 7.5YR 5/8 Redox 5YR 4/4 Loamy Sand (Moist) With 5YR 5/8 Redox					
10" De	pth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox				
Same Ele	Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring Relative To System				
	pth To Bottom (Separation	Of Distribution Media		Depth To Bottom O Df Separation	f Distribution Media		
En	d Of Boring At:	41"		End Of Boring At:			
	dox Present At:		Redox Present At:				
Standing Wa	ater Present At:	None	Standing Water Present At:				

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: ≈36 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems



Non-transferable

License # L2896

Adv Inspector License Expires: Adv Designer License Expires: Maintainer License Expires: Installer License Expires: Date of Issuance:

Oct 28, 2015 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016 Dec 22, 2016

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Certificatio Expires	10/15/2017	10/15/2017	10/15/2017	10/15/2017	10/15/2017	03/04/2018	03/04/2018
U A	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	Ö	Ö

A

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194



Steven Giddings Manager Environmental Business Assistance Section