

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/17/2016	
	liant – Notice of Noncompliance Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public he	aalth and cafety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thr	
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate	er
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundwa	
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #	5) – Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	ge: 09.030.20.22.0006
Property address: 14044 107 th St N, Stillwater, MN 55082 Reason for	or inspection:property sale
Property owner: Clayton Hiemke Owner's p	phone: 608-712-5029
Owner's representative:	tative phone:
	y authority phone: 651-430-6673
Brief system description: 1,200 gallon septic tank & rock trench drainfield.	, assert, product <u>-00.100.0070</u>
Comments or recommendations:	
This system received a Compliance Inspection on Oct 19, 2011 and certified as "failing then Grandfathered" under Section 4.3, Subsection (9) and has been in use since then	due to the lack of soil separation. It was
During this inspection, the tank, drainfield and plumbing were all found to be functioning surfacing.	g normally, with no sign of backing up or
The Washington County Dept. of Public Health & Environment needs to determine if the	e "Grandfather" status still applies.
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the of determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	ompliance status of this system. No n conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Tom Trooien Certificati	on number: 323
	se number: 1568
In an action single three districts	ne number: 612-594-4496
	e l
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	ocal ordinance
☑ Other information (list): 2011 "Grandfather" letter.	
www.pca.state.mn.us	

C	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	ystem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ☒ No	Searched for surface outlet
S	round surface. ystem discharges sewage to drain e or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ✓ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home ✓ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
	ystem causes sewage backup into welling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
S	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	omments/Explanation: ank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5	
	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	ystem consists of a seepage pit, esspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom ☑ Examined construction records
	eepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be impliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
de	ewage tank(s) leak below their esigned operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
	yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
	ny "yes" answer above indi ystem is failing to protect gr		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	omments/Explanation:		
0	ther Compliance Condition		
	iviaintenance hole covers are dama		, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown Inches ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown Inches
a. b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	to immediately and adver	V.
a.		to immediately and adver public health and safet	y.
a.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	to immediately and adver	y.
a.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to	public health and safet water for other conditions	
a. b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to Explain: System is non-protective of ground	public health and safet water for other conditions	

Inspector initials/Date: TT | 11/17/2016

Property address: 14044 107th St N, Stillwater, MN 55082

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats

Property address: 14044 107th St N, Stillwate	r, MN 55082	Inspector initials/Date: TT 11/17/2016	
		(mm/dd/yyyy)	
4. Soil Separation — Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5		
Date of installation: 12/8/1986 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)	
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	L Tes ZINO	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☑ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:	
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	
icense required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
Orainfield meets the designed vertical eparation distance from periodically		C. System separation	
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	•	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. nce component #5 of 5 Not applicable	
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	No If "yes", A below is required	
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Yes	s ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) s	specified in the system	design	
If the answer to both questions is "r	o", this section do	es not need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number:n/a			
Have the Operating Permit requireme	nts been met?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	ng? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc			
ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep	notice or within a shorter p laced, or its use discontin	oblic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its operiod if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect the system is failing to protect and within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system aration, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced	e

www.pca.state.mn.us • www.pca.state.mn.us •

651-296-6300 • 651-296-6300 •

800-657-3864 800-657-3864

its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas,

Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats



Department of Public Health and Environment

Lowell Johnson Director

Sue Hedlund Deputy Director

GEO Code: 09-030-20-22-0006

10/25/2011

Bruce Keller 14044 107th St N Stillwater, MN 55082

COMPLIANCE INSPECTION REPORT

I have reviewed the Compliance Inspection Report for the subsurface sewage treatment system (SSTS) at 14044 107th Street North in Stillwater Township. The compliance inspection was conducted by Brian Humpal on October 19, 2011.

The Compliance Inspection Report indicates that the SSTS is a failing system due to an apparent lack of vertical separation between the system bottom and a limiting layer. The Compliance Inspection Report also indicates that the system is **NOT** an imminent threat to public health or safety.

Section 4.3, Subp. (9) of the Washington County Development Code, Chapter Four, Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Regulations (Washington County Ordinance #179) states:

An existing system that is not otherwise considered an imminent threat to public health and safety and which was constructed under a permit issued by the Department, or other local unit of government that verified that the required vertical separation existed at the time of installation, need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued notwithstanding the fact that at the time of a compliance inspection, there appears to be less than the required vertical separation between the system bottom and any limiting layer.

The Department's records indicate that the SSTS at 14044 107th Street North under authority of Washington County Septic Permit No. 4184 in 1986. For this reason, the SSTS <u>does not need be upgraded</u>, replaced, or its use discontinued, unless it becomes an imminent health threat.

As noted by the compliance inspector, the tank inlet baffle should be replaced.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (651)430-6676.

Sincerely,

Pete Ganzel

Senior Environmental Specialist