Inspect Min	nesota & Mi	dwest Soil Testing
P.O. Box 383 Hugo, M	N 55038	Brian Humpal
651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com		MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector
SUBSURFACE SEWAGE	TREATMENT SY	YSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT
Date: December 19, 2016	Time: 1:00 PM	Owner: Kathleen Groh
Inspection Address: 114 Bichner Ln, Mahtomedi, Mi		N Site Conditions: 8" Snow 0" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this septic system, have reviewed the history of the system with the Owner, Kathleen Groh, and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at Washington County. This system consists of a pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils. Washington County issued a sewage treatment permit for the installation of this septic system.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Compliance Inspect	
520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194	Existing Subsurface Sewage Treat	(SSTS)
	Doc Type: Com	pliance and Enforcement
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnes requirements and attached forms – additional loca		JOSES:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Gover within 15 days	nment (LUG) and system owner	
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _	12/19/2016	
Compliant – Certificate of Com (Valid for 3 years from report date, unle frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	• – •	•
Reason(s) for noncompliance (checl	all applicable)	
Impact on Public Health (Compliance)	Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safet	ty

- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Imminent threat to public health and safety
- Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) Failing to protect groundwater
- Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Failing to protect groundwater
- Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) Failing to protect groundwater
- Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) Noncompliant

Property Information

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:

Property address:	114 Bichner Ln, Mahtomedi, MN 55115	Reason for inspection: City Inspection
Property owner:	Kathleen Groh	Owner's phone: 651-777-0991
or		
Owner's represent	ative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory a	uthority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: _651-430-4052
Brief system desci	iption: <u>A pre-cast septic tank, a pre-cast lift tank, and</u>	a rock trench drainfield.
Comments or reco	mmendations:	

Comments or recommendations:

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

Inspector name:	Brian Humpal	Certification number:	L5342
Business name:	Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number:	L2896
Inspector signature	: Brian Humpal	Phone number:	651-492-7550

Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

🖂 Soil boring logs	🛛 System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance
Other information (list):	Report Summary, Property Informa	ation, Disclaimer, License

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria: System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.

Comments/Explanation:

None of the above found.

Verification method(s):

- Searched for surface outlet
- Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
- Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes
- Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
- "Black soil" above soil dispersal system
- System requires "emergency" pumping
- Performed dye test
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

2. Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
designed operating depth.			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	3	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.

Comments/Explanation:

Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.

Verification method(s):

- Probed tank(s) bottom
 Examined construction records
 Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
 Observed liquid level below operating depth
- Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
- Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
- Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
- Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	🛛 No	Unknown
~				

b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ⊠ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety

Explain:

C.	System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector	□ Yes*	🛛 No
	*System is failing to protect groundwater		

Explain:

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

Date of installation: 1987	Unknown	Ve	erification method(s	s).	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage			il observation does not	•	vious soil
Lodging?		ob	servations by two indepless site conditions have	pendent par	ties are sufficient,
Compliance criteria:			quirements differ.	/e been alte	red or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No		Conducted soil obser Two previous verifica Not applicable <i>(Holdin</i>	tions (Attach	boring logs)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			Unable to verify (See Other (See Comments/	Comments/Ex	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	🗌 Yes 🖾 No	Co	mments/Explanation:		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	□ Yes □ No	In	dicate depths of ele	vations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		<u>A</u> .	Bottom of distribution me	dia	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			Periodically saturated so System separation	il/bedrock	
		D.	Required compliance sep	paration*	
Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he system is		ay be reduced up to 15 rdinance.	5 percent if a	allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – Compliance	e comp	onent #5 of 5 🛛 🖂	Not appli	cable
Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No	lf "yes", A below is	required	
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	IP? 🗌 Yes	🛛 No	lf "yes", B below is	required	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi	ied in the system des	sign			
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this section does	not n	eed to be complete	d.	
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number:			Yes No		

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

5.

Upgrade Requirements (*Minn. Stat.* § 115.55) *An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.*

🗌 Yes 🗌 No

WASHINGTON COUNTY, MINNESOTA

4.

Sewage Treatment Permit No.

Inspection of Installation Must Be Made By the Building Offical Before Any Portion of System is Covered Contact Planning Department, 779-5444, 24 Hour Notice Required

Owner	<u>, inger gerte</u>	1 Parat	<u>produktione</u> na statut		
Property Description		•	<u>, September (h. 19</u> Anna an Anna		·
Property Address	- 4	2 - 2 			
Use of Building:	1 1 1/10 10	Flow Rate:		Percolation Rate:	mpi
Septic Tank	Gal	. Liquid Capacity	Lift Station (if	needed)	Gal.
Type of System:					· ·
Absorption Trench —	Square Feet	·	Lineal Feet	Width	A
Depth of Rock Below	Lines	Inches,	Above Lines	Inches	
Depth of Trench From	Existing Grad	e — Minimum	Inches,	Maximum	Inches
Recommended Numb	er of Lines		(Note: Maximum Len	igth of Individual Line is	; 100 Feet.)
Minimum Spacing of	Lines	Ft. Center	r to Center		
Special Conditions	- <u></u>	· · ·			-
	·				
PERMIT: Permission is to the minimum spec dition that the person	s hereby granted ifications show to whom it is g	I to the above nar n above and per tranted, and his a	ned applicant to perform attached site plan. This	the work described in the permit is granted upon e orkmen shall conform in ed at any time upon viol	e applicaiton express con- all respects ation of any

INSTALLER MUST HOLD CURRENT SEPTIC INSTALLER LICENSE WITH WASHINGTON COUNTY.

Approved			Date	
Approved		Zonung Administrato#Autho		
		- a la companya ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an		
Comments .	<u></u>	MI.	for a horize intales	د
Installation Ap	provot Tu	und lies	Date 7-15-87	

<u>Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing</u>

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information


This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: December 19, 2016	Time: 1:00 PM
Property Address: 114 Bichner Ln Mahtomedi, MN	Zip: 55115
Property Owner: Kathleen Groh	Phone: 651-777-0991
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment Sys Septic 1 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade	Alternative system h Experimental system
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \Box Yes \boxtimes No performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance	e covers should be made accessible to
Year house built: 1965 Year septic installed: 1987	Tank size (gals.): 1200
	of residents in home? 1-2
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained	by gravity? Y
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool	
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N	
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to t Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings on the property such as garages or out-buildings or out-	
Are there any additional systems on this property serving oth	er buildings? N
Location of septic system on lot? South Side Location of water well on lot? North Side	Is the well a deep well? V
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such	Is the well a deep well? Y
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing to the system? N If yes, explain:	
· · · · ·	pumper:
	ystem on a monitoring plan? N
Have you received notices from any government agency con-	cerning this system? N
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N	
Do you have any additional information that should be given	to the new owner? N

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Kathleen Groh's Signature On File

Date: 12/19/2016



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 114 Bichner Ln, Mahtomedi, MN 55115								
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			onicul, m		Date:	12/19/16		
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	Classification System:		USDA		
Boring Number: 1				2				
Surface Elevation of Boring		ind surface as last nfield trench	Surface Elevation of Boring		Same grou	Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		
Depth In Inches	Soils Encountered		Depth In Inches		Soils Encountered			
54-71	Soils Encountered 10YR 2/2 Loamy Sand 10YR 4/3 Fine To Medium Sand 10YR 4/3 Medium Coarse Sand With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 5/4 Medium Sand Layers With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox		0-12 12-26 26-45	10YR 2/2 Loamy Sand 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments With 10YR 4/4 Medium Sand With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox				
48" De	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	26" D		Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			
Same Ele	evation Of Boring	Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System						
-35" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =13" Of Separation			-35" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation					
End Of Boring At: 71"			End Of Boring At: 45"					
Redox Present At: 48"			Redox Present At: 26"					
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing Water Present At: None					

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 35 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems Non-transferable Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s): Installer Maintainer Service Provider Advanced Designer Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #	Name	Certification Expires:			
C5342	Brian L Humpal 10/15/2017 Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector				
C9852	Christopher R Uebe Designer, Inspector	3/4/2018			



Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section