

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA)	For local tracking purposes:				
requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also app	ply.				
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system within 15 days	owner				
System Status					
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/2/2016					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)					
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminer	nt threat to public health and safety				
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Im	nminent threat to public health and safety				
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect of	groundwater				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Fa					
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect					
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Co	mponent #5) – Noncompliant				
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec					
Property address: 10525 217th Ave N Scandia, MN 55073	Reason for inspection: Sale				
Property owner: Brenna Wojtowicz	Owner's phone: 651-249-8708				
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:				
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655				
Brief system description: 1250 gallon septic tank and gravity drainfield					
Comments or recommendations:					
Certification					
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due	to unknown conditions during system construction,				
possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag					
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	Certification number: 9594				
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: 119				
Inspector signature:	Phone number: 651-462-2294				
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments					
Soil boring logs	Forms per local ordinance				
Other information (list): Pumping report					

Inspector initials/Date: $\frac{2}{3}$

Ι.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance componen	[#1 0] 5			
	Compliance criteria:	iance criteria: Verification method(s):				
i.	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 			
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
8	Comments/Explanation:					
2.	Tank Integrity — Compliance	component #2 of 5				
15	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records			
12	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
5	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
1	Any "yes" answer above indi system is failing to protect ga		☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:					
	Tank was pumped 4/27//2016 by Smil	ies. See attached pumping	report.			
3.	Other Compliance Condition	1s – Compliance compor	nent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are dama	aged, cracked, unsecured, o	or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to					
	Explain:					
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect ground Explain:		as determined by inspector . Yes* No			

Inspector initials/Date: B2 | 5/2/2010 (mm/dd/yyyy)

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5					
Date of installation: 1978	Unknown	Verification method(s):			
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)			
Protection Area or not serving a food,		Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)			
beverage or lodging establishment:		Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)			
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	Other (See Comments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	99.2		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector					
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	96.4+		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	2.8+		
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	3.0 (2.55 with allowance)		
Any "no" answer above indicates to	he system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local		
failing to protect groundwater.		Ordinance.			
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* - Complia	nce component #5 of 5	Not applicable		
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	s No If "yes", A below is require	red		
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? ☐ Ye	s No If "yes", B below is require	red		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design					
If the answer to both questions is "n	no", this section do	es not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria					
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No			
				b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly function
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

10525 217th Ave N Scandia MN


Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

4/22/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches 0	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-16"	Loamy fill	0-8"	7.5YR 3/3 sandy loam
16-26"	10YR 3/3 loamy sand	8-42"	7.5YR 4/4 sandy loam
26-48"	10YR 4/3 loamy sand	42-66"	7.5YR 4/4 loamy sand, lenses of 10YR 5/4 coarse sand
48-52"	10YR 5/4 sandy loam		Sy + course suriu
52"	Obstruction		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring resent in hole	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth feet of depth feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
0		0	
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring bresent in hole feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre: Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring present in hole feet of depth





DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT GOVERNMENT CENTER

14949 62nd STREET NORTH P.O. BOX 6 STILLWATER, MN 55082-0006 Office: 651-430-6655 TTY: 651-430-6246 FAX: 651-430-6730

Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Maintenance Permit

This section must be completed in its entirety	to constitute a	alid maintenance	permit. This permit	must be completed
prior to performing maintenance activi	ties and remain o	n-site for the dura	tion of the maintena	nce activity
	for Maintenance:		· Mas	
Property Address: 10525	76 57 N	Property Owner's	Name: Brown	a Whitem
Municipality: Scandia ZIP:55	Property Id	entification Numbe	r:	7
Maintenance Permit No: Q7809 m107		and License No.		2865
Maintenance Performed	Tank Mea	isurement (must b	e completed if tanks	NOT pumped)
Tank(s) Pumped	Liquid Level of	Tank in		
Sludge and scum measured	Sludge Level in	Tank in	Scum Level in Tank	in
Do tanks need to be pumped?			Level X 100	
Yes No (if no provide measurements)			inks must be pumped i	f 25% or greater
 2. Were all covers securely replaced? Yes 3. Is there evidence of tank leakage from a sep evidence of damaged, cracked, or structural 	tic holding pret	reatment or pump ntenance hole cove	tank below the operars?	ating depth or
Tank	Leaking Out	Leaking In	Cover Damage	
Septic/Holding Tank #1	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐No	-
Septic/Holding Tank #2	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Pretreatment Tank	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Pump Tank ·	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	
4. How many gallons of septage were removed?				
Tank #1 gal Tank #2	gal Pretreatment	tank ga	al Pump Tank	gal
5. Other information: List any troubleshooting,	minor repairs cor	nducted, tank safe	ty concerns, or other	concerns.