Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN **Site Conditions:** 4" Snow 9" Frost

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this septic system and have reviewed the history of the system with the Owner, Judith Soukup. This very old system (installed in 1987) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:			
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days				
System Status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):				
— · · —	mpliant – Notice of Noncompliance grade Requirements on page 3)			
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundware Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundware Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundware Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	reat to public health and safety ter otect groundwater vater			
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Ran	qe:			
Property address: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Reason	for inspection: Property Sale phone: 651-748-8402			
Or Oursel's representative.	atativa whomas			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Representative phone:			
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.	<u> </u>			
Comments or recommendations:				
Certification				
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.				
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certification	tion number: L5342			
	nse number: L2896			
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Pho	one number: 651-492-7550			
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments				
	local ordinance			
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lie				

Property address: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Inspector initials/Date: 2/9/2017

1.	Im	npact on Public Health – Coi	mpliance component #1 o	of 5			
	Compliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
		stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☑ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) □ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system 			
		stem cause sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.		_	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
		omments/Explanation: one of the above found.					
2.	Τá	ank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5				
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	Sy	rstem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☑ Examined construction records			
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	de	ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	lf y	yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.			☐ Onable to Verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)				
	Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.						
3.	Ot	ther Compliance Conditions	5 – Compliance compone	ent #3 of 5			
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are damage	d. cracked. unsecured. or app	pear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety						
Explain:							
	C.	 System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater 					
		Explain:					

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Inspector initials/Date: 2/9/2017 8#

Date of installation: _1987	Unkr	nown	Verification method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. F			
Compliance criteria:			unless site conditions have been a	observations by two independent parties are sufficient unless site conditions have been altered or local		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) Two previous verifications (Atta	ach boring logs)		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	nments/Explanation)		
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No	Comments/Explanation:			
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
	☐ Yes	□No	Indicate depths of elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation			
			D. Required compliance separation*			
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.			
			Gramanos.			
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – C	compliance	e component #5 of 5 🛮 🖂 Not ap	olicable		
Is the system operated under an Operating Peri	mit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No If "yes", A below is required			
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ If "yes", B below is required						
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does	not need to be completed.			
Compliance criteria			·			
a. Operating Permit number:						
Have the Operating Permit requirements to	een met	?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?			P ☐ Yes ☐ No			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3


Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: February 9, 2017	Time: 10:00 AM					
Property Address: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042					
Property Owner: Judy Soukup	Phone: 651-748-8402					
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System	Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system					
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? \boxtimes Yes \square No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.						
Year house built: 1987 Year septic installed: 1987	Γank size (gals.): 1500					
	sidents in home? 1					
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by gr	ravity? Y					
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath?	N					
More than one system (laundry, etc.)? N						
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the septic system? N Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? N						
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings? N						
Location of septic system on lot? East Side						
	e well a deep well? N/A					
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? N If yes, explain:						
When was the system last pumped? 2013-14 Name of pumper: Meyer's Sewer Service						
How often pumped in previous years? Every 3-4 Is system on a monitoring plan? N						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? N						
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N						
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? N						

I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.

Owner/Occupant: Judy Soukup's Signature On File Date: 2/9/2017



Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 2856 Inwood Ave N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042						
		Inspect Minnesota		Date:	2/9/17	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Classification System:		USDA		
Вс	Boring Number: 1			Boring Number:	2	
TEIEVALION OLI		ind surface as last ofield trench	Boring drain		nd surface as last field trench	
T SOUS FORMUNIARED		Depth In Inches	Soils Encountered			
Soils Encountered Soils Encountered		10YR 2/2 Loam 11-24 10YR 4/3 Loam 24-30 10YR 4/3 Loam With 7.5YR 5/8, 5YR 4/6, & Few 10YR 6/2 Rec		4/3 Loam 3 Loam With , & Few 10YR 6/2 Redox 4 Sandy Loam andy Loam With		
31" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox		24"	Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Same	Elevation Of Boring Relative To System			
-36" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation		-36" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation				
-0 OI Separation			-0	or Separation		
En	nd Of Boring At:	42"		End Of Boring At:	48"	
Redox Present At: 31"			Redox Present At:			
Standing Wa	Standing Water Present At: None			Water Present At:	None	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 36 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul. Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section