Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2007, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mound.

Predicated on my inspection of the system and my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humnal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| | 3, 11 y |
|--|--|
| Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPC/requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply. | |
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days | |
| | |
| System Status | |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 2/23/2017 | |
| | ompliant – Notice of Noncompliance pgrade Requirements on page 3) |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) | |
| ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threa | t to public health and safety |
| Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent | |
| ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect grounds | |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground | |
| ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component | |
| Property owner: Margaret Teufert Owner or Owner's representative: The Fry Group (Keller Williams Premier) Representative: | enge: n for inspection: Property Sale 's phone: sentative phone: tory authority phone: 651-430-4052 |
| Brief system description: Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a mo | und. |
| Comments or recommendations: | |
| Certification | |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | |
| Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certific | cation number: <u>L5342</u> |
| | cense number: <u>L2896</u> |
| Inspector signature: Brian Humpal F | Phone number:651-492-7550 |
| Necessary or Locally Required Attachments | |
| | er local ordinance |
| ☐ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, | License |

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: _ 10065 Indigo Trl N, Grant, MN 55115

Inspector initials/Date: 2/23/2017

| <u>1.</u> | Impact on Public Health – (| compliance componer | nt #1 of 5 | | | |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Compliance criteria: | | Verification method(s): | | | |
| | System discharge sewage to the ground surface. | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | ☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home | | | |
| | System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. | e ☐ Yes ☒ No | Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) | | | |
| | System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test | | | |
| | Any "yes" answer above indica an Imminent Threat to Public Ho | | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) | | | |
| | Comments/Explanation: None of the above found. | | | | | |
| | None of the above lound. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2 | Taulalutamitus o s | | | | | |
| <u>2.</u> | Tank Integrity – Compliance of | omponent #2 of 5 | | | | |
| | Compliance criteria: | | Verification method(s): | | | |
| | System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. | ☐ Yes ☒ No | ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom☑ Examined construction records | | | |
| | Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be | | ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) | | | |
| | compliant if allowed in local ordinance. | | ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth | | | |
| | Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. | ☐ Yes ⊠ No | ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) | | | |
| | If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: | | ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" | | | |
| | Any "yes" answer above ind system is Failing to Protect (| | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) | | | |
| | Comments/Explanation: | | | | | |
| | Lowered underwater camera into tank | | | | | |
| | Lift pump and alarm were operational | at the time of the inspec | ction. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | | |
| <u>3.</u> | Other Compliance Condition | ns – Compliance con | nponent #3 of 5 | | | |
| | a. Maintenance hole covers are dama | ged, cracked, unsecured | l, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown | | | |
| | b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) *System is an imminent threat to | <u> </u> | rsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown **Index of the impact of | | | |
| | Explain: | | | | | |
| | c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect grou | | s as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No | | | |
| | Explain: | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 10065 Indigo Trl N, Grant, MN 55115

Inspector initials/Date: 2/23/2017

| 4. | Soil Separation – Compliance compor | nent #4 of 5 | | | | |
|----|---|------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | Date of installation: 1997 | Unknown | V | erification method(s): | | |
| | Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? | ⊠ Yes □ No | | oil observation does not expire. P | | |
| | Compliance criteria: | | observations by two independent parties are sufficient unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. □ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) □ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) □ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) | | | |
| | For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: | Yes No | | | | |
| | Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation) | | | |
| | Non-performance systems built April 1, | ⊠ Yes □ No | C | omments/Explanation: | | |
| | 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: | | | eviewed previous compliance inspections and permit record | | |
| | Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.* | | | | | |
| | "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" | ☐ Yes ☐ No | - In | dicate depths of elevations | | |
| | systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) | | Α. | Bottom of distribution media | See Attached Boring Log(s) | |
| | Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically | | - | Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation | | |
| | saturated soil or bedrock. | | D. | Required compliance separation* | | |
| | Any "no" answer above indicates the system is | | *N | May be reduced up to 15 percent in Drainage. | f allowed by Local | |
| 5. | Operating Permit and Nitrogen B | MP* – Compliand | e com | ponent #5 of 5 🔀 Not app | licable | |
| | Is the system operated under an Operating Per | mit? | ⊠ No | If "yes", A below is required | | |
| | Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM | IP? ☐ Yes | ⊠ No | If "yes", B below is required | | |
| | BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi | ïed in the system de | sign | | | |
| | If the answer to both questions is "no", | this section does | s not r | need to be completed. | | |
| | Compliance criteria | | | | | |
| | a Operating Permit number: | | | | | |
| | Have the Operating Permit requirements I | | | Yes No | | |
| | b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and | nronerly functioning | 12 | ☐ Yes ☐ No | | |

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

| Date of Inspection: February 23, 2017 | Time: 8:45 AM | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Property Address: 10065 Indigo Trl N, Grant, MN | Zip: 55115 | | | | |
| Property Owner: Margaret Teufert | Phone: | | | | |
| Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System Septic 2 Fiberglass Rock trench Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench Lift Metal Chamber trench Holding Concrete Seepage bed Other: Block Mound Other At-grade | Other Alternative system Experimental system Cesspool system Other system | | | | |
| Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes ☐ No *If i | no, proper maintenance must be | | | | |
| performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole cover | | | | | |
| the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of t | | | | | |
| Year house built: 1997 Year septic installed: 1997 | Tonk size (gala): 2 1000 | | | | |
| | sidents in home? | | | | |
| Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by g | | | | | |
| Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath? | | | | | |
| More than one system (laundry, etc.)? | | | | | |
| Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the se | entic system? | | | | |
| a cost acceptancy control and a cost acceptance and cost | Free Systems. | | | | |
| Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-building | Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system? | | | | |
| Are there any additional systems on this property serving other bu | ildings? | | | | |
| Location of septic system on lot? West Side | | | | | |
| Location of water well on lot? Southeast Corner | | | | | |
| Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, | | | | | |
| surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system? If yes, explain: | | | | | |
| When was the system last pumped? 2016 Name of pum | per: | | | | |
| How often pumped in previous years? Is system | on a monitoring plan? | | | | |
| Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system? | | | | | |
| Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N | | | | | |
| Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner? | | | | | |
| I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledg considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I a this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all we | law submit a copy of this report to the lso agree that unless otherwise noted in | | | | |

Owner/Occupant: Date:

by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.



Log Of Soil Borings

| Location of Project: 10065 Indigo Trl N, Grant, MN 55115 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota | | Inspect Minnesota | Date: | | 2/23/17 |
| | Auger Used: | Hand/Bucket | Classification System: | | USDA |
| | Boring Number: | 1 | | Boring Number: | |
| Surface Elevation Boring | of 42" below | top of mound on inal contour | Surface Elevation of Boring | | |
| Depth In Inches | Soils E | ncountered | Depth In Inches | Soils Encountered | |
| 0-12 12-30 30-38 | 10YR 10YR 4/3 Loar | 2/2 Loam 4/3 Loam n (Saturated) With 5YR 5/3 Redox | | | |
| 30" | Depth To End Of B | oring Or Redox | | Depth To End Of Bo | oring Or Redox |
| +42" | +42" Elevation Of Boring Below Top Of Mound | | | Elevation Of Boring | Relative To System |
| -28" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media | | | | f Distribution Media | |
| =44" Of Separation | | | Of Separation | | |
| | End Of Boring At: | 38" | | End Of Boring At: | |
| | Redox Present At: | 30" | | Redox Present At: | |
| Standing | Water Present At: | 30" @ 15 Minutes | | Water Present At: | |
| | - | <u> </u> | | _ | |

| Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 28 Inches |
|---|
| |

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul. Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section