

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection resurrequirements and a	Its based attached fo	on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency orms – additional local requirements may	(MPCA) v also apply.	For local to	racking purposes:	
Submit complete within 15 days	d form to	Local Unit of Government (LUG) and	d system owner			
System Statu	S					
System stat	tus on da	te (mm/dd/yyyy):3/28/2017				
(Valid for 3 y	ears from	rtificate of Compliance report date, unless shorter time I Ordinance.)			otice of Noncomp nts on page 3.)	pliance
☐ Impac ☐ Other ☐ Tank I ☐ Other ☑ Soil So	ct on Public Complian Integrity (C Complian eparation	compliance (check all applicable) c Health (Compliance Component #1) - ce Conditions (Compliance Component Compliance Component #2) - Failing to ce Conditions (Compliance Component (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to it/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance)	t #3) – Imminent thr protect groundwate t #3) – Failing to pro to protect groundwa	eat to public er stect ground ster	health and safety	
				AND CONTROL OF THE PARTY AND		
Property Info	rmatio	n Parcel IE	# or Sec/Twp/Rang	je:		
Property address:	11395 L	ansing Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082	577	or inspection	: Sale	50-00 SE 2-00 2000 2000 2000 A
Property owner:			Owner's	ohone: 65	1-303-5674	
or						
Owner's represent				tative phone	20 (2002) (1994) 10000000 100000000000000	
Local regulatory at		Washington County		y authority p	phone: 651-430-6000	
Brief system descr		Septic tank with gravity rock trench dra	infield			
Comments or rec *Did not inspect ta to installation of ne	nk as part	of inspection as drainfield failed due to	lack of separation.	Tank should	be pumped and inspec	ted prior
*No permit on file v	with count	y from septic installation - construction	date listed is home	wners estim	nate.	
*Original site visit f	for soils ev	aluation was 12/5/2016, confirmed hyd	raulic function durir	ig site visit o	n 3/27/2017/.	
Certification						
determination of fu	ıture syste	ecessary information has been gathered om performance has been nor can be m n, inadequate maintenance, or future w	ade due to unknow	ompliance s n conditions	tatus of this system. No during system construc	ition,
Inspector name:	Benjamir	n Zierke	Certificati	on number:	9594	
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing			Licen	se number:	119	
Inspector signature	e: Dry	In St	Pho	ne number:	651-249-1346	
Necessary or	Locally	Required Attachments				
Soil boring lo Soil	gs	System/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per	ocal ordinar	nce	
Other informa	ation (list):			1000		and the second second

					(mm/aa/yyyy)			
1.	lm	pact on Public Health – C	ompliar	nce compo	nent #1 of 5			
	Co	mpliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
	Sys	stem discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes ⊠ No		⊠ Searched for surface outlet			
	gro	ground surface.		The second secon	⊠ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
		stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 				
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.		⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system				
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				 ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
2.	Ho	mments/Explanation: meowner did not report any issues nk Integrity — Compliance						
	Co	mpliance criteria:			Verification method(s):			
		stem consists of a seepage pit, spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom				
	See	page pits meeting 7080.2550 may be apliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined construction records☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)				
,	Sev	vage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No		 ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) 			
		igned operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:			☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
0.5	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.				☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation: Did not pump and inspect tank due to system failing due to soil separation. Tank should be pumped and inspected prior to installation of new system.							
3.	Ot	her Compliance Condition	s – Com	pliance com	ponent #3 of 5			
	a.							
	 b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. □ Yes* ⋈ No □ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety. 							
	Explain:							
	 c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater. Explain: 							

4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5

Inspector initials/Date: 82

3/28/17

	emperiorit ii 1 or o					
Date of installation: 1990	Unknown	/erification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	observations by two independent pa	observation does not expire. Previous soil ervations by two independent parties are sufficient, ss site conditions have been altered or local irements differ.			
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and		☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	Yes No	☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
beverage or lodging establishment:						
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)				
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Comments/Explanation:				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.	49-7703	A. Bottom of distribution media	96.0			
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	98.7			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical		C. System separation	-2.7			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. D. Required compliance separation* 3.0 *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. Ordinance. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable						
Is the system operated under an Operating						
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria						
a. Operating Permit number:						
Have the Operating Permit requireme	li les li No	li res li No				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No						
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.					

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Benchmark: 101.5 Bottom of rock: 96.0 Height of instrument: 105.5 B2 Separation: -2.7 B1 Separation: -1.6 B1: 100.0, redox 97.6 Relative Elevations (in feet) (top of manhole cover) Top of rock: 97.5 B2: 99.7, redox 98.7 1991 © 2016 Google Imagery Date: 3/11/2016 45°06'49.80" N 92°52'55.87" W elev 946 ft eye alt 1224 ft 🔘 Google Earth

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

11395 Lansing Ave N Stillwater, MN 55082

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

12/5/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

David 1		T	T T	
Depth, in	Boring Number 1	Depth, in	Boring Number 2	
Inches		Inches	30111811111111111	
0		0		
0-22"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	0-38"	10YR 3/2 sandy loam	
22-36"	10YR 4/4 silt loam, 10YR 5/2 reductions beginning at 28", strong reductions and concentrations below 34"	38-42"	10YR 4/2 silt loam, prominent 7.5YR 4/6 concentrations	
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not prese Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 2.3 feet of depth	End of boring at 3.5 feet Standing water table: Present at feet of depth Standing water not present in hole Mottled Soil: Observed at 1 feet of depth Mottled soil not present in bore hole Comments:		
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4	
0		0		
End of boring at Standing water table	feet e:	End of boring at Standing water table	feet	
Present at	feet of depth Hours after boring	Present at	feet of depth Hours after boring	
Standing water not pr Mottled Soil:	Investment	Standing water not pr Mottled Soil:	resent in hole	
Observed at	feet of depth	Observed at	feet of depth	
Mottled soil not prese Comments:	an in oose note	Mottled soil not prese Comments:	ent in bore noie	