## **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector

### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** April 4, 2017 **Time:** 3:00 PM **Owner:** TTK Properties Inc

Inspection Address: 9393 96th St N, Grant, MN 55115

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. This very old system (installed in 1983) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal



# **Compliance Inspection Form**

# Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	<i>y</i> , , ,		
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MF requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system own			
within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):4/4/2017			
·	ncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Upgrade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)			
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent thr	reat to public health and safety		
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Immine			
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groun			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) — Failing			
<ul> <li>Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect grown</li> <li>□ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component)</li> </ul>			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp	/Range:		
	son for inspection: Property Sale		
• •	Owner's phone:		
or			
Owner's representative: Scott Swanson Rep	resentative phone: 612-865-6012		
	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-4052		
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.			
Comments or recommendations:			
Contification			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to un possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.			
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Cert	tification number: L5342		
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number: L2896		
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal	Phone number: 651-492-7550		
· •			
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	o nor local ordinana		
_	s per local ordinance		
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaime	er, Licerise		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: 9393 96th St N, Grant, MN 55115

Inspector initials/Date: 4/4/2017 8#

1.	Im	<b>npact on Public Health</b> – Coi	mpliance component #1 o	of 5		
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>✓ Searched for surface outlet</li> <li>✓ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li> </ul>		
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
		rstem cause sewage backup into reling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>□ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>□ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>□ Performed dye test</li> </ul>		
		ny "yes" answer above indicate n Imminent Threat to Public Hea	_	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
		omments/Explanation: one of the above found.				
2.	Tá	ank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5			
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	Sy	rstem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>		
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth		
		ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li> <li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li> </ul>		
	If y	es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:				
		ny "yes" answer above indica /stem is Failing to Protect Gr		<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK.						
3.	<b>O</b> 1	ther Compliance Conditions	5 – Compliance compone	ent #3 of 5		
	а.	•		pear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
		Explain:				
	C.	System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground		etermined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No		
		Explain:				

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 9393 96th St N, Grant, MN 55115

Inspector initials/Date: 4/4/2017

	Date of installation: 1983	Unkr	nown	V	erification method(s):	
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes	⊠ No		oil observation does not expire. P bservations by two independent p	
	Compliance criteria:				nless site conditions have been al	
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	_	equirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) ( Two previous verifications (Atta Not applicable (Holding tank(s), r.	ch boring logs)
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Unable to verify (See Comments.  Other (See Comments/Explanation	
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□ No	С	omments/Explanation:	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	□No	In	ndicate depths of elevations	
	systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			<u>A.</u>	Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			-	Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  System separation	
				D.	Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.  *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Lo					if allowed by Local	
	-			_		
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	<b>MP*</b> – C	Compliand	ce com	ponent #5 of 5 Not app	licable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Per			⊠ No	If "yes", A below is required	
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	IP?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If "yes", B below is required	
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specific	ied in the	system de	esign		
	If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion doe	s not r	need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria					
	a. Operating Permit number:					
	Have the Operating Permit requirements to	peen met	?		☐ Yes ☐ No	
					1	


Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

## Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting all will				
Date of Inspection: April 4, 2017	Time: 3:00 PM			
Property Address: 9393 96 <sup>th</sup> St N, Grant, MN	Zip: 55115			
Property Owner: TTK Properties INC	Phone:			
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System	Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Cesspool system  Other system			
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ☐ Yes ☒ No *I				
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.			
Year house built: 1983 Year septic installed: 1983	Tank size (gals.): 1200			
	esidents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained by				
Garbage disposal? N Whirlpool bath	? N			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?  Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?				
Location of septic system on lot? South Side				
Location of water well on lot? Northeast Side	ne well a deep well? Y			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? 2015 Name of pur	nper:			
How often pumped in previous years? Is syste	m on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ing this system?			
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to t	he new owner?			
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowled considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must blocal government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I	by law submit a copy of this report to the			

this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.



## **Log Of Soil Borings**

Location of Project: 9393 96th St N, Grant, MN 55115						
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	4/4/17	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	ification System:	USDA	
	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:		
Surface Elevation Boring	of Same grou	and surface as last ofield trench	Surface Elevation Boring			
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils Er	countered	
0-27 27-58	7.5YR 4/4 Loamy Fine	/2 Fine Sand Fine Sand With Sand Layers And . 10YR 6/2 Redox				
27"	27" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox			Depth To End Of Bo	oring Or Redox	
Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System		Elevation Of Boring	Relative To System	
-34" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				of Distribution Media		
=0"	Of Separation			Of Separation		
	End Of Boring At:	58"		End Of Boring At:		
	Redox Present At:	27"		Redox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None			Standing	Water Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At	34 Inches

# Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection:	Time:			
Property Address:	Zip:			
Property Owner:	Phone:			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System	Other			
Septic Fiberglass Rock trench	Alternative system			
Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench	Experimental system			
Lift Metal Chamber trench	Cesspool system			
☐ Holding ☐ Concrete ☐ Seepage bed ☐ Other: ☐ Block ☐ Mound	Other system			
Other At-grade				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes  No *I	f no proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co				
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of				
<u></u>				
Year house built: Year septic installed:	Tank size (gals.):			
	residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? Are all floors drained by				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	1?			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the	septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings	ngs connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other by	ouildings?			
Location of septic system on lot?				
	he well a deep well?			
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such a				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, et	c.; or have any repairs been made			
to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? Name of pu	mper:			
	m on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area?				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowled	lge. I also understand that if the system is			
considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the				
local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I	also agree that unless otherwise noted in			
this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all	work performed relative to this inspection			
by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.				

Date:

Owner/Occupant:

## **Log Of Soil Borings**

Loc	cation of Project:					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:		
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket			Class	ification System:	USDA	
	Boring Number:			Boring Number:		
Surface			Surface			
Elevation	of		Elevation	of		
Boring			Boring			
Depth In	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In	Soils Er	ncountered	
Inches			Inches			
	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Podoy		Depth To End Of B	oring Or Podov	
		g Relative To System			Relative To System	
	Depth To Bottom ( Of Separation	of System		Depth To Bottom C Of Separation	Jr System	
	or Separation			Oi Separation		
	End Of Boring At:			End Of Boring At:		
	Redox Present At:			Redox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At:		Standing Water Present At:				

<b>Bottom</b>	Of Distribu	ition Medium	Δ+•	Inches
DOLLOIII	OI DISTIBL	ilion Meaium	AL.	muches