

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MP requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and sy	o apply.
within 15 days	Stem Owner
A LOCAL DE SECULO	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 3/17/2017	
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Im Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to pro Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to pro Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance) – Imminent threat to public health and safety otect groundwater I) – Failina to protect aroundwater protect groundwater
Property Information Parcel ID# 0	or Sec/Twp/Range: 3202821420009
Property address: 5049 Garden Dr vvoodbury, MIN 50129	keason for inspection: ⊢roperty i ransfer
Property owner: Jim Robinson	Owner's phone: 651-459-4452
or	
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6655
Brief system description: 2 septic tanks to drainfield Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	determine the compliance status of this system. No
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determination of future system performance has been nor can be made possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water	e due to unknown conditions during system construction,
Inspector name: Dave Brown	Certification number: C#9370
Business name: David R. Brown	License number: L#3649
inspector signature: Fat LR	Priorie number: 631-788-3296
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
☑ Soil boring logs☑ System/As-built drawing☐ Other information (list):	☐ Forms per local ordinance

1.	Impact on Public Health – C	ompliance componi			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
	System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☑ Ne	 ☒ Searched for surface outlet ☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home 		
	System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxesHomeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)		
	System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal systemSystem requires "emergency" pumping		
:-	Any "yes" answer above indisystem is an imminent threat health and safety.	cates tne to public	☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:				
Z.	Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5	Verification method(s):		
	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☑ Probed tank(s) bottom ☑ Examined construction records 		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		 ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth 		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	 ☐ Examined empty (purnped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" 		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Any "yes" answer above indesystem is failing to protect grown comments/Explanation:		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
ś.	Other Compliance Condition	n s – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5		
			d, or appear to be structurally urisound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknow		
		to immediately and adve	ersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknow		
	Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect gro		ns as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No		
	Explain:				

(mm/dd/yyyy)

. Soil Separation - Compliance co	Jilipolici	1077010			
ate of installation:	□ Unkr □	iown	Verific	ation method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) noreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage dging? ompliance criteria:	Yes	⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.		
	⊠ Yes □ No		Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead		⊠ les □ No	Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
rotection Area or not serving a food,			Not applicable (Halding tank(a), no drainfield).		
			Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
rainfield has at least a two-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically turated soil or bedrock.			er (See Comments/Explanation		
on-performance systems built April 1, 996, or later or for non-performance ystems located in Shoraland or Wellhead rotection Areas or serving a food, everage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes	□ No	Comments/Explanation:		
rainfield has a three-foot vertical eparation distance from periodically aturated soil or bedrock.*					
vnerimental" "Other" or "Derformance"	□ Yes □ No	Indicate depths or elevations			
stems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector			A. Bott	om of distribution media	24"
cense required)			B. Peri	odically saturated soil/bedrock	74"
rainfield meets the designed vertical sparation distance from periodically durated soil or bedrock.			-	tem separation	50" 24"
Any "no" answer above indicates to ailing to protect groundwater. Operating Permit and Nitroger		,	*May b		f allowed by Local Not applicable
is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	∐ Yes	∐ No	If "yes", A below is requ	ired
the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required					
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)		in the system	design		
DIVIT - Dest Management i raction (5)				eed to be completed.	
If the answer to both questions is "	no", this	section do			
	no", this	section do			
If the answer to both questions is "	no", this	section do		□ Vas □ No	
If the answer to both questions is "Compliance criteria				☐ Yes ☐ No	

discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

