

**Compliance Inspection Form** 

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems** 

Representative phone:

Regulatory authority phone:

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194	(SSTS)
	Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement
Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 4.29.17	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Reguirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<ul> <li>☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to</li> <li>☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to</li> <li>☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate</li> </ul>	eat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #	tect groundwater nter
	en e
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	<b>e</b> :
Property address: 158:55 240 th st. V. Reason for	rinspection: Property transfer
Property owner: John 4 Knistine tom NITZ Owner's p	hone:

## Certification

Owner's representative:

Comments or recommendations:

or

hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system.	No
determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system consti	ruction
possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
A	

2-1000 gal. Concrete tanks.

5 lines @80'Ea. drop boxes

Inspector name: Lanny Christansen	Certification number:	C-674
	License number:	L-3911
Business name: Scandla Compliance 11/89 LLC	Phone number:	651-333-0281
Negacine on Legally Denvised Attack		

## Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

Local regulatory authority: WAShington Co.

Soil boring logs	☐ System/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per local ordinance	*1 (g)
Other information (list):			

Prop	perty address: 15855 240	H st. N.	Inspector initials/Date: \( \lambda \l
1.	Impact on Public Health - Cor	mpliance componen	t #1 of 5
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ဩ·No	Searched for surface outlet  Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes 🔀 No	<ul> <li>☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> </ul>
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes M'No	☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test
	Any "yes" answer above indicates an Imminent Threat to Public Heal	s the system is tth and Safety.	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		
			¢.
2.	Tank Integrity - Compliance con	nnonent #2 of 5	
<i>L</i> •	Tark litegatey compliance con		
	Compliance criteria:	<u>.</u>	Verification method(s):
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☑ No	Probed tank(s) bottom  Examined construction records
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul> <li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li> <li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li> </ul>
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes ☒ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
	designed operating depth.  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
	Any "yes" answer above indica	ates the	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
	system is Failing to Protect Gr		Uner methods not listed (See Confinence Explanation)
	Comments/Explanation:		. **
	· .		
3.	Other Compliance Conditions	- Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damage	d, cracked, unsecured,	or appear to structurally unsound.
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i *System is an imminent threat to pu	mmediately and adver-	sely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown
	Explain:		· ·
	- 10 m -		
	c. System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground		as determined by inspector
×	Explain:		No. of the second
	**************************************		

Date of installation:	<b>⊠</b> Unkr	nown		Verification method(s):
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? Compliance criteria:	☐ Yes	<b>⊠</b> No		Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yos	□ No		requirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other (See Comments/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, peverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes	□ No	81	Comments/Explanation:  L 0-12" 104r 3/3 Loamy SAND  12-24" 104r 4/3 Fine SAND  24-86" 104r 4/3 Med SAND SM. Pe  36-60" 104r 4/3 Fine SAND  60-74" 104r 5/3 Fine SAND SM. S.
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes	□No		A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	·	•		C. System separation 3'+  D. Required compliance separation* 3'
Any "no" answer above indicates to Failing to Protect Groundwater.	he syst	em is		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B s the system operated under an Operating Pen s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specific the answer to both questions is "no", compliance criteria	mit? IP? ied in the	☐ Yes	 	No If "yes", A below is required  No If "yes", B below is required
a. Operating Permit number:  Have the Operating Permit requirements to	oeen met	?		☐ Yes ☐ No
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and Inv "no" answer indicates Noncom			ng?	☐ Yes ☐ No

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wg-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3





