

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection regulte based on Minnessta Dellutte. O. C. L.	Agency (MPCA) For local tracking purposes:					
Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements	Agency (MPCA) ents may also apply.					
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (L within 15 days						
System Status						
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):5/9/2017						
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)	Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)					
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all appl	icable)					
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) —						
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Conditions)	mponent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety					
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – F						
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater						
☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) —						
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements	(Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant					
	•					
Property Information F						
·	Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 09.029.21.32.0026					
Property audress: 8162 Hidden Bay Trail Lake Elmo, MN						
Property owner: Joe Pelano or	Owner's phone: 651-272-9424					
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:					
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6673					
	0 SF of gravity, rock trench drainfield					
Comments or recommendations:	- a. gravity, rook a orien a difficia					
•						
Certification						
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been of determination of future system performance has been nor co possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or f	gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No an be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, uture water usage.					
Inspector name: Tom Troolen	Certification number: 323					
Business name: All State Septic Services LLC	License number: 1568					
Inspector signature: 70x 7xx	Phone number: 612-594-4496					
Necessary or Locally Possished Attachment						
Necessary or Locally Required Attachment						
☐ Soil boring logs ☐ System/As-built drawing	☐ Forms per local ordinance					
Other information (list):						

		Inspector initials/Date: TT 5/9/2017	
		(mm/dd/yyyy)	
Impact on Public Health –	Compliance compone	ent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Verification method(s):	
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Searched for surface outlet	
		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
		☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public		☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Useble to verify (2 or 2 converse) (7 for feasible)	
			health and safety.
Comments/Explanation:		Cities methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
Comments/Explanation.			
. Tank Integrity - Compliance	component #2 of 5		
Compliance criteria:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Verification method(s):	
-		<u> </u>	
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom	
Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		⊠ Examined construction records	
compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)	
On	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their		[Example of exempts (numerical) tempto(a)	
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.		☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	
		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
designed operating depth.		☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	licates the	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation:	licates the groundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition	licates the groundwater.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditional Maintenance hole covers are damed to the system of	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance c	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 ☐, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unkno	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damed b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance compliance compliance cracked, unsecured adverse to immediately and adverse to immediately adventage adverse to immediately adverse to immediately adverse to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Donent #3 of 5	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damed b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat the system is	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance compliance compliance cracked, unsecured adverse to immediately and adverse to immediately adventage adverse to immediately adverse to immediately adverse to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Donent #3 of 5	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Conditional Maintenance hole covers are damed by the covers are designed.	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance compliance compliance cracked, unsecured adverse to immediately and adverse to immediately adventage adverse to immediately adverse to immediately adverse to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Donent #3 of 5	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damed b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat the system is a system in the syst	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance compliance compliance cracked, unsecured adverse to immediately and adverse to immediately adventage adverse to immediately adverse to immediately adverse to im	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Donent #3 of 5	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damn b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat to Explain:	licates the groundwater. Ins - Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safet	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknows.	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above incompleted by system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damn. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc*System is an imminent threat is Explain:	licates the groundwater. Ins Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safer descriptions and water for other conditions.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknows.	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above incompliance to protect growth of the system is failing to protect growth. Other Compliance Condition. Maintenance hole covers are damned by the other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat is the Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect growth.	licates the groundwater. Ins Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safer descriptions and water for other conditions.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknownsely.	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above incompleted by system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damn. b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc*System is an imminent threat is Explain:	licates the groundwater. Ins Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safer descriptions and water for other conditions.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknows.	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above incompliance to protect growth of the system is failing to protect growth. Other Compliance Condition. Maintenance hole covers are damned by the other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat is the Explain: c. System is non-protective of ground *System is failing to protect growth.	licates the groundwater. Ins Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safer descriptions and water for other conditions.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknows.	
designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above incompliance to protect growth of the system is failing to protect growth. Other Compliance Condition. Other Compliance Condition. Maintenance hole covers are damed by the system is an imminent threat the system is an imminent threat the system is non-protective of growth. System is non-protective of growth.	licates the groundwater. Ins Compliance compliance compliance, cracked, unsecured adverto public health and safer descriptions and water for other conditions.	☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) conent #3 of 5 or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowsely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknows.	

Property address: 8162 Hidden Bay Trail Lake Elmo, MN 55042

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 2 of 3

Property address. O102 midden bay Trail Lake		inspector initials/Date:	(mm/dd/yyyy)
4. Soil Separation – Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5	Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Pr observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alt	arties are sufficient,
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No	☑ Conducted soil observation(s) (A	ttach boring logs)
		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)	
		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
	1	☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:	
	M2 Les ITINO	B-1	
systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food,			40 VD 4/0
beverage, or lodging establishment:		0-10 sandy topsoil	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		10-27 med and	
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		27-96 med/course sand	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations	
		A. Bottom of distribution media	42-60
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	36
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	36
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	allowed by Local
failing to protect groundwater.		Ordinance.	
Operating Permit and Nitroger	BMP* – Complia	nce component #5 of 5 💢 🛚	Not applicable
ls the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	s 🗵 No 🛮 If "yes", A below is requi	red
Is the system required to employ a Nitroger	n BMP? 🔲 Ye	s 🔀 No 🛮 If "yes", B below is requi	red
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	specified in the system	n design	
If the answer to both questions is "r	no", this section do	es not need to be completed.	
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number: n/a			
Have the Operating Permit requireme	☐ Yes ☐ No		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	ing?		
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	·		
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55 discontinued within ten months of receipt of this ground water, the system must be upgraded, rep is not failing as defined in law, and has at least to its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local of Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in con	notice or within a shorter placed, or its use disconti wo feet of design soil sep rdinance that is more stri	period if required by local ordinance. If the s nued within the time required by local ordina paration, then the system need not be upgrac ct. This provision does not apply to systems	system is failing to protect ince. If an existing system ded, repaired, replaced, or in shoreland areas.

651-296-6300 • www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31b • 6/4/14 Page 3 of 3 OLSON LAKE 250' I

