Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing

P.O. Box 383 Hugo, MN 55038

Brian Humpal

651-492-7550/Brian@midwestsoiltesting.com

MPCA Licensed Designer & Inspector

SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Date: May 16, 2017 **Time:** 4:00 PM **Owner:** Jennifer Butler

Inspection Address: 4220 Kirkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records on file at the City of Lake Elmo. Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, a stilling tank, and a rock trench drainfield located on an outlot.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(D) because of the lack of the required three foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal



Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

	sults based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (Norms – additional local requirements may also apply.	IPCA) For local tr	acking purposes:
Submit completed form to within 15 days	Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system ow	ner	
System Status			
System status on da	te (mm/dd/yyyy):5/16/2017		
	from report date, unless shorter time (Se	oncompliant – Nee Upgrade Require	Notice of Noncompliance ements on page 3)
☐ Impact on Publi☐ Other Complian☐ Tank Integrity (☐ Other Complian☐ Soil Separation☐	compliance (check all applicable) c Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent to the Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminer Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groupe Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groups (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groups (Compliance Component #4)	ent threat to public undwater g to protect groundv oundwater	health and safety vater
☐ Operating perm	it/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Compo	onent #5) – Noncor	триапт ————————————————————————————————————
Property Informatio Property address: 4220 Ki Property owner: Jennifer	irkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042 Re		: Property Transfer 1-442-2120
or	_		
Owner's representative: Local regulatory authority:		presentative phone	:: hone: 651-430-4052
Brief system description:	Two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, a still outlot.		
Comments or recommendat			
Certification			
determination of future syste	ecessary information has been gathered to determir em performance has been nor can be made due to ι m, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	•	
Inspector name: Brian Hu	ımpal Ce	ertification number:	L5342
-	Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing	License number:	L2896
Inspector signature:	Brian Humpal	Phone number:	651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally	Required Attachments		
Soil boring logs		ns per local ordinar	ice
☐ Other information (list)		·	

Property address: 4220 Kirkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Inspector initials/Date: 5/16/2017

1.	Impact on Public Health — Compliance component #1 of 5			
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain to or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indican Imminent Threat to Public	☐ Yes ☑ No cates the system is Health and Safety.	Verification method(s): Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	
2.	Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may b compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above in	□ Yes ⋈ No	Verification method(s): ☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) ☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) ☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
System is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Lowered underwater camera into tanks - baffles and tank walls OK. Lift pump and alarm were operational at the time of the inspection. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5				
		.) to immediately and adversely to public health and safety and water for other conditions as	appear to structurally unsound.	

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 4220 Kirkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042

Inspector initials/Date: 5/15/2017

4.	Soil Separation — Compliance compor	nent #4 c	of 5			
	Date of installation: 2004	☐ Unkr	nown	٧	/erification method(s):	
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	⊠ Yes	□No	S	oil observation does not expire. Pr	
	Compliance criteria:			u	bservations by two independent pa nless site conditions have been alt	
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	□No	_	equirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s) (a Two previous verifications (Attac Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no	ch boring logs)
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				Unable to verify (See Comments/ Other (See Comments/Explanation	Explanation)
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes	⊠ No	C	Comments/Explanation:	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*					
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes	□No	Ir	ndicate depths of elevations	T
	systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)			A.	Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			C	Periodically saturated soil/bedrock System separation	
	Any "no" answer above indicates to	he syst	em is		 Required compliance separation* May be reduced up to 15 percent it 	f allowed by Local
	Failing to Protect Groundwater.		_ (Ordinance.		
5.	Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – C	Complian	ce com	ponent #5 of 5 🛛 Not app	licable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Per	mit?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If "yes", A below is required	
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	1P?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	If "yes", B below is required	
	BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi	ied in the	system de	esign		
	If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion doe	s not i	need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria					
	a. Operating Permit number:				☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Have the Operating Permit requirements I	been met	?			
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly	functionin	g?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Any "no" answer indicates Noncom	pliance	•			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: May 16, 2017	Time: 4:00 PM			
Property Address: 4220 Kirkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN	Zip: 55042			
Property Owner: Jennifer Butler	Phone: 651-442-2120			
Tank(s) Tank(s)Material Soil Treatment System				
Septic 2 ☐Fiberglass ☐Rock trench	Alternative system			
Aerobic Plastic Gravelless trench	Experimental system			
□ Lift □ Metal □ Holding □ Concrete □ Seepage bed □ Seepa	Cesspool system Other system			
☐ Holding ☐ Concrete ☐ Seepage bed ☐ Seepage bed ☐ Mound				
Other At-grade				
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible? ⊠ Yes □ No *:	If no, proper maintenance must be			
performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole co	overs should be made accessible to			
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	of the system.			
Year house built: 2004 Year septic installed: 2004	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000			
	residents in home?			
Number of bedrooms? 5 Are all floors drained by				
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bat	·			
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?				
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the	septic system?			
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildi	ngs connected to this system?			
Are there any additional systems on this property serving other	buildings?			
	a 1 a:1 a c :			
Location of septic system on lot? Tanks - West Side, Drainfield - South Side On Outlot				
Location of water well on lot? East Side				
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,				
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made				
to the system? If yes, explain:				
When was the system last pumped? Name of pu	ımper:			
	em on a monitoring plan?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concer				
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? Y				
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?				
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowle	dge I also understand that if the system is			
considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the				
local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion.	I also agree that unless otherwise noted in			
this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection				

Owner/Occupant: Date:

by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.



Log Of Soil Borings

Auger Used: Hand/Bucket Classification System: Boring Number: 1 Boring Number: Surface Elevation of Boring	ench ered oam oam m (Moist)
Boring Number:1Boring Number:Surface Elevation of BoringSame ground surface as last drainfield trenchSurface Elevation of BoringSame ground surface Elevation of BoringDepth In InchesSoils EncounteredDepth In InchesSoils Encountered0-1210YR 4/3 Silt Loam0-1510YR 4/3 Silt Loam12-2310YR 3/3 Silt Loam15-2710YR 4/4 Silt Loam23-2610YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings27-3010YR 3/4 Sandy Loam	ace as last ench ered oam oam m (Moist)
Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches 0-12 12-23 12-23 23-26 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings Surface Elevation of Boring Surface Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Same ground surface as last Elevation of Boring Depth In Inches Soils Encountered Inches 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam 15-27 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam	ace as last ench ered oam oam m (Moist)
Elevation of BoringSame ground surface as last drainfield trenchElevation of BoringSame ground surface as last drainfield trenchDepth In InchesSoils EncounteredDepth In InchesSoils Encountered0-1210YR 4/3 Silt Loam0-1510YR 4/3 Silt Loam12-2310YR 3/3 Silt Loam15-2710YR 4/4 Silt Loam23-2610YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings27-3010YR 3/4 Sandy Loam	ench ered oam oam m (Moist)
Inches Solls Encountered Inches Solls Encountered 0-12 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam 0-15 10YR 4/3 Silt Loam 12-23 10YR 3/3 Silt Loam 15-27 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam 23-26 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings 27-30 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam	oam oam m (Moist)
12-23 10YR 3/3 Silt Loam 15-27 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam 23-26 10YR 4/4 Silt Loam With Silt Coatings 27-30 10YR 3/4 Sandy Loam	oam m (Moist)
10YR 4/4 Silt Loam With 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 6/2 Redox 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand (Moist) With Gravel ≈10% Rock Fragments 10YR 3/4 Loamy Sand (Saturated) With Gravel ≈20% Rock Fragments Refusal At 60"	
26" Depth To End Of Boring Or Redox	
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System	
-33" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media	
=0" Of Separation	
End Of Boring At: 60" End Of Boring At:	30"
End Of Boring At: 60" End Of Boring At: Redox Present At: 26"-35" Redox Present At:	N/A
Standing Water Present At: None Standing Water Present At:	None

Bottom Of Distribution	Medium At: 33 Inch	es

Log Of Soil Borings

Location of Project: 4220 Kirkwood Ln N, Lake Elmo, MN 55042					
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota			Date:	5/15/17 & 5/16/17	
Auger Used: Hand/Bucket		Class	ification System:	USDA	
Boring Number: 3			Boring Number:		
Surface Elevation	Same grou	ınd surface as last	Surface Elevation		
Boring	drair	nfield trench	Boring	OI	
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered
0-18 18-27 27-34 34-38	10YR 4/3 Silt Lo. 10YR 4/4 7.5YR 6/8 & 10YR 3/4 Sand ≈20 Roo Refu	/2 Silt Loam am With Silt Coatings Silt Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox ly Loam With Gravel ck Fragments sal At 38"			
27"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox		Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox
	,				g Relative To System
-33" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media				Of Distribution Media	
=0"	Of Separation			Of Separation	
	End Of Boring At:	38"		End Of Boring At:	
	Redox Present At:	27"-34"		Redox Present At:	
Standing Water Present At: None		Standing	Water Present At:		

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 3	33 Inches

DISCLAIMER

Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1st through April 1st) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

Business License

Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

Certification Expires:

C5342

Brian L Humpal

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section