

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also appl	For local tracking purposes:						
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system within 15 days	owner						
System Status							
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/12/2017							
☐ Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.) Noncompliant – Notice of Noncompliance (See Upgrade Requirements on page 3.)							
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwater Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #5) – Noncompliant							
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/	Tim/Danasa						
	Reason for inspection: Sale						
Property owner: Gary Olson	Owner's phone: 651-587-5059						
or	Owner a priorite						
Owner's representative:	Representative phone:						
Local regulatory authority: Washington County	Regulatory authority phone: 651-430-6000						
Brief system description: Septic tank and gravity rock trench drainfield with	n 18 inches of rock under the pipe						
Comments or recommendations:							
Certification							
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.							
Inspector name: Benjamin Zierke	Certification number: 9594						
Business name: Zierke Soil Testing	License number: 119						
Inspector signature: Benja	Phone number: 651-249-1346						
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments							
☑ Soil boring logs☑ System/As-built drawing☐ Other information (list):	forms per local ordinance						

1.	Impact on Public Health - Compliance component #1 of 5							
22	Compliance criteria:				Verification method(s):			
12	System d ground su	ischarges sewage to the urface.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☑ Searched for surface outlet☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
		ischarges sewage to drain face waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) 			
		auses sewage backup into or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Commen	ts/Explanation:						
	Gary has	not had any issues with the s	ystem.					
2	Tank In	stagritus Compliance		ant #2 of E				
۷.		ntegrity – Compliance o	compone	#2 01 5				
8	Complia	ince criteria:			Verification method(s):			
		onsists of a seepage pit, , drywell, or leaching pit.	Yes	⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom☐ Examined construction records			
		oits meeting 7080.2550 may be if allowed in local ordinance.			Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)			
•	Sewage	ank(s) leak below their operating depth.	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	-	nich sewage tank(s) leaks:			Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.				 ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 			
1	Commer	nts/Explanation:		and the second s				
					d for lack of soil separation. Tank should be pumped and			
	inspected	d prior to installation of new sy	stem to de	etermine suitability	y of future use.			
	2001							
3.	Other (Compliance Condition	s – Com	pliance compone	ent #3 of 5			
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. 🗆 Yes* 🖾 No 🗀 Unknown							
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.							
	Expl	ain:						
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☐ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.							
	Ехр							

Inspector initials/Date: 82 | 5/12/17 (mm/dd/wyv)

4. Soil Separation — Compliance component #4 of 5							
(mm/dd/yyay)		Verification method(s):					
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.					
Compliance criteria:							
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No	Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)					
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)					
beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)					
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)					
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Comments/Explanation:					
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*							
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations					
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media 96.8					
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector							
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock 99.3					
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation -2.5					
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation* 3.0					
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.							
5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	BMP* - Compliand	nce component #5 of 5 Not applicable					
Is the system operated under an Operating		No If "yes", A below is required					
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge							
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design							
If the answer to both questions is "r	no", this section doe	es not need to be completed.					
Compliance criteria							
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		□ Vas □ Na					
		☐ Yes ☐ No					
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functioning	ng? Yes No					
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc							
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. & 115.55	i) An imminent threat to out	ublic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use					

discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Logs of Soil Borings

Location of Project:

13030 182nd St N Marine on St Croix, MN 55047

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

5/11/2017

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 1	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 2
0-8"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam	0-28"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam
8-24"	10YR 4/4 loamy sand, redox present below 8"	28-42"	10YR 5/4 loamy sand, redox present below 28", 12 inches of credit
	7 100		3.5 feet
End of boring at Standing water table Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 0.7 feet of depth 0.7 feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring 2.3 feet of depth
Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 3	Depth, in Inches	Boring Number 4
	feet		feet
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pres Comments:	le: feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth Hours after boring feet of depth Feet of depth

