### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM (SSTS) COMPLIANCE REPORT

**Date:** May 31, 2017 **Time:** 9:30 AM **Owner:** TTK Properties, Inc.

**Inspection Address:** 6210 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

#### **REPORT SUMMARY**

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system and have reviewed the original design/permit records, along with a previous compliance inspection from 2016, which were on file at Washington County. This system consists of two pre-cast septic tanks, a pre-cast lift tank, and a rock trench drainfield.

Predicated on my inspection of the system my review of the original design/permit records, it is my opinion that this system <u>presently meets</u> MPCA minimum compliance inspection requirements.

Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing have been hired to perform a compliance inspection of this SSTS for compliance with local ordinances pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 (2013). This compliance inspection covers only the criteria required by Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011). A compliance inspection is an indication of the current compliance status of the system and does not guarantee the performance or longevity of this system beyond the date of inspection, as it is impossible to determine the future performance of any system. Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing disclaim any use of this compliance inspection beyond determining SSTS compliance pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 115.55 Subd. 5a (2013) and Minn. R. 7080.1500 (2011).

Please contact me should you have any questions.

Brian Humpal Brian Humpal



# **Compliance Inspection Form**

# Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System Status	_
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): <u>5/30/2017</u>	
·	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat threat Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integration (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Integr	eat to public health and safety er stect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	‡5) – Noncompliant
Property owner:TTK Properties, IncOwner's    Owner's representative:Represer	or inspection: Property Transfer ohone: 612-865-6012  Intative phone: 651-430-4052
Business name: Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Licer	
The second of th	
$\begin{tabular}{lll} \textbf{Necessary or Locally Required Attachments} \\ & \boxtimes \textbf{Soil boring logs} & \boxtimes \textbf{System/As-built drawing} & \Box \textbf{ Forms per} \\ \end{tabular}$	local ordinance
☑ Other information (list): _ Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lic	ense

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: 6210 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 05/31/2017

1.	lm	npact on Public Health – Cor	npliance	component #1 o	5		
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Verification method(s):		
		stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>		
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes  Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)  "Plack soil" shows soil dispossed system		
		stem cause sewage backup into velling or establishment.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul> <li>□ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>□ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>□ Performed dye test</li> </ul>		
		ny "yes" answer above indicates I Imminent Threat to Public Heal			☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
		omments/Explanation: one of the above found.					
2.	Tá	ank Integrity — Compliance com	nponent #	≠2 of 5			
	Co	ompliance criteria:			Verification method(s):		
		stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☑ Examined construction records</li></ul>		
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.			<ul><li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li><li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li></ul>		
	de	ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	<ul><li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li><li>☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"</li></ul>		
		If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		ter.	☑ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
		omments/Explanation: wered underwater into tanks - baffles a	and tank w	valls OK.			
3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5							
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged	d, cracked,	, unsecured, or app	ear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety						
	<ul> <li>Explain:</li> <li>c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No</li> <li>*System is failing to protect groundwater</li> </ul>						
		Explain:					

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: 6210 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, MN 55082

Inspector initials/Date: 05/31/2017

**Soil Separation** – Compliance component #4 of 5 Date of installation: 1999 Unknown Verification method(s): Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage ☐ Yes ☐ No Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil Lodging? observations by two independent parties are sufficient. Compliance criteria: unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. ☐ Yes ☐ No For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and ☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) beverage or lodging establishment: ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) separation distance from periodically ☑ Other (See Comments/Explanation) saturated soil or bedrock. Non-performance systems built April 1, Comments/Explanation: 1996, or later or for non-performance Reviewed previous compliance inspection from 2016. systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, Reviewed design and permit records. beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.\* "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" ☐ Yes ☐ No Indicate depths of elevations systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV See Attached or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. A. Bottom of distribution media Boring Log(s) 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically C. System separation saturated soil or bedrock. D. Required compliance separation\* Any "no" answer above indicates the system is \*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Failing to Protect Groundwater. Ordinance. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP\* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☒ No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? ☐ Yes ⊠ No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria a. Operating Permit number: ☐ Yes ☐ No Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

# Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: May 31, 2017	Time: 9:30 AM						
Property Address: 6210 Jasmine Ave N, Grant, M	Zip: 55082						
Property Owner: TTK Properties, INC	Phone: 612-865-6012						
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic 2       Fiberglass       Rock trench         Aerobic       Plastic       Gravelless trench         Lift       Metal       Chamber trench         Holding       Concrete       Seepage bed         Other:       Block       Mound         Other       At-grade	Alternative system						
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes  No *If no, proper maintenance must be performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers should be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of the system.							
Year house built: 1967 Year septic installed: 1999	Tank size (gals.): 2-1000						
8	of residents in home?						
Number of bedrooms? 4 Are all floors drained							
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool l	bath?						
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?							
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to t	he septic system?						
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?							
Are there any additional systems on this property serving oth	er buildings?						
Location of septic system on lot? West Side							
Location of water well on lot?	Is the well a deep well?						
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such	ch as: tree roots, sewage back-ups,						
surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:							
When was the system last pumped? 2016 Name of	pumper:						
How often pumped in previous years?  Is sy	ystem on a monitoring plan?						
Have you received notices from any government agency concerning this system?							
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N							
Do you have any additional information that should be given to the new owner?							
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that if the system is considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must by law submit a copy of this report to the local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.							

Date:

Owner/Occupant:



#### **Logs of Soil Borings**

Location of Project:

6210 Jasmine Ave N Stillwater MN 55082

Borings Made by Ben Zierke

Date:

4/26/2016

Hand bucket auger used for borings; USDA - SCS Soil Classification used.

		8 12 2 1			
Depth, in	B	Depth, in	Daving Number 2		
Inches	Boring Number 1	Inches	Boring Number 2		
0		0			
0-8"	7.5YR 3/3 sandy loam	0-30"	Loamy fill		
8-36"	7.5YR 4/4 sandy loam	30-38"	10YR 3/3 sandy loam		
36-66"	7.5YR 4/4 fine sandy loam	38-66"	10YR 4/4 fine sandy loam		
End of boring at Standing water tab Present at Standing water not p Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre: Comments:	feet of depth present in hole  feet of depth	End of boring at Standing water tal Present at Standing water not Mottled Soil: Observed at Mottled soil not pre Comments:	feet of depth present in hole  feet of depth		
Depth, in	Basina Numbau 2	Depth, in	Doving Number /		
Inches	Boring Number 3	Inches	Boring Number 4		
0		0			
End of boring at Standing water tal Present at	feet ble: feet of depth Hours after boring	End of boring at Standing water ta Present at	teet  ble: feet of depth Hours after boring		
Standing water not		Standing water not	Standing water not present in hole		
Mottled Soil: Observed at	feet of depth	Mottled Soil: Observed at	feet of depth		



#### EARTH SCIENCE TESTING SOILS INFORMATION COMPANY

#### **SOIL BORINGS**

#### **BURING NO.1A**

01/2" = DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM. (10YR 3/1)

2"- 14" = REDDISH BROWN FINE SANDY CLAY LOAM & ROCKS (5YR 4/4)

14"- 35" = LT. REDDISH BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM (7.5YR 4/3)

35". 7'-0" -LT. REDDISH BRN, FINE LOAMY SAND & ROCKS (10YR 3/3)

END BORE

#### **BORING NO.2**A

U+. 4" = BLK. FINE SILTY LOAM. (10YR 3/4)

47-53" = L.T. BRN. TAN FINE - MED. SAND (10YR 3/3)

53". 8'-0" =LT. TAN FINE - MED. SAND (10YR 3/4)

END BORE

#### BORING NO. 3A

0 - 6" = DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM (10YR 3/1) 6"-32" - LT. BRN. TAN FINE SANDY LOAM (10YR 4/4) 32"- 8'-0"-LT. BRN. FINE SANDY LOAM (10YR 3/2)

*END BORING* 

#### BORING NO. 4A

"-10" = DARK BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM (10YR 3/1)

IO". 19" = BLK. FINE SILTY LOAM (10YR 4/4)

19". 28" = LT. BROWN FINE SANDY LOAM ROCKS (MOTTLED) (7.5 YR4/3)

28"- 6'- 0" = LT. REDDISH BRN. FINE SANDY LOAM (MOTTLED - 10YR 3/2)

END BORING

#### **DISCLAIMER**

# Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

# Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# Business License

# Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

# Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

## Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

**Brian L Humpal** 

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul, Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section