

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5~26~17	
•	apliant - Notice of Noncompliance ade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent three	eat to public health and safety
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	20.2 v. 30.6
 ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component # 	
Operating permitthorning plan requirements (compliance component #	oj – Noncompilari
Property owner: Ben Sounders Owner's por	rinspection: Property transpe hone: 612-202-2779
	ative phone: y authority phone:
Local regulatory authority: Washington to Regulatory Brief system description: Two Onionete Soulic tonks One	Concrete CIPTS bestion
	boyes @ 75'EA.
	**
	N .
Certification	
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the conference of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
nspector name: Larry Christansen Certification	on number: <u>C-674</u>
Ashirt to the transfer of the state of the s	se number: <u>L-3911</u>
nspector signature: Tay Christianus Phor	ne number: <u>651-333-0281</u>
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per log	ocal ordinance
Other information (list):	<u> </u>

1.	Impact on Public Health - Co	mpliance compone	nt #1 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):			
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes No	Searched for surface outlet Solve: Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home			
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes 🖾 No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system 			
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ☑No	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation:		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	yr W					
		.*	· ·			
		w	i ^k			
2.	Tank Integrity - Compliance con	mponent #2 of 5				
	Compliance criteria:	¥	Verification method(s):			
	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes 🗫 No	Probed tank(s) bottom			
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records			
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.	<u> </u>	Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)Observed liquid level below operating depth			
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes 🔀 No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)			
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"			
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			
	Comments/Explanation:	,				
	Comments/Explanation.		9			
	ye a ²	9				
		.*	E			
3.	Other Compliance Condition		,			
		*	ed, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown			
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No System is an imminent threat to public health and safety					
	Explain:	*				
		2				
	c. System is non-protective of ground w *System is failing to protect groun		ns as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ►No			
	Explain:					
		×	9			

Property address: 14741 19th St. W. Lakeland Inspector initials/Date: LC - 5 - 26 - 17

1.	Soil Separation - Compliance compo	nent #4 d	of 5			
	Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	⊠Unki ☐ Yes		Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent pa		
	Compliance criteria:			unless site conditions have been altered or local		
	For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	or Wellhead			ch boring logs) o drainfield) Explanation)	
	Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical	⊠ Yes	□No	Comments/Explanation:	,	
	separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		12			
	"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes	□No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	24"	
	Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	٠		C. System separation D. Required compliance separation*	36"	
	Any "no" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allow Ordinance. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable.					
	s the system operated under an Operating Peri			No If "yes", A below is required	A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.A.	
	s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM	No If "yes", B below is required	•2			
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
	If the answer to both questions is "no",	this sec	tion does n	ot need to be completed.		
	Compliance criteria	····				
	Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements by			☐ Yes ☐ No		
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly	functioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	Any "no" answer indicates Noncomp	oliance	la Company	9 m		

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

