

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems** (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

| <b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.  | For local tracking purposes:   |  |
|---|--|--|
| Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days   |  |  |
|   | *  |  |
| System Status   |  |  |
| System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6-5-2017  |  |  |
|   | npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)               |  |
| Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  | *  |  |
| ☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to  | public health and safety   |  |
| Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Imminent three  | eat to public health and safety  |  |
| ☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate  | er   |  |
| ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro  | tect groundwater   |  |
| ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa   | ater   |  |
| Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #   | 5) – Noncompliant  |  |
| Property owner: Shings Arends Owner's por Owner's representative: Represent   | or inspection: Property trans A  |  |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| e g   |  |  |
| Certification   |  |  |
| I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the condetermination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. | ompliance status of this system. No a conditions during system construction, |  |
|   | on number: 0.674   |  |
|   | se number: ) 39//  |  |
|   | ne number: 651 - 333 - 0281  |  |
| Necessary or Locally Required Attachments   |  |  |
| Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per log Other information (list):  | ocal ordinance   |  |
|   |  |  |

|  | ompliance criteria:   |   | Verification method(s):   |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|  | ystem discharge sewage to the   | ☐ Yes 🄀 No  | <ul><li>Searched for surface outlet</li><li>Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>   |  |  |
|  | round surface.  | T Vac SE No                                       | ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes  |  |  |
|  | ystem discharge sewage to drain tile<br>r surface waters.   | Yes 🔀 No  | Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)  |  |  |
| S  | system cause sewage backup into welling or establishment.   | ☐ Yes 🗷 No  | <ul> <li>□ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>□ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>□ Performed dye test</li> </ul> |  |  |
| A  | Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety.  |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)   |  |  |
| C  | Comments/Explanation:   |   |   |  |  |
|  | a "   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   | 3   |   |  |  |
|  |   | •   | *   |  |  |
| . т  | ank Integrity - Compliance col  | mnonent #2 of 5                                   |   |  |  |
| , I  | Tank Integrity - Compliance component #2 of 5   |   |   |  |  |
| (  | Compliance criteria:  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·             | Verification method(s):   |  |  |
| 9  | System consists of a seepage pit,   | ☐ Yes 🗶 No  | <ul><li>☐ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☐ Examined construction records</li></ul>   |  |  |
|  | esspool, drywell, or leaching pit.  | 1 28  | Examined construction records  Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)  |  |  |
| Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. |   |   | Observed liquid level below operating depth   |  |  |
|  | Sewage tank(s) leak below their   | ☐ Yes 🎾No   | Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)  |  |  |
|  | lesigned operating depth.   |   | Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"   |  |  |
|  | If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates the   |   | ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)   |  |  |
| •  | system is Failing to Protect G  | roundwater.                                       | Other methods not listed (See Comments/Expl.  |  |  |
| (  | Comments/Explanation:   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   |   | *   |  |  |
|  |   |   | ∞   |  |  |
|  | P   |   |   |  |  |
|  |   | 120   | s *   |  |  |
| . (  | Other Compliance Condition  | s – Compliance com                                | ponent #3 of 5  |  |  |
|  | Maintenance hole covers are damage  | ed, cracked, unsecured,                           | , or appear to structurally unsound. 🔲 Yes* 🔀 No 🔲 Unknow   |  |  |
| a.   | Maintenance nere develor are danner   |   | sely impact public health or safety. 🔲 Yes* 🔀 No 🔲 Unknov   |  |  |
| a.<br>b.   | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to  | immediately and advers                            | у   |  |  |
|  | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to<br>*System is an imminent threat to p  | immediately and advers<br>bublic health and safet | y   |  |  |
|  | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to  | immediately and advers<br>public health and safet | y   |  |  |
|  | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to *System is an imminent threat to public Explain:  System is non-protective of ground was a simple of the state of the | oublic health and safety                          | y   |  |  |
| b.   | Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to *System is an imminent threat to p Explain:  | oublic health and safety                          | y   |  |  |

Property address: 8815 27thst.N lake 21mo Inspector initials/Date: LC ~6~5~17

## Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5

|  | Date of installation: 1998   | Unknown   | Verification method(s):   |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?  Compliance criteria:   | ☐ Yes '☑' No  | Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficunless site conditions have been altered or local   |   |  |  |  |
|  | For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. | ☐ Yes ☐ No  | requirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)  Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)  Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)  Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)  Other (See Comments/Explanation) | Attach boring logs)<br>ch boring logs)<br>o drainfield)<br>Explanation) |  |  |  |
| 2  | Non-performance systems built April 1,<br>1996, or later or for non-performance<br>systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead<br>Protection Areas or serving a food,<br>beverage, or lodging establishment:  | Yes No  | Comments/Explanation:   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*   | ,   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"<br>systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV<br>or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.<br>2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector<br>License required)   | Yes No  | A. Bottom of distribution media     B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock  | 36"   |  |  |  |
|  | Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  |   | C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*  | 36 °  |  |  |  |
|  | Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.  | *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance. |   |   |  |  |  |
| 5.   | Operating Permit and Nitrogen B/   | MP* – Compliance co   | omponent #5 of 5 Not appl   | icable  |  |  |  |
|  | s the system operated under an Operating Perr  | mit? Yes  | No If "yes", A below is required  |   |  |  |  |
| Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?                                     |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design                       |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Compliance criteria  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Permit number:     Have the Operating Permit requirements b  | een met?  | ☐ Yes ☐ No  |   |  |  |  |
|  | b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and   | properly functioning?   | ☐ Yes ☐ No  |   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

