

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:		
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days			
System Status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6-5-12			
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er tect groundwater ater		
Droporty Information			
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang			
Property address: 16650 196th St. W Stando Reason for Owner's p	rinspection: Property Yoursten		
	tative phone:		
	ry authority phone:		
Brief system description: two selve Janks One Liptsk	who presence mound		
Comments or recommendations:			
Certification			
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the co determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowr possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	ompliance status of this system. No a conditions during system construction,		
	on number: C.674		
	se number: L-3911		
	ne number: (051-333-0261		
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments			
Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per lo	ocal ordinance		
Other information (list):			

	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		☐ Yes 🔀 No	Searched for surface outlet		
	System discharge sewage to the ground surface.	LI Tes (XINO	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
	System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes 🄼 No	 ☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system 		
	System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes ဩCNo	System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Any "yes" answer above indicate an Imminent Threat to Public Hea				
	Comments/Explanation:	å			
		2			
_			1		
2.	Tank Integrity – Compliance cor	mponent #2 of 5			
	Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
117	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☑`No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom		
	cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.		Examined construction records		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.	*(Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
2		☐ Yes 🔁 No	Observed liquid level below operating depth		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	L les (A le	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect Gr		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:				
		4 s			
	•		e .		
			g / 4		
3.	Other Compliance Condition	s – Compliance comp	onent #3 of 5		
	Maintenance hole covers are damage	ed cracked unsecured o	r appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown		
			ely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ♠No ☐ Unknown		
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to *System is an imminent threat to p		mpact public reduction duriety. [1700 21100 11 21100 11		
	Explain:				
	as a	* **			
	c. System is non-protective of ground w *System is failing to protect ground		as determined by inspector		
	System is failing to protect ground		as determined by inspector ☐ Yes ☐ No		
			as determined by inspector		

Inspector initials/Date: LC 6-5-17

Property address: 16650 1994 81 N Saandia

2:				-		
Date of installation: 2012	Unknown	Verifica	tion method(s):			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No			es not expire. Previous soil		
Compliance criteria:	ompliance criteria:			observations by two independent parties are sufficien unless site conditions have been altered or local		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	Yes □ No	requireme	ents differ.			
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead		 ☑ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) ☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) ☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) 				
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	12					
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	paration distance from periodically		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.						
Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	Commen	ts/Explanation:			
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead	September Septem					
Protection Areas or serving a food,						
beverage, or lodging establishment:						
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			,			
saturated soil or bedrock.*	*		· **			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate	depths of elevations			
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom	of distribution media	2'soul loss		
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		D. Desteut	- D - S	12		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically	p. v		cally saturated soil/bedrock			
		C. System	separation	36"		
saturated soil or bedrock.	<u> </u>	* ************************************	ed compliance separation*	36"		
ny "no" answer above indicates the system is ailing to Protect Groundwater.		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.				
Operating Permit and Nitrogen B	MP* – Complianc	e component	#5 of 5 Not app	olicable		
s the system operated under an Operating Per		····	s", A below is required			
s the system required to employ a Nitrogen BN	1 1-1-1	1500 A	s", B below is required			

Compliance criteria Operating Permit number: ☐ Yes ☐ No Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

651-674-5497

University

OSTP As-Built Form

OF MINNESUTA	The state of the s
Owner: David Schoeller Parcel Number:	
Street/City/Zip Code: 16650 1997-St., Scandia MN	55073
Lot: Section: 30 Township: New Scandi	q N Range: W
Installation Date: 7/30/12 Installer: Doug Coe Exe.	Tic License Number: 202
Is the system in Shoreland, serving a MDH facility or in a Wellhead Protection	
Number of Bedrooms/ Flow Rate: 3/450 #/gpd Septic Tank	s, No & Size: 3 - 1000 #/gal
Pump Tank Size: 1000 gal Tank Manufacturer: Kui fe Rive.	
Date of Manufacture: 26-12 Maximum Burial Depth: 244	
Pump Size: 1/2 hp 34 gpm 16 ft of TDH Floats pro	operly set? 🛛 YES 🔲 NO
Soil Treatment Area:	,
ON TYPE I I TYPE II I TYPE III I TYPE IV I TYPE V	
☐ TRENCH ☐ BED ☐ MOUND ☐ AT-GRADE☐ WARRANTII	D OTHER:
Limiting Layer/Depth: 2 " Rockbed Size: 10'x 45'	
Depth from Surface: " Adsorption width: 20'	
Rock or Slat depth: 9 " Sand depth: 24" w	
Diameter of Gravelless: " (under mound)	
Diameter of Graveness:	
Trench Width:ft	
Bottom Square Feet Area 40 ft ²	
Design Variances:	
Site Drawing:	
BI METHER SOIL North	Items to be Identified: 1. Septic, holding and pump
AT 12"	tanks, piping, and soil system
Susan as sept for the sept of	configuration. Label bed or
Signed the Continue	trench width and length or
2 - 2 -	rockbed size, absorption width and final dimensions. Indicate
3 0000000000000000000000000000000000000	alarm location.
2000	Show all setbacks from tank
	and soil system a. Property boundries
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	b. Buildings
2 8 8 11113	c. Wells
56 100	d. Water bodies
	e. Road right-of-way 3. Improvements - present and
	future.
1-1-11	4. Benchmark location and
No Well	distance of tank and soil system
1997H St.	from benchmark 5. Replacement site
19915 St.	6 Abandonad system