

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days		
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): <u>(o~10~17</u>		
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  ☑ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thre ☑ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro ☐ Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er tect groundwater ater	
Property owner: Ron Schlage! Owner's por Owner's representative: John Schlage! Represent	or inspection: Property transfer	
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the condetermination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.		
Business name: Sandia, Compliance TISP LLC Licens	on number: 0.674 se number: 2911 ne number: 651-333-0281	
	wner's Son.	

1.	Impact on Public Health - Co	mpliance compone	ent #1 of 5		
2.	Compliance criteria:  System discharge sewage to the ground surface.  System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters.  System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.  Any "yes" answer above indicate an Imminent Threat to Public Heat Comments/Explanation:  Tank Integrity — Compliance co	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No se the system is alth and Safety.	Verification method(s):  Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation) "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	0 1		Varification method(s):		
	System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	Yes No	Verification method(s):  ☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records		
	Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		<ul><li>Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li><li>Observed liquid level below operating depth</li></ul>		
	Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
	If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
	Any "yes" answer above indic system is Failing to Protect G		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
3.	Comments/Explanation: Owner's son helped with Construction of the 848 tem Said it is a block Construction with No botton both Septia tank and dry well.  3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5				
	<ul> <li>a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound.  Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.  Yes* No Unknown</li> <li>*System is an imminent threat to public health and safety</li> </ul>				
	Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector  Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater				
	Explain:				

Property address: 12260 228 th 8t. W. Scandia Inspector initials/Date: LC . 6-10-17

roperty address: 12260 228 th s	st N	Inspector initials/Date: LC . Co - 10 - 12
. Soil Separation — Compliance compo	onent #4 of 5	
Date of installation: Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	_ ⊠ Unknown □ Yes □ No	Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient,
Compliance criteria:	1	unless site conditions have been altered or local
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No	requirements differ.  Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Comments/Explanation:
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	Yes No	Indicate depths of elevations  A. Bottom of distribution media  B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*
Any "no" answer above indicates Failing to Protect Groundwater.	the system is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
6. Operating Permit and Nitrogen	BMP* – Compliance	component #5 of 5 Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Pe	ermit?	☐ No If "yes", A below is required
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen B		☐ No If "yes", B below is required
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) spec	ified in the system desi	gn
If the answer to both questions is "no"	', this section does	not need to be completed.
Compliance criteria		
a. Operating Permit number:		☐ Yes ☐ No
Have the Operating Permit requirements		
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place ar	nd properly functioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No
Any "no" answer indicates Noncor		

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.