#### **Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing**

P.O. Box 10853 White Bear Lake, MN 55110 651-492-7550/Brian@Midwestsoiltesting.com

Brian Humpal

MPCA Licensed Advanced Inspector

#### SUBSURFACE SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM COMPLIANCE REPORT

Inspection Address: 1655 Newberry Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082

#### REPORT SUMMARY

I have performed an "MPCA Compliance Inspection" on this system. I have contacted Washington County and was advised that there are no records for this system. This very old system (installed in approximately 1986) consists of a pre-cast septic tank and a rock trench drainfield.

My inspection indicates that this system is presently "non-compliant" in accordance with MPCA rules 7080.1500 Subp.4(B)(E) because of the lack of the required two foot separation between the bottom of the drainfield and seasonally saturated soils.

In accordance with MPCA rules, I am sending a copy of this complete report to Washington County. I cannot officially speak on behalf of the County relative to the upgrade requirements of these non-compliant systems. Please contact Washington County Environmental Specialist, Mr. Chris LeClair (651-430-4052), to verify the County's position.

Please advise buyer, agents, lender, etc. to contact me should they have any questions regarding this system.

Brian Humpal
Brian Humpal



## **Compliance Inspection Form**

## Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

<b>Instructions:</b> Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):7/6/2017	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat threat to Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwate Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundwate Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwate Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #4)	eat to public health and safety er otect groundwater ater
	_
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	ge:
	or inspection: Property Transfer
· · ·	ohone: 651-775-6096
Owner's representative: Represer	ntative phone:
	ry authority phone: 651-430-4052
Brief system description: A pre-cast septic tank with a rock trench drainfield.  Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the of determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name: Brian Humpal Certification	on number: L5342
	se number: _L2896
Inspector signature: Brian Humpal Pho	ne number:651-492-7550
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
	local ordinance
☑ Other information (list): Report Summary, Property Information, Disclaimer, Lic	ense

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 1 of 3

Property address: \_ 1655 Newberry Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN

Inspector initials/Date: 07/06/2017

<u>1.</u>	ln	<b>npact on Public Health</b> – Cor	mpliance component #1	of 5		
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		stem discharge sewage to the bund surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>		
		stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes ☒ No	<ul> <li>☑ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes</li> <li>☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>		
		rstem cause sewage backup into relling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	<ul> <li>□ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system</li> <li>□ System requires "emergency" pumping</li> <li>□ Performed dye test</li> </ul>		
		ny "yes" answer above indicates I Imminent Threat to Public Heal		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Comments/Explanation:  None of the above found.					
<u>2.</u>	Ta	ank Integrity — Compliance con	nponent #2 of 5			
	Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		stem consists of a seepage pit, sspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom		
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be		<ul><li>☐ Examined construction records</li><li>☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)</li></ul>		
	co	mpliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Observed liquid level below operating depth		
		ewage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ☒ No	Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
		/es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
Any "ves" answer above indicates the			<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>			
	Comments/Explanation:					
		wered underwater camera into tank - I	baffles and tank walls OK.			
_	_					
<u>3.</u>	O	ther Compliance Conditions	5 – Compliance compone	ent #3 of 5		
	a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged	d, cracked, unsecured, or ap	pear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
	b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to i *System is an imminent threat to pu		npact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown		
		Explain:				
	C.	System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground		etermined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No		
		Explain:				

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 2 of 3

Property address: <u>1655 Newberry Ave N, West La</u>	keland Twp, MN	Inspector initials/Date: 07/	06/2017 <b>BH</b>
4. Soil Separation — Compliance compor	nent #4 of 5		
Date of installation: 1986	Unknown	Verification method(s):	
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Soil observation does not expire. Probservations by two independent particles and the second	nrties are sufficient,
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical	☐ Yes ⊠ No	unless site conditions have been alt requirements differ.  ☑ Conducted soil observation(s) (A land land land land land land land land	Attach boring logs) Th boring logs) To drainfield)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.  Non-performance systems built April 1,	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)  Comments/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		,	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)	☐ Yes ☐ No	A. Bottom of distribution media	See Attached Boring Log(s)
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock     C. System separation  D. Required compliance separation*	
Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.  5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen Bi		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance.	·
Is the system operated under an Operating Perr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ICable
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BM		No If "yes", B below is required	
BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specifi		- · ·	
If the answer to both questions is "no",			
Compliance criteria			
a. Operating Permit number:		☐ Yes ☐ No	
Have the Operating Permit requirements by	peen met?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and	properly functioning?	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Any "no" answer indicates Noncomp	pliance.		

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.


www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12 Page 3 of 3

## Inspect Minnesota & Midwest Soil Testing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System Owner/Property Information

This information will be used for the purpose of conducting an MPCA Compliance Inspection.

Date of Inspection: July 6, 2017	Time: 12:15 PM		
Property Address: 1655 Newberry Ave N, West Lakeland, MN	Zip: 55082		
Property Owner: Alan Borman	Phone: 651-775-6096		
Tank(s)       Tank(s)Material       Soil Treatment System         Septic       □Fiberglass       □Rock trench         □Aerobic       □Plastic       □Gravelless trench         □Lift       □Metal       □Chamber trench         □Holding       □Concrete       □Seepage bed         □Other:       □Block       □Mound         □Other       □At-grade	Other  Alternative system  Experimental system  Cesspool system  Other system		
Are the tank maintenance covers accessible?   Yes   No *In performed through the maintenance holes. Maintenance hole covers	vers should be made accessible to		
the ground surface to facilitate access and proper maintenance of	the system.		
Year house built: 1986 Year septic installed: 1986	Tank size (gals.): 1200		
	esidents in home?		
Number of bedrooms? 3 Are all floors drained by			
Garbage disposal? Whirlpool bath	?		
More than one system (laundry, etc.)?			
Does this property have any footing drain tiles connected to the s	eptic system?		
Are any buildings on this property such as garages or out-buildings connected to this system?  Are there any additional systems on this property serving other buildings?			
The there any additional systems on this property serving other o	unumgs:		
Location of septic system on lot? Northwest Side			
	e well a deep well? Y		
Have you ever experienced any problems with the system such as: tree roots, sewage back-ups, surfacing of sewage onto the ground, septic tank overflowing, etc.; or have any repairs been made to the system?  If yes, explain:			
When was the system last pumped? 2015 Name of pumper:			
How often pumped in previous years?			
Have you received notices from any government agency concern	ing this system?		
Is your property located in a shoreland management area? N			
Do you have any additional information that should be given to t	he new owner?		
I hereby certify that the above information is correct to the best of my knowled considered "non-compliant/failing" per MPCA rules, that the inspector must blocal government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I	y law submit a copy of this report to the		

local government unit within 15 days of the date of inspection completion. I also agree that unless otherwise noted in this report, that I/we are ultimately responsible for payment of all fees for all work performed relative to this inspection by Inspect Minnesota and Midwest Soil Testing.



#### **Log Of Soil Borings**

Loca	Location of Project: 1655 Newberry Ave N, West Lakeland Twp, MN 55082				
Borings Made By: Inspect Minnesota				Date:	
	Auger Used:	Hand/Bucket	Class	sification System:	USDA
E	Boring Number:	1		Boring Number:	2
Surface Elevation of Boring  Same ground surface as last drainfield trench		Surface Elevation of Boring  Same ground surface as drainfield trench			
Depth In Inches	Soils E	ncountered	Depth In Inches		
0-11 11-24	10YR 4/ 7.5YR 5/8 8	2/2 Loam '3 Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox sal at 24"	0-15 15-22 22-36	10YR 4/ 7.5YR 5/8 & 10YR 3/4 S 7.5YR 5/8 & Fe	2/2 Loam 3 Loam With 10YR 6/2 Redox andy Loam With ew 10YR 6/2 Redox sal at 36"
11" D	epth To End Of B	oring Or Redox	15"	Depth To End Of B	oring Or Redox
Same Elevation Of Boring Relative To System		Same	Elevation Of Boring	g Relative To System	
-31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media =0" Of Separation		-31" Depth To Bottom Of Distribution Media			
=0" Of Separation		=0"	Of Separation		
End Of Boring At: 24"			End Of Boring At:	36"	
Redox Present At: None			Redox Present At:		
Standing Water Present At: None		Standing	Water Present At:	None	

Bottom Of Distribution Medium At: 31 Inches

#### **DISCLAIMER**

## Brian L. Humpal, Inc. dba. Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing Relative to Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS) Compliance Inspections

- 1. This inspection/report is being performed for only the seller/owner of the property on which the SSTS is located. In such case that another party is paying for the inspection, the contract is between only said party and Brian L. Humpal, Inc.; there is no contract between Brian L. Humpal, Inc. and any other party unless otherwise noted.
- 3. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the SSTS for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. Because of the numerous factors (usage, maintenance, soil characteristics, previous failures, etc.) which may affect the proper operation of an SSTS, as well as the inability of Brian L. Humpal, Inc. to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the SSTS, the report shall not be construed as a warranty by Brian L. Humpal, Inc. that the SSTS will function properly for any particular party for any period of time.
- 4. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. is unable to verify the frequency and/or, quality of prior or future maintenance of the SSTS. Maintenance of the tank(s) must be performed through the tanks maintenance hole. The removal of solids from any location other than the maintenance hole is not a compliant method of maintenance. It is strongly recommended that maintenance covers be made accessible to the ground surface to facilitate proper maintenance.
- 5. Minimum Compliance Inspection requirements relative to this inspection and this report include <u>only</u> verification that the SSTS has tank(s) (septic tanks, lift tanks, dosing tanks, stilling tanks, etc.) which are watertight below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface soil distribution medium and seasonally saturated soils, no back-ups of sewage into the dwelling, no discharge of sewage/effluent to the ground surface or surface waters, and no imminent safety hazards. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. does not inspect plumbing or pumps prior to the first SSTS component as these are plumbing components. The performance of exterior pumps and associated components are not inspected as they are considered to be maintenance items. Additionally, no indications relative to compliance with electrical code requirements have been made. It is recommended that any other applicable plumbing, electrical, housing, etc. inspections be performed by a qualified inspection business. Sewage back-up verification is limited to observing the floor drain area and/or the information supplied by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee that the information given to them by the last occupants of the building prior to inspection relative to back-ups is accurate.
- 4. Certification of this SSTS does not warranty future use beyond the date of the inspection. Any SSTS, old or new, can become hydraulically overloaded or discharge sewage/effluent to the ground surface as a result of more people moving into the house than were previously occupying the house, improper maintenance, heavy usage, leaking plumbing fixtures, groundwater infiltration, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, poor initial design, poor construction practices, or unsuitable materials used in constructing the system; the system can also simply stop working because of its age. An SSTS that has been properly designed and installed, properly maintained, and used in the manner for which the system was designed can be expected to provide service for twenty to twenty-five years on average. Some parts of the SSTS such as alarms, switches, pumps, filters, etc. will most likely have to be repaired or replaced over the lifetime of the system.
- 5. A Compliance Inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection for longevity of the system; a Compliance Inspection is strictly for the purpose of determining if the SSTS is protective of public health and safety, as well as the groundwater at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA or other Local Government Unit code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the SSTS was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from the building as the use of the building may have changed since the design and construction of the SSTS due to the addition of bedrooms, occupants, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original SSTS design, the quality of the construction practices used while installing the SSTS, or the quality of the materials used in constructing the SSTS.
- 6. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. cannot guarantee the performance of SSTS products/components such as: gravelless pipe, chamber trenches, effluent filters, tanks, sewage pre-treatment components, piping, etc. Products such as gravelless pipe are no longer approved for installation in the State of Minnesota and may have a significantly reduced performance and/or life expectancy.
- 7. WINTER WORK: By accepting this report, it is understood that inspections conducted during winter months (approximately November 1<sup>st</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>) are more difficult to perform because of possible snow cover and/or ground frost. SSTS components such as tanks, maintenance covers, tank inspection pipes, subsurface distribution medium inspection pipes, and soil treatment areas are more difficult or impossible to locate due to snow cover and/or ground frost. In addition, soil borings are more difficult to perform due to snow cover and/or ground frost. Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will attempt to use the same level of standards when performing work during winter periods as when performing work during non-winter periods. However, the recipient of this report understands that because of the aforementioned considerations, the same level of standards may not be possible.
- 8. By accepting this report, the client understands that Brian L. Humpal, Inc. will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for the services provided.

## Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems

Non-transferable

# Business License

## Inspect Minnesota, Midwest Soil Testing

License # L2896

License Expires: 12/22/2017

Issued: 11/29/2016

### Specialty Area(s):

Installer
Maintainer
Service Provider
Advanced Designer
Advanced Inspector

### Designated Certified Individual(s):

Cert #

Name

**Certification Expires:** 

C5342

**Brian L Humpal** 

10/15/2017

Installer, Maintainer, Serv Prov, Adv Designer, Adv Inspector

C9852

Christopher R Uebe

3/4/2018

Designer, Inspector



St. Paul. Minnesota 55155-4194

Steven Giddings, Manager

Prevention and Solid Waste Management Section